

Pedro Alonso-Ifil
Utica International Embassy
c/o Vogel Denise Newsome – Prime Minister
Post Office Box 31265
Jackson, Mississippi 39286
Telephone: (888) 700-5056 (Ext. 817)
Facsimile: (844) 400-1002
Email: greyhound_pia@uticainternationalembassy.website

E-FILED
5/24/2021 10:39 AM
Superior Court of California
County of Fresno
By: C. York, Deputy

Plaintiff PRO SE

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF FRESNO**

FREEMAN MAYS; EDGAR
JIMENEZ; DARIO GONZALEZ;
and PEDRO ALONSO-IFIL
Plaintiffs

CASE NO. 19CECG03480

[Assigned for All Purposes to The
Honorable D. Tyler Tharpe, Dept. 501]

VS.

**EMERGENCY REQUEST FOR
INVESTIGATION(S); REQUEST
FOR IMPOSITION OF
SANCTIONS OF and AGAINST
GREYHOUND LINES, INC. and
LEGAL COUNSEL LEWIS
BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH
LLP; NOTIFICATION OF
DECLINATION OF
GREYHOUND LINES,
INC.'S/ASHTON RENNICK
CASTILLO'S SETTLEMENT
DEMAND; NOTIFICATION OF
CEASE and DESIST ISSUED...¹**

GREYHOUND LINES, INC.;
ASHTON RENNICK CASTILLO
and DOES 1 to 100, inclusive,
Defendants

Date: May 24, 2021

Proposed Hearing: May 25, 2021

Proposed Trial Date: June 21, 2021

¹ Boldface, Italics, Underline, and ALL Caps, etc. have been added to denote emphasis.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT WITHOUT WAVING THE JURISDICTIONAL and DIVERSITY ISSUES, etc. present in this matter *in the preservation of any and all claims asserted and/or may be asserted*, by Pedro Alonso-Ifil ("Alonso"), he does hereby NOTIFY this Court of his, "**EMERGENCY REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION(S); REQUEST FOR IMPOSITION OF SANCTIONS OF and AGAINST GREYHOUND LINES, INC. and LEGAL COUNSEL LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP; NOTIFICATION OF DECLINATION OF GREYHOUND LINES, INC.'S/ASHTON RENNICK CASTILLO'S SETTLEMENT DEMAND; NOTIFICATION OF CEASE and DESIST ISSUED...**" (hereinafter "Emergency-RFI/IOS/NOD/NOC&D..."). In further support thereof, states the following - i.e. however, not limited to this listing alone:

1. This instant filing is submitted in good faith and *is not* being submitted for ill intent – i.e. as to increase the cost of litigation, vexatious intent, threaten, intimidate, harass, coerce, nor unduly burden Defendant Greyhound Lines, Inc. ("Greyhound") and/or its Legal Counsel Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP ("Lewis Brisbois"), etc.

2. This instant submittal of *Emergency-RFI/IOS/NOD/NOC&D...* is imperative to the life, safety and wellbeing, etc. of Pedro Alonso-Ifil in that Defendant Greyhound and its Legal Counsel (Lewis Brisbois) are subjecting him to unwarranted and unlawful threats, harassment, intimidation practices, and coercion, etc. efforts to force him into dismissal of any and/or all claims against them – i.e. which Alonso is refusing to do.

I. **EMERGENCY REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION(S)**

Pedro Alonso-Ifil, through this instant *Emergency-RFI/IOS/NOD/NOC&D...* is requesting this Court conduct Investigation(s) into the handling of his May 20, 2021, "**NOTIFICATION OF NON-ATTENDANCE AT May 25, 2021 HEARING and GOOD-FAITH REQUEST FO [sic] REMOVAL OF May 25, 2021 HEARING and June 21, 2021 TRIAL FROM COURT'S CALENDAR,**" (hereinafter, "05/20/21 Notice Of Non-Attendance") submitted via "Electronic Filing" that as 05/23/2021, is still sitting in this Court's cue waiting for acceptance.

Submitted Filing Notification for Case No. 19CECG03480 (Freeman Mays vs Greyhound Lines, Inc.)

E efilingmail@tylerhost.net
 Thu 5/20/2021 2:53 AM
 To: [REDACTED]

Filing Submitted

Envelope Number: 6 [REDACTED]

The filing below has been submitted to the clerk's office for review. A notification email will be sent after the filing is processed.

Contact Your Service Provider With Any Questions



Online: <https://www.123efile.com>

Filing Details	
Court	Fresno County
Date/Time Submitted:	5/20/2021 12:52 AM PST
Filing Type:	Notice
Activity Requested:	EFile
Filed By:	Pedro Alonso

Fee Details	
This envelope is pending review and fees may change.	
Case Fee Information	\$13.82
Payment Service Fees	\$0.37
Provider Service Fees	\$9.95
E-File Fees	\$3.50
Notice	\$0.00
Total: \$13.82 (The envelope still has pending filings and the fees are subject to change)	

Document Details	
Lead File:	052021_NOTIFICATION_Of_N_nsolfil_F_wEXHIBITS_.pdf
Lead File Page Count:	53
File Copy	https://california.tylerhost.net/ViewDocuments.aspx?FID=610ca1e2-9829-40f5-8904-6a2fee53c2e4 This link is active for 365 days.



Please do not reply to this email. It was generated automatically.
 California EFiled Disclaimer: This is an official government communication. As the recipient, you are responsible for the lawful use of this information. This e-mail and any attachments are intended solely for the individual or agency to which they are addressed. They may be confidential and/or contain privileged or otherwise non-public information. Do not disseminate this e-mail and any attachments unless you are authorized to do so under applicable court rules or statutes. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, do not copy, distribute, or take any action in reliance upon this e-mail or any attachments and delete this e-mail and any attachments immediately. Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

In further support of said Investigation(s), Alonso further states the following – i.e. however, not limited to this listing alone:

**Title 18 U.S.C. § 1512
Tampering With a Witness, Victim. . .**

. . .

(2) Whoever uses physical force or the threat of physical force against any person, or attempts to do so, with intent to— . . .

(B) cause or induce any person to—

(i) withhold testimony, or withhold a record, document, or other object, from an official proceeding;

(ii) alter, destroy, mutilate, or conceal an object with intent to impair the integrity or availability of the object for use in an official proceeding;

(iii) evade legal process summoning that person to appear as a witness, or to produce a record, document, or other object, in an official proceeding; or

(iv) be absent from an official proceeding to which that person has been summoned by legal process; or

(C) hinder, delay, or prevent the communication to a law enforcement officer or judge of the United States of information relating to the commission or possible commission of a Federal offense or a violation of conditions of probation, supervised release, parole, or release pending judicial proceedings; shall be punished as provided in paragraph (3). . . .

(b) Whoever knowingly uses intimidation, threatens, or corruptly persuades another person, or attempts to do so, or engages in misleading conduct toward another person, with intent to—

(1) influence, delay, or prevent the testimony of any person in an official proceeding;

(2) cause or induce any person to—

(A) withhold testimony, or withhold a record, document, or other object, from an official proceeding;

(B) alter, destroy, mutilate, or conceal an object with intent to impair the object's integrity or availability for use in an official proceeding;

(C) evade legal process summoning that person to appear as a witness, or to produce a record, document, or other object, in an official proceeding; or
(D) be absent from an official proceeding to which such person has been summoned by legal process; or . . .

(c) Whoever corruptly—

(1) alters, destroys, mutilates, or conceals a record, document, or other object, or attempts to do so, with the intent to impair the object's integrity or availability for use in an official proceeding; or

(2) otherwise obstructs, influences, or impedes any official proceeding, or attempts to do so, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than 20 years, or both.

(d) Whoever intentionally harasses another person and thereby hinders, delays, prevents, or dissuades any person from—

(1) attending or testifying in an official proceeding;

(2) reporting to a law enforcement officer or judge of the United States the commission or possible commission of a Federal offense or a violation of conditions of probation supervised release parole, or release pending judicial proceedings;

(3) arresting or seeking the arrest of another person in connection with a Federal offense; or

(4) causing a criminal prosecution, or a parole or probation revocation proceeding, to be sought or instituted, or assisting in such prosecution or proceeding; or attempts to do so, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than 3 years, or both. . . .

(f) For the purposes of this section—

(1) an official proceeding need not be pending or about to be instituted at the time of the offense; and

(2) the testimony, or the record, document, or other object need not be admissible in evidence or free of a claim of privilege. . . .

(k) Whoever conspires to commit any offense under this section shall be subject to the same penalties as those prescribed for the offense the commission of which was the object of the conspiracy.

Therefore, pursuant to said Statute/Laws governing said matters, Pedro Alonso-Ifil through this instant *Emergency-RFI/IOS/NOD/NOC&D...* is requesting this Court investigate the handling of “05/20/21 Notice Of Non-Attendance” E-Filing and “**WHY**” it **has NOT** been filed and docketed in the above-styled case. Moreover, whether criminal acts – i.e. as conspiracies and obstruction of administration of justice, obstruction of court proceedings, tampering with witness/victim (*in that Alonso may not only be considered a witness for the above named Plaintiffs; he is also a victim*), etc. - is contributing to and adversely impacting the filing and docketing of said May 20, 2021 Notification. Furthermore, that investigation(s) into this matter will and/or may yield:

3. Conspirators (Defendant Greyhound with its Legal Counsel Lewis Brisbois and Co-Conspirators, etc.) are engaging in criminal acts with purpose to coerce Alonso into foregoing rights secured/guaranteed under Statutes/Laws governing said matters and those addressed in the Complaint filed in the above-styled case.

4. There is record evidence that supports sufficient information to sustain that Counsel/Lawyers/Attorneys in the above-styled case having knowledge that Alonso *would not* forego protected rights as well as knowledge of Alonso’s entitlement to any and or all relief sought through legal and lawful action(s) for the injuries/harm sustained as a direct and proximate cause of the March 24, 2019, Greyhound Bus Accident (*out of which this instant lawsuit arises*), did knowingly, deliberately, intentionally and maliciously withhold, deter, impede and obstruct the administration of justice in the handling of the “05/20/21 Notice Of Non-Attendance” and **the ENTRY** thereof into this Court’s Records (and its DOCKETING therein) for purposes of unlawfully/illegally attempting to get Alonso to waive his rights to contest and/or acquiesce to a Hearing that appears to be scheduled for TUESDAY, May 25, 2021.

5. Through criminal acts on or about May 20, 2021, Conspirators: (a) knowingly, deliberately, intentionally and maliciously directly/indirectly threatened to commit any offense against Alonso; (b) directly/indirectly exposed or threatened to expose any matter tending to subject Alonso to hatred, criminal/civil wrongs, damage his character, reputation or business repute and **impair his credit** (i.e. *as allege liens* Greyhound/Lewis Brisbois advised have been issued) through the Judicial process and other Conspirators/Co-Conspirators’ engagement in criminal conspiracy(s) leveled against Alonso; and (c) directly/indirectly caused through coercion, the taking, withholding, impeding and obstructing of justice in the handling of “05/20/21 Notice Of Non-Attendance” and ENTRY thereof which **continues to cause** Alonso IRREPARABLE injury/harm/damages – i.e. which are

clearly prohibited under the Statute/Laws governing such matters. Moreover, as evidenced through this instant document, Alonso's "*Emergency-RFI/IOS/NOD/NOC&D...*" as well as previous and subsequent submittals (*if required*) are for purposes of protecting and preserving any/all claims he may assert as a direct and proximate result of the March 24, 2019, Greyhound Bus Accident.

6. Alonso through the filing of this instant document **seek investigation(s), prosecution(s) and indictment(s) of Conspirators (Defendant Greyhound and Lewis Brisbois) found through said investigation(s) to be guilty of violations under this section and/or their participation in such acts set forth herein (as well as through his previous filings with this Court) against him.** Moreover, all Conspirators that knew and/or had knowledge that said crime(s) was about to be committed and/or were being committed and ***did nothing*** to prevent - having knowledge that any of the wrongs conspired to be done was about to be committed, and having the power to prevent or aid in the preventing of such criminal acts; however, neglected or refused to do so.

Defendant (conspirator) **becomes the agent of the other conspirator(s), and any act done by one of the combination is regarded under the law as the act of both or all.** In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act of both or all of them, no matter which individual may have done it. This is true as to each member of the conspiracy, even those whose involvement was limited to a minor role in the unlawful transaction, and it makes no difference whether or not such individual shared in the profits of the actions.*** (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9)

7. Alonso request that the applicable charges **be filed** of and against Conspirators [found through the investigation(s) of this Court into the handling of the above-styled Case] to have committed said crime(s); moreover, that said Conspirator(s) **be indicted** and (if applicable), that the maximum penalty [i.e. fine **and** imprisonment] be sought if the evidence supports a PATTERN-OF-PRACTICE and/or PATTERN-OF-CONDUCT by Conspirators who committed and/or engaged in said crime(s).

8. Alonso request that upon the completion of investigation(s) into this matter, that, should criminal acts and/or violations be found, that the United States Department of Justice be notified and Criminal Complaints be filed with the Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI") and/or the applicable Division(s), its Agents/Investigators, etc. to deter present and future criminal acts of Greyhound and its Co-Conspirators and *to protect the public and/or citizens.*

**“Unless a man is honest we have no right to keep him in public life, it matters not how brilliant his capacity, it hardly matters how great his power of doing good service on certain lines may be... No man who is corrupt, no man who condones corruption in others, can possibly do his duty by the community.”- Straight from former United States President Teddy Roosevelt - - As of 05/23/2021, this information may be found at the following link:
https://archives.fbi.gov/archives/news/stories/2004/march/greylord_031504**

9. Alonso directs this Court’s attention to **“Operation Greylord,”** – i.e. a Federal Bureau of Investigation (“FBI”) that occurred about the 1980’s. The FBI’s information regarding said Operation, as of May 23, 2021, may be found at the following link(s):

Investigations of Public Corruption Rooting Crookedness Out of Government



https://archives.fbi.gov/archives/news/stories/2004/march/greylord_031504

<https://uticainternationalembassy.website/operation-greylord>

wherein:

It was called OPERATION GREYLORD, named after the curly wigs worn by **British judges**. And in the end—*through undercover operations that used honest and very courageous judges and lawyers posing as crooked ones...* and with the strong assistance of the Cook County court and local police—**92 officials had been indicted**, including **17 judges, 48 lawyers**, eight policemen, 10 deputy sheriffs, ***eight court officials***, and one state legislator. Nearly all were convicted, **most of them pleading guilty** (just a few are shown in our photo). It was *an important first step to cleaning up the administration of justice* in Cook County.

Moreover:

That's really the whole point. Abuse of the public trust cannot and must not be tolerated. Corrupt practices in government strike at the heart of social order and justice. And that's why the FBI has the ticket on **investigations of public corruption as a top priority.**

How'd that happen? Historically, of course, these cases were considered local matters. A county court clerk taking bribes? Let the county handle it.

But in the 1970s, state and local officials asked for help. They **didn't have** the resources to handle such intense cases, and they valued the authority and credibility that outside investigators brought to the table. **By 1976**, the Department of Justice had created a **Public Integrity** Section, and the FBI was tasked with the investigations, **focusing on major, systemic corruption** in the body politic.

Who's investigated? Public servants: members of Congress and state legislatures; members of the Administration and governors' offices; **judges and court staffs**; all of law enforcement; all government agencies. **Plus everyone who works with government and is willing to pay for "special favors":** lobbyists, contractors, consultants, **lawyers**, U.S. businesses in foreign countries, you name it.

What kind of crimes? Bribery, kickbacks, and fraud. Vote buying, voter intimidation, impersonation. Political coercion. **Racketeering and obstruction of justice.** Trafficking of illegal drugs.

How serious of a problem is it? Last year the FBI investigated 850 cases; brought in 655 indictments/informations; and got 525 who were either convicted or chose to plead. - - As of 05/23/2021, cut and pasted from: https://archives.fbi.gov/archives/news/stories/2004/march/greylord_031504

10. According to information obtained through research done on (what may be called) *Operation Greyhound* found on Wikipedia (as of 05/23/2021), the following information was found regarding:

Indictment and trial

The **first** defendant to be found guilty was Harold Conn, Deputy Traffic **Court Clerk** in the Cook County judicial system. Conn was convicted in March 1984 and was one of the many **bagmen in the ring of corruption**.^[8] The **last** conviction was that of **Judge Thomas J. Maloney**, who was indicted in 1991 on bribery charges and convicted in April 1993 of fixing three murder cases for more than \$100,000 in bribes.^[9] Maloney was released from federal prison in 2008, and died the same year.

A total of 93 people were indicted, including 17 judges, 48 lawyers, ten deputy **sheriffs**, eight policemen, eight court officials, and **state legislator James DeLeo**.^{[10][11]} **Of the 17 judges indicted, 15 were convicted**.^[6] One judge, Richard LeFevour, was convicted on 59 counts of **mail fraud, racketeering** and income-tax violations, and later sentenced to 12 years in prison, **as well as being disbarred**.^[12] *The stiffest sentence was received by former Circuit Judge Reginald Holzer*, who received an 18-year sentence for accepting over \$200,000 in bribes **from multiple attorneys**.^[13] Three defendants **committed suicide**, including former Circuit Judge Allen Rosin.^{[14][15]} - - https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Operation_Greylord

11. Now before this Court, we have Defendant Greyhound, its Legal Counsel Lewis Brisbois (it appears) engaging in criminal acts and conspiracies with Co-Conspirators – i.e. which includes Lawyers/Attorneys of Accident Attorneys, Chapman Glucksman Dean & Roeb, AtkinsonBaker [National Leader in Court Reporting...], and Staff Members in the Clerk’s Office of this Court, etc. – to obstruct the administration of justice, and obstruct filing of documents, etc. in efforts of providing Defendant Greyhound and its Legal Counsel Lewis Brisbois with an undue advantage in the above-styled case.



12. On or about April 5, 2021, Alonso contacted the Clerk’s Office to check on the status of his March 31, 2021 submitted for filing entitled, “**NOTICE TO COURT OF INABILITY TO OBTAIN AUGUST 28, 2020, DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT FROM ATKINSONBAKER**” and was advised that Court filings cannot be made *via facsimile* and the “proper options” available to him to file – i.e. with included E-Filing – and was provided with information to review said options. A copy of a screenshot taken from this Court’s Docket is as follows and is incorporated by reference.

04/05/2021 Fax Received ▾

[View Document](#) Fax Received

Comment

RE: No action taken, gave party options for proper options for filings

04/05/2021 Notice Filed ▾

[View Document](#) Notice

Comment

Notice to Court Of Inability To Obtain August 28, 2020 Deposition Transcript From AtkinsonBaker

13. On or about April 5, 2021, Alonso resubmitted his March 31, 2021, “NOTICE TO COURT OF INABILITY TO OBTAIN AUGUST 28, 2020, DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT FROM ATKINSONBAKER” to timely notify the Court of the conflict(s) presented; moreover, concerns of AtkinsonBaker’s roles in such conspiracies raised herein.

14. Upon being notified of this filing with the Court, AtkinsonBaker then made frivolous effort to make the August 28, 2020 Deposition available; however, “**DENIED**” Alonso the ability to “**DOWNLOAD**” Deposition Transcript and supporting Exhibits. Therefore, as a direct and proximate result of such **RETALIATORY** practices by AtkinsonBaker and Defendant Greyhound with its Legal Counsel to OBSTRUCT him from downloading Transcript from Video Deposition, Alonso reached out to *Pro Se Legal Inquiry* to assist him with retrieving a copy of said Deposition and Exhibits for his records.

AtkinsonBaker



from: **Diana Markaryan** <dmarkaryan@depo.com>
 to: "kingraspedromusic@gmail.com" <kingraspedromusic@gmail.com>, "proselegalinquiry@gmail.com" <proselegalinquiry@gmail.com>
 cc: "gary.cerio@lewisbrisbois.com" <gary.cerio@lewisbrisbois.com>, "devera.petak@lewisbrisbois.com" <devera.petak@lewisbrisbois.com>, "shawn.toliver@lewisbrisbois.com" <shawn.toliver@lewisbrisbois.com>, "achapman@cgdrblaw.com" <achapman@cgdrblaw.com>, "mkempson@cgdrblaw.com" <mkempson@cgdrblaw.com>, "steve@avaccidentattorneys.com" <steve@avaccidentattorneys.com>, "brittney@avaccidentattorneys.com" <brittney@avaccidentattorneys.com>
 date: Apr 1, 2021, 7:22 PM
 subject: Freeman Mays et al vs. Greyhound et al, taken August 28, 2020 - AE0538F
 mailed-by: depo.com
 signed-by: depo.com

Diana Markaryan <dmarkaryan@depo.com>
 to kingraspedromusic@gmail.com, me, gary.cerio@lewisbrisbois.com, devera.petak@lewisbrisbois.com, shawn.toliver@lewisbrisbois.com, achapman@cgdrblaw.com
 Case Name: Freeman Mays et al vs. Greyhound et al
 Deponent/Proceeding: Pedro Alonso III
 Date: August 28, 2020
 ABI Job #: AE0538F
 Apr 1, 2021, 7:22 PM
 Dear Mr. Alfonso-III,
 We understand you are requesting to review your deposition transcript. Although notification was sent to you on September 28, 2020 granting you access to review the transcript online for 30 days, we will provide you with access to the transcript again. The transcript will be available online for the next 30 days. This is a read only transcript.
 To access the transcript, please go to <https://abias.com/read>, and enter the password: ZNVQGF3. This password is case sensitive.
 Please confirm you have been able to access the transcript.
 Should you want a copy of the transcript for your records, one can be purchased by contacting our office at 800-288-3376.
 Respectfully,
Diana Markaryan
 Client Services Manager
 Atkinson Baker, a Veritext Company
 P 800 288 3375 Ext. 3291
dmarkaryan@depo.com
www.depo.com
www.veritext.com
 17 USC § 107 Limitations on Exclusive Rights – FAIR USE

15. To date, it is not clear to Alonso, **“WHY”** AtkinsonBaker **“NOTIFIED”** opposing Lawyers/Attorneys in the above-styled case of his inquiry to obtain copy of Transcript of August 28, 2020 Video Deposition. Therefore, a reasonable mind may conclude, that said notification, was **for purposes of CONSPIRING** and *obtaining INSTRUCTIONS on “HOW” to obstruct him from obtaining a “DOWNLOADED” Copy of Transcript and alleged supporting Exhibits*.

16. Investigation(s) will yield that Lewis Brisbois is a huge client of AtkinsonBaker. Therefore, both Lewis Brisbois and AtkinsonBaker stands to benefit FINANCIALLY and PERSONALLY through said conspiracies against Pedro Alonso-Ifil.

17. Prior to Alonso’s April 5, 2021, filing of his March 31 2021 submittal, AtkinsonBaker attempted **to extort over \$850.00 from him for a copy** of the August 28, 2020 Video Deposition Transcript that had ALREADY been produced and paid for.

18. As of the date of this filing – May 24, 2021 – AtkinsonBaker **has REFUSED** to provide Alonso with the option to “DOWNLOAD” the August 28, 2020 Transcript of Video Deposition.

19. AtkinsonBaker has also REFUSED to provide Alonso with a copy of the “VIDEO” of the August 28, 2021 Video Deposition at a reasonable price and, it appears, is attempting to “DOUBLE BILL” for the Video that *has “ALREADY” been produced and paid for* by Greyhound and its Legal Counsel Lewis Brisbois. Instead, it appears that AtkinsonBaker is NOW **attempting to extort over \$250.00 from Alonso to obtain a COPY of the Video already produced and provided to Defendant Greyhound and its Counsel Lewis Brisbois**. Alonso advised of his willing to pay approximately **\$15.00**; however, this offer was REJECTED!

II. REQUEST FOR IMPOSITION OF SANCTIONS OF and AGAINST GREYHOUND LINES, INC. and LEGAL COUNSEL LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

Pursuant to Rule of 11 of Civil Rules of Procedure, Code of Civil Procedure (“CCP”) and any/all applicable Statutes/Laws governing the issue of Sanctions, Pedro Alonso-Ifil (through this instant *Emergency-RFI/IOS/NOD/NOC&D...*); hereby, request sanctions of and against Defendant Greyhound and its Legal Counsel Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP - Devera L. Petak, Shawn A. Toliver, and Gary A. Cerio, Esq. – in the amount of approximately **\$116,012**

[45.75 Hours @ \$350.00 + \$100,000.00 Punitive Damages] for the costs associated with drafting and finalizing documents submitted for filing with this Court. Said time computed for drafting and finalizing “**ONLY**” and **does not** include other billable/chargeable time – i.e. as Conference Calls, Submitting/Service to Parties (via Email and Facsimile), and Research, etc. All said billable/chargeable time will be submitted at the conclusion of the above-styled case. At this, Also is asking for IMMEDIATE Punitive Sanctions be imposed and in support of thereof state the following – i.e. however, not limited to this listing alone:

20. Pursuant to CCP §128.7 and/or other Statutes/Laws governing such matters, Alonso need not waive his Jurisdictional and/or Diversity defenses. This Court can proceed with the imposition of sanctions under *its own* motion when said relief is warranted and/or when evidence and information, etc. warranting imposition of sanctions are brought to the Court's /Judge's attention pursuant to CCP §128.7(c).

21. This State of California’s Courts are clear on the prerequisites for the imposition of Sanctions. In support of this said relief, Alonso incorporates by reference **only** as well as attach as **EXHIBIT “I”** Courtroom Control: Contempt and Sanctions – with applicable link provide for lock document.

CALIFORNIA JUDGES BENCHGUIDES

Benchguide 3

COURTROOM CONTROL: CONTEMPT AND SANCTIONS

[REVISED 2017]

As of 05/24/2021 document may be found at the following link as well:
http://www.sblawlibrary.org/uploads/7/3/1/1/7311175/bg03_2017pt.pdf

22. Defendant Greyhound with its Legal Counsel has presented papers/filings in the above-styled case “after” making reasonable inquiry and drafting said papers/filings with willful, malicious, vexatious and fraudulent intent, for purposes of increasing the costs of litigation, to obtain an undue advantage and for other reasons known to them, etc. with KNOWLEDGE they were engaging in criminal acts and in violation of the Statutes/Laws governing said matters. Moreover, it appears have gone as far as to engage Staff Members in the Clerk’s Office to aid and abet them in their criminal acts.

23. Any/All legal contentions that Defendant Greyhound and its Legal Counsel Lewis Brisbois have asserted have been done with fraudulent and criminal intent, etc. and **are not** warranted by existing laws governing said matters, and, **are frivolous** and **cannot** be sustained; moreover, **is not** worth Alonso *being required to subject to further injuries/harm* and trauma for the THRILL and ENJOYMENT of named Defendant and its Legal Counsel; furthermore, his NOT waiving lawful jurisdictional defenses that Defendants so desperately seek through the conspiracies and other criminal acts they *wallow* in!

24. The factual contention and/or allegations set forth in this instant Emergency-RFI/IOS/NOD/NOC&D... and Alonso’s previous filings, supports the imposition of sanctions; moreover, investigations will *pull off the sheets* and expose more sinister acts of named Defendant and its Legal Counsel revealing a PATTERN and/or SYSTEMATIC practices of such criminal behavior over-and-over again that has proven to be ***detrimental and fatal*** to their own demise!

25. There is a doctrine known as, **“unclean hands!”** This is exactly, *an accurate description of how* Defendant Greyhound and its Legal Counsel are before this Court in the above-styled case – i.e. with **unclean hands!** Thus, may warrant *investigations* regarding what appears to be said Defendant’s criminal acts being engaged in, in *desperate efforts to obtain an undue advantage!*

The doctrine is often stated as *“those seeking equity must do equity”* or *“equity must come with clean hands”*. This is a matter of protocol, characterized by A. P. Herbert in Uncommon Law by his fictional Judge Mildew saying (as Herbert says, “less elegantly”), **“A dirty dog will not have justice by the court”**. – As of 05/19/2021, cut and pasted from:

<https://www.employmentattorneyca.com/california-supreme-court-isnt-completely-offended-by-your-dirty-hands/>



26. Defendant Greyhound and its Legal Counsel cannot deny the factual contentions and claims of Pedro Alonso-Ifil brought and/or to brought and resorted to criminal and unethical practices, etc. before this Court in desperate efforts to obtain an undue advantage which is clearly prohibited under the Statutes/Laws governing said matters. Nevertheless, here these CAREER Criminals are before this Court and on full display now, seeking to have a public lynching of Alonso (at the Taxpayers' expense) and have, instead, lynched themselves!

27. The escalation of Defendant Greyhound and its Legal Counsel appears to have escalated and them becoming more dire in their efforts upon their Co-Conspirator AtkinsonBaker notifying of Alonso's request for copies of the Transcript and Video of the August 28, 2020 Deposition.

28. This Court's records will support **NO** issues with previous *E-Filings* from Alonso **prior** to his May 20, 2021 submittal! Moreover, that Monica in the Clerk's Office on Friday, May 21, 2021, allege problem with E-Filing – i.e. upon initially attempting to advise Alonso that the E-Filing was not showing in the Court's System and then later confirming that indeed it is there and ESCALATING the matter. Nevertheless, here we are **FOUR (4) days** later and said filing of *05/20/21 Notice Of Non-Attendance* has **not** been entered and Docketed at the time of drafting this instant document.

29. Defendant Greyhound and/or its Legal Counsel Lewis Brisbois conduct against Alsonso is appalling, offensive, willful, malicious, vexatious and criminal, etc. Moreover, said conduct is appalling, offensive, willful, malicious, vexatious and criminal, etc. to the authority and dignity of this Court and it is evident through their well-scripted and well-thought pleadings and filings with this Court in the above-styled case, they did so with intent to defraud said Court in desperate measures to obtain an undue advantage which has proven to be fatal and detrimental to any and all claims and/or defenses they may have.

(a) Definitions.

(4) Punitive Sanctions for Contempt: Punishment by unconditional fine, fixed sentence of imprisonment, or both, *for conduct that is found to be offensive to the authority and dignity of the court.* – As of 05/24/21: <https://casetext.com/rule/colorado-court-rules/colorado-rules-of-civil-procedure/chapter-15-remedial-writs-and-contempt/rule-107-remedial-and-punitive-sanctions-for-contempt>


30. Drafting of this instant, ***“EMERGENCY REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION(S); REQUEST FOR IMPOSITION OF SANCTIONS OF and AGAINST GREYHOUND LINES, INC. and LEGAL COUNSEL LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP; NOTIFICATION OF DECLINATION OF GREYHOUND LINES, INC.’S/ASHTON RENNICK CASTILLO’S SETTLEMENT DEMAND; NOTIFICATION OF CEASE and DESIST ISSUED...”***

The image shows a screenshot of a document's metadata table. The table has two columns: 'Property' and 'Value'. The 'Total editing time' property is highlighted with a red box and has a value of '21:15:00'. Other properties include 'Description', 'Title', 'Subject', 'Tags', 'Categories', 'Comments', 'Origin', 'Authors', 'Last saved by', 'Revision number', 'Version number', 'Program name', 'Company', 'Manager', 'Content created', 'Date last saved', and 'Last printed'.

Property	Value
Description	
Title	
Subject	
Tags	
Categories	
Comments	
Origin	
Authors	V
Last saved by	V
Revision number	153
Version number	
Program name	
Company	
Manager	
Content created	5/23/2021 10:02 AM
Date last saved	5/24/2021 11:17 AM
Last printed	
Total editing time	21:15:00

which comprised of approximately **21.25 Hours** of Drafting and Finalizing and ***does not*** include time used for Research and Conference Calls with the Utica International Embassy’s Legal Division Official/Prime Minister.

31. Drafting of May 20, 2021, “NOTIFICATION OF NON-ATTENDANCE AT May 25, 2021 HEARING and GOOD-FAITH REQUEST FO [sic] REMOVAL OF May 25, 2021 HEARING and June 21, 2021 TRIAL FROM COURT’S CALENDAR”



which comprised of approximately **14 Hours** of Drafting and Finalizing and ***does not*** include time used for Research and Conference Calls with the Utica International Embassy’s Legal Division Official/Prime Minister.

32. Pedro Alonso-Ifil is seeks/request the imposition of **Punitive Sanctions in the amount of \$100,000.00** for reasons stated above as well as provided throughout this instant Emergency-RFI/IOS/NOD/NOC&D... for their gross, flagrant and total disregard for Laws and apparent arrogance that they are above the laws and can abuse the legal system because indigenous person is Pro Se/Pro Per! Moreover, TWICE the frivolous amount of \$50,000.00 offered to Alonso to settle this matter!

33. Drafting of March 31, 2021, “**NOTICE TO COURT OF INABILITY TO OBTAIN AUGUST 28, 2020, DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT FROM ATKINSONBAKER.**”

033121_NOTIFICATION-Regarding-AtkinsonBaker_Gr.. 04/05/2021 Fax Received ▾

General Security Details Previous Versions View Document Fax Received

Comment
RE: No action taken, gave party options for proper options for filings

04/05/2021 Notice Filed ▾
View Document Notice

Comment
Notice to Court Of Inability To Obtain August 28, 2020 Deposition Transcript From AtkinsonBaker

Property	Value
Description	
Title	
Subject	
Tags	
Categories	
Comments	
Origin	
Authors	V [REDACTED]
Last saved by	V [REDACTED]
Revision number	3
Version number	
Program name	Microsoft Office Word
Company	
Manager	
Content created	3/31/2021 9:36 PM
Date last saved	4/1/2021 12:53 AM
Last printed	3/31/2021 11:25 PM
Total editing time	02:24:00

which comprised of approximately **2.50 Hours** of Drafting and Finalizing and **does not** include time used for Research and Conference Calls with the Utica International Embassy’s Legal Division Official/Prime Minister.

34. Due to AtkinsonBaker’s REFUSAL to allow Pedro Alonso-Ifil to download the August 28, 2020 Transcript of Video Deposition, he requested assistance from the Utica International Embassy in assistance in resolving the issue so that he can have information preserved.

Page 1

Pedro Alonso-Ifil by Atkinson-Baker, Inc.

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

2 COUNTY OF FRESNO

3

4 FREEMAN MAYS; EDGAR JIMENEZ;)
DARIO GONZALEZ; and PEDRO)
5 ALONSO-IFIL,)
6 Plaintiffs,)
7 vs.) No. 19CE0003480)
8 GREYHOUND LINES, INC.; ASHTON)
BENNY CASTILLO and DOES 1 to)
9 100, inclusive.)
10 Defendants.)
11 -----)
12)
13)
14 DEPOSITION OF PEDRO ALONSO-IFIL
15 GLENDALE, CALIFORNIA
16 AUGUST 28, 2020
17)
18)
19 ATKINSON-BAKER, INC.
www.abak.com

Page 2

APPPEARANCES:

2 For the Plaintiffs:

3 ACCIDENT ATTORNEYS
BY: BRITNEY M. BACA, ESQ.
825 West Avenue J
Lancaster, California 93534
(661) 945-4357
(661) 942-1289 (Fax)
britney@vaccidentattorneys.com

7 For the Defendant Greyhound Lines, Inc.:

8 LEWIS BRISNOIS BISGAARD & SMITH
BY: GARY A. CERIO, ESQ.
2185 North California Boulevard
Suite 300
Walnut Creek, California 94596
(925) 357-3656
(925) 478-3260 (Fax)
Gary.Cerio@lewisbrisnois.com

Page 211

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

1. CHRISTINA M. LOPEZ, CSR No. 13048,
Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify:
That the foregoing proceedings were taken
before me at the time and place therein set forth, at
which time the witness was put under oath by me:
That the testimony of the witness, the
questions propounded, and all objections and statements
made at the time of the examination were recorded
stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed;
That the foregoing is a true and correct
transcript of my shorthand notes so taken.
I further certify that I am not a relative or
employee of any attorney of the parties, nor financially
interested in the actions.
I declare under penalty of perjury under the
laws of California that the foregoing is true and

Page 211

before me at the time and place therein set forth, at
which time the witness was put under oath by me:
That the testimony of the witness, the
questions propounded, and all objections and statements
made at the time of the examination were recorded
stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed;
That the foregoing is a true and correct
transcript of my shorthand notes so taken.
I further certify that I am not a relative or
employee of any attorney of the parties, nor financially
interested in the actions.
I declare under penalty of perjury under the
laws of California that the foregoing is true and

CHRISTINA M. LOPEZ, CSR. No. 13048

which comprised of approximately **8 Hours** of Screenshots and Preserving and **does not** include time used for Research and Conference Calls with the Utica International Embassy's Legal Division Official/Prime Minister.

35. On or about **May 18, 2021**, The White House’s Briefing Room released a Statement advising in part:

Today, President Biden will sign a Presidential Memorandum **to expand access to legal representation and the courts.** As President Biden knows from his experience as a public defender, *timely and affordable access* to the legal system **can make all the difference** in a person’s life—including by keeping an individual out of poverty, keeping an individual in his or her home. . . helping someone fight a *consumer scam*, or ensuring that an individual . . . **can mount a strong defense** and **receive a fair trial.** But **low-income people** *have long struggled to secure quality access to the legal system.* Those challenges have only increased during the public health and economic crises **caused by the COVID-19 pandemic.** At the same time, civil legal aid providers and public defenders **have been under-resourced, understaffed, and unable to reach some of the people in greatest need of their services.** - -
As of 05/19/2021, cut and pasted from:

<https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/05/18/fact-sheet-president-biden-to-sign-presidential-memorandum-to-expand-access-to-legal-representation-and-the-courts/>

Furthermore, for preservation purposes, the May 18, 2021, **Memorandum on Restoring the Department of Justice's Access-to-Justice Function and Reinvigorating the White House Legal Aid Interagency Roundtable** is attached as **EXHIBIT “II”** to this instant *Emergency-RFI/IOS/NOD/NOC&D*...and is incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

THE WHITE HOUSE

Administration Priorities COVID-19 Briefing Room Español

BRIEFING ROOM

FACT SHEET: President Biden to Sign Presidential Memorandum to Expand Access to Legal Representation and the Courts

MAY 18, 2021 | STATEMENTS AND RELEASES

Today, President Biden will sign a Presidential Memorandum to expand access to legal representation and the courts. As President Biden knows from his experience as a public defender, timely and affordable access to the legal system can make all the difference in a person's life—including by keeping an individual out of poverty, keeping an individual in his or her home, helping an unaccompanied child seek asylum, helping someone fight a consumer scam, or ensuring that an individual charged with a crime can mount a strong defense and receive a fair trial. But low-income people have long struggled to secure quality access to the legal system. Those challenges have only increased during the public health and economic crises caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. At the same time, civil legal aid providers and public defenders have been under-resourced, understaffed, and unable to reach some of the people in greatest need of their services.

Addressing the *adverse* impact of the United States' *legal system* on **indigenous people** – i.e. such as Alonso-Ifil. Furthermore, the *adverse impact* of Covid-19 on their lives **in correlation to the legal system!** (EMPHASIS ADDED) – i.e. The 08/28/2020, Deposition appears to provide Testimony advising how Covid-19 has adversely impacted Alonso-Ifil; moreover, Greyhound's Legal Counsel appears to be *making a mockery of the Deposition the pain Alonso-Ifil was enduring as a direct and proximate result of the March 24, 2019, Greyhound Bus Accident!*

36. Pedro Alonso-Ifil believes *an investigation* will support his good-faith efforts in retaining Counsel to represent him in legal and/or lawful actions against Defendant Greyhound and/or other applicable Parties responsible for the liability from injuries/harm sustained as a direct and proximate result of the March 24, 2020, Greyhound Bus Accident [Bus No. 60590] involving Bus Driver **Ashton Rennick Castillo**. Furthermore, the United States Congress passing House Report No. 92-238, addressing claimants' (as Alonso-Ifil) **inability** to take advantage of federal remedies available (i.e. as in this matter – INTERNATIONAL Remedies due to Alonso-Ifil's Panama Nationality...) without the appointment of counsel:

The United States Constitution as well as laws passed by the United States Congress will further support the need for the passing of **House Report No. 92-238**. Congress demonstrated its awareness that claimants might not be able to take advantage of the federal remedy without appointment of counsel. As explained in House Report No. 92-238:

By including this provision in the bill, the **committee emphasizes** *that the nature of . . . actions more often than not pits parties of unequal strength and resources against each other. The complainant, who is usually a member of the disadvantaged class, is opposed by an employer who . . . has at his disposal a vast of resources and legal talent*

H.R. Rep. No. 238, 92nd Cong., 2d Sess., reprinted in 1972 U.S.C.C.A.N. 2137, 2148.



While the above may relate to an employment matter, Alonso-Ifil believes it *is relevant* and is provided *in the context* of this this instant matter to support the United States’ President Biden’s concerns about the *adverse impact* of the legal system on indigenous people *when pitted against “HUGE” Corporations (as Defendant Greyhound) who take advantage of the disposal of vast resources and ARSENAL of legal talent available to them!* Nevertheless, even in such cases (as this instant matter), Defendant Greyhound and its Legal Counsel come before this Court *with dirty hands and criminal motives/intent*, etc. in their *desperate efforts of gaining an undue advantage out of fear of their inability to defend against claims brought and/or to be brought by Pedro Alonso-Ifil.*

37. A great deal of time and effort having been put in *to preserve and secure* evidence that Defendant Greyhound and its Legal Counsel Lewis Brisbois and their Co-Conspirators *have fought hard to keep out of the record of this Court* regarding the August 28, 2020 Deposition – i.e. that exposes the unethical and/or criminal conduct of Lawyers/Attorneys they seek to keep hidden – that exposes and support the injuries/harm Pedro Alonso-Ifil sustained and continues to suffer from to date as a direct and proximate result of the March 24, 2019 Greyhound Bus Accident.



<https://personal.filesanywhere.com/fs/v.aspx?v=8e6b69885e6276bda9a7>

[https://uticainternationalembassy.website/UIE_LEGAL_DEPARTMENT/GREYHOUND_Matter/Pedro_Alonso-Ifil_Inquiry/082820_Pedro-Alonso-Ifil-DEPOSITION_w_EXHIBITS\(1-4\).pdf](https://uticainternationalembassy.website/UIE_LEGAL_DEPARTMENT/GREYHOUND_Matter/Pedro_Alonso-Ifil_Inquiry/082820_Pedro-Alonso-Ifil-DEPOSITION_w_EXHIBITS(1-4).pdf)


**III. NOTIFICATION OF DECLINATION OF GREYHOUND LINES,
INC.’S/ASHTON RENNICK CASTILLO’S SETTLEMENT
DEMAND**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, Pedro Alonso-Ifil (“Alonso”), **WITHOUT WAVING THE JURISDICTIONAL and DIVERSITY ISSUES, etc.** present in the above-styled case and *in the preservation of any and all claims asserted and/or may be asserted*, does hereby NOTIFY that, on or about April 29, 2021, Defendant Greyhound and Defendant Ashton Renneck Castillo – through the Law Firm of Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP – have offered a Settlement in the amount of \$50,000.00. See **EXHIBIT “III”** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full therein.

On or about May 21, 2021, Pedro Alono-Ifil “**DECLINED**” said Settlement Offer through written correspondence entitled, “*DECLINATION OF April 29, 2021 GREYHOUND / Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP SETTLEMENT OFFER.*” Moreover, advised that his **April 28, 2021**, Settlement Demands and the *Terms and Conditions* (set forth therein) are still in effect and **interest will begin to accrue** on or about May 29, 2021. See **EXHIBIT “IV” – LETTER ONLY** (*without attachments*) – attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

This instant Notification to the Court is to support Alonso is engaging in Discovery; moreover, to provide this Court with evidence and insight into the frivolous and civil/criminal violations of Defendant Greyhound and its Legal Counsel Lewis Brisbois. Furthermore, that Defendant Greyhound with its Counsel have been deliberately engaging in mail fraud and using the United States Postal Service (“USPS”) for purposes of harassment, threats, coercion and other criminal acts for purposes of obtaining an undue and unwarranted advantage in the above-styled case. In further support thereof, Alonso states; however, not limited to this listing alone:

38. **Mail fraud** by KNOWINGLY mailing documents regarding the above-styled case **to the wrong address** – i.e. although ACKNOWLEDGING receipt of correct mailing address and/or contact information as well as KNOWLEDGE of the correct email through which to correspond, etc. Thus, in violation of the applicable Statutes/Codes/Regulations/Laws governing said matters:



**Title 18 U.S.C. § 1341
Frauds and Swindles**

Whoever, having devised or intending to devise any scheme or artifice to defraud, or for obtaining money or property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises, . . . for the purpose of executing such scheme or artifice or attempting so to do, places in any post office or authorized depository for mail matter, any matter or thing whatever to be sent or delivered by the Postal Service, or deposits or causes to be deposited any matter or thing whatever to be sent or delivered by any private or commercial interstate carrier, or takes or receives therefrom, any such matter or thing, or knowingly causes to be delivered by mail or such carrier according to the direction thereon, or at the place at which it is directed to be delivered by the person to whom it is addressed, any such matter or thing, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than 20 years, or both. . . .

39. Conspirators (Defendant Greyhound with its Legal Counsel Lewis Brisbois and Co-Conspirators known to them) knowingly and willfully obstructed or retarded the passage of mail and the timely receipt thereof for purposes of obtaining an undue advantage in the above-styled case. Moreover, knowingly and willfully obstructed and retarded the passage of mail containing information pertaining said case by providing incorrect mailing address.

40. Alonso, through this instant “*Emergency-RFI/IOS/NOD/NOC&D...*,” request investigation(s) into the handling of any and all mailings Defendant Greyhound and its Legal Counsel allege to have been sent to his attention. The evidence supports that said Defendant and its Counsel DELIBERATELY submit mailings regarding the above-styled case to address(es) with KNOWLEDGE he may not receive and/or DELAY in receipt (if at all mailed) *will* occur!

41. Investigation(s) into the above-styled case will not only reveal that the records of Defendant Greyhound and its Legal Counsel Lewis Brisbois having the correct contact information for him, that filing(s) certified with this Court were done with KNOWLEDGE of fraud being committed upon this Court and the use of the USPS to further engage in criminal acts and conspiracies against Alonso for purposes of causing him further injuries/harm in RETALIATION for seeking lawful relief as a result of the March 24, 2019, Greyhound Bus Accident.

42. Alonso through this instant “*Emergency-RFI/IOS/NOD/NOC&D...*,” request investigation(s) and findings to determine criminal acts in the obstruction of mailings and receipt thereof have occurred. Moreover, he believes it is important to find out the role Defendant Greyhound, its Legal Counsel and Co-Conspirators are playing in the criminal conspiracy(s) to *obstruct mail/mailings* and to *obstruct the administration of justice*. Moreover, role played in the delay of Alonso’s timely receipt of mailings alleged by said Defendant and its Counsel. *Criminal acts in which Alonso has suffered and continues to suffer irreparable injury/harm and has been adversely affected.*

43. Alonso through the filing of this instant “*Emergency-RFI/IOS/NOD/NOC&D...*,” seeks investigation(s), the indictment(s) and prosecution(s) of Conspirators found through said investigation(s) to be guilty of violations under this section and/or their participation in such acts set forth herein against him. Moreover, all Conspirators that knew and/or had knowledge that said crime(s) was about to be committed and/or being committed and did nothing to prevent – i.e. having knowledge that any of the wrongs conspired to be done was about to be committed, and having the power to prevent or aid in the preventing of such criminal acts; however, neglected or refused to do so.

44. Alonso demands that the applicable charges be filed of and against Conspirator(s) found through the investigation(s) conducted as a result of this instant “*Emergency-RFI/IOS/NOD/NOC&D...*,” to have committed said crime(s); moreover, that said Conspirators be indicted and, if applicable, that the maximum penalty [i.e. fine **and** imprisonment] be sought if the evidence supports a PATTERN-OF-PRACTICE and/or PATTERN-OF-CONDUCT by Conspirator(s) who committed said crime(s). Alonso further seeks any and all applicable relief known to this Court to deter such criminal acts and *to protect him as well as the public and/or citizens from further injuries/harm!*

45. Investigation(s) will find that Defendant Greyhound and its Legal Counsel Lewis Brisbois (as well as Co-Conspirators) are using the United States Postal Service and/or Carrier Services for the purpose of obtaining monies from Pedro Alonso-Ifil through extortion, blackmail, racketeering schemes/scams and other criminal activities; thus, subjecting him to further unwarranted injuries/harm.

46. Alonso believes that Investigation(s) will find violations by Defendant Greyhound and its Legal Counsel Lewis Brisbois and their Co-Conspirators of criminal act pursuant to:

05/20/2021 Fax Received ▾

[View Document](#) Fax Received

Comment

Fax cover sheet and letter via fax was forwarded to dept. 501 RE: Notification on Non - Attendance - No action taken.

FACSIMILE

May 20, 2021

(559) 457-1624

FROM: Pedro Alonso-Ifil
 Utica International Embassy
 c/o Vogel Denise Newsome – Prime Minister
 Post Office Box 31265
 Jackson, Mississippi 39286
Telephone: (888) 700-5056
Facsimile: (844) 400-1002
Email: greyhound_pia@uticainternationalembassy.website

Plaintiff PRO SE

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 COUNTY OF FRESNO**

CASE NO. 19CECG03480

FREEMAN MAYS, et al. vs. GREYHOUND LINES, INC., et al.

PLEASE PROVIDE FILING STATUS OF:

Submitted Filing Notification for Case No. 19CECG03480 (Freeman Mays vs Greyhound Lines, Inc.)

NOTIFICATION OF NON-ATTENDANCE AT May 25, 2021 HEARING and GOOD-FAITH REQUEST FO REMOVAL OF May 25, 2021 HEARING and June 21, 2021 TRIAL FROM COURT'S CALENDAR

Submitted for filing on 05/20/2021

Filing Details	
Court	Fresno County
Date/Time Submitted:	5/20/2021 12:52 AM PST
Filing Type:	Notice
Authority Requested:	EFile
Filed By:	Pedro Alonso

Fee Details	
This envelope is pending review and fees may change.	
Case Fee Information	\$13.82
Payment Service Fees	\$0.37
Provider Service Fees	\$9.95
E-File Fees	\$3.50
Notice	\$0.00
Total:	\$13.82 (The envelope still has pending filings and the fees are subject to change)

Title 18 U.S.C. § 1519

Destruction, Alteration, or Falsification of Records in Federal Investigations . . .

Whoever knowingly alters, destroys, mutilates, conceals, covers up, falsifies, or makes a false entry in any record, document, or tangible object with the intent to impede, obstruct, or influence the investigation or proper administration of any matter within the jurisdiction of any department or agency of the United States or any case filed under title 11, or in relation to or contemplation of any such matter or case, shall be fined under this title, imprisoned not more than 20 years, or both.

47. Alonso through this instant *Emergency-RFI/IOS/NOD/NOC&D...* believes that this Court's records will support **falsification of ENTRY(S)** and Clerk's Office/Staff Member **taking a FAR DEPARTURE from filing procedures** in efforts to aid and abet Defendant Greyhound and its Legal Counsel Lewis Brisbois in conspiracies leveled against Pedro Alonso-Ifil. Moreover, to commit fraud upon the Court in efforts of getting Judge Tharpe to enter a ruling in their favor without knowledge of the criminal acts being carried out to obtain said ruling.

48. Alonso through the filing of this instant *Emergency-RFI/IOS/NOD/NOC&D...* seeks investigation(s), indictment(s) and prosecution(s) of Conspirators found through said investigation(s) to be found in violation under this section and/or their participation in such acts set forth herein against Pedro Alonso-Ifil. Moreover, that the required lawful action be brought against any/all Conspirators that knew and/or had knowledge that said crime was about to be committed and/or being committed and did nothing to prevent – i.e. having knowledge that any of the wrongs conspired to be done was about to be committed, and having the power to prevent or aid in the preventing of such criminal acts; however, neglected or refused to do so.

49. Alonso seek that the applicable charges be filed of and against Conspirator(s) found through the investigation(s) of this *Emergency-RFI/IOS/NOD/NOC&D...* to have committed said crime(s); moreover, that said Conspirators be indicted and if applicable that the maximum penalty [i.e. fine **and** imprisonment] be sought if the evidence supports a PATTERN-OF-PRACTICE and/or PATTERN-OF-CONDUCT by Conspirator(s) who committed said crime(s). Alonso further seek that criminal acts reported of Defendant Greyhound and its Legal Counsel Lewis Brisbois (through this instant filing as well as in the record of this Court) also be reported to the United States Department of Justice – Federal Bureau of Investigation (“FBI”)

50. Alonso seek Investigation(s) into this instant *Emergency-RFI/IOS/NOD/NOC&D...* in that there is sufficient evidence in the record of this Court as provided through his previous filings (including the **05/20/21 Notice Of Non-Attendance** has been obstructed from being timely filed)

Title 18 U.S.C. § 1346

Definition Of “Scheme Or Artifice To Defraud”

For the purposes of this chapter, the term “scheme or artifice to defraud” includes a scheme or artifice to deprive another of the intangible right of honest services.

51. On or about May 20, 2021, it appears that a Staff Member in the Clerk of Court entered “**Comment**” into the Docket noting, “*Fax cover sheet and letter via fax was forwarded to dept. 501 RE: Notification on Non-Attendance – No action taken.*” Thus, a reasonable mind may conclude that (based on the evidence) Clerk’s/Staff Member’s KNOWLEDGE of Pedro Alonso-Ifil’s “*05/20/21 Notice Of Non-Attendance;*” however, is knowingly, willingly and actively engaging in conspiracies involving “***scheme or artifice to defraud***” this Court and the public, etc. It appears that said entry was made to give false pretense that Alonso had just submitted a ONE-Page explanation for his Non-Attendance for the upcoming May 25, 2021 – i.e. when that is NOT the case. Moreover, as evidence supports, the Clerk’s Office was TIMELY notified of said *05/20/21 Notice of Non-Attendance* appearing in the cue to be accepted by the Clerk and filed and is sustained by the entry docketed.

52. On or about May 20, 2021, Conspirators Defendant Greyhound, Legal Counsel Lewis Brisbois and Clerk’s Office Staff Member(s) devised or intending to devise any ***scheme or artifice to defraud***, or for obtaining property (Court decision) by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises, exchange, alter, give away, distribute, supply, or furnish or procure for unlawful use, obligation, security, or other article ***did knowingly use information obtained through electronic means for notification (facsimile) to COVERUP mailing via E-Filing of “05/20/21 Notice Of Non-Attendance”*** – and entry thereof – ***to be compromised in the carrying out of criminal conspiracy*** for the purposes of executing such ***scheme or artifice*** and withholding and impeding mailing and precluding documentation from being timely filed with this Court with KNOWLEDGE of issues with the USPS due to Covid-19 and/or other reasons known to the Court. Therefore, precluding the timely receipt and filing of Alonso’s “*05/20/21 Notice Of Non-Attendance.*” Criminal acts and conspiracies, etc. for purposes of obstructing the administration of justice and other reasons known for their willful and malicious acts!

53. Alonso through the filing of this instant *Emergency-RFI/IOS/NOD/NOC&D...* seeks investigation(s), indictment(s), and prosecution(s) of Conspirators found through said investigation(s) to have engaged in violations under this section and/or their participation in such acts set forth herein against Alonso. Moreover, all Conspirators that knew and/or had knowledge that said crime was about to be committed and/or being committed and did nothing to prevent – i.e. having knowledge that any of the wrongs conspired to be done was about to be committed, and having the power to prevent or aid in the preventing of such criminal acts; however, neglected or refused to do so.

54. Alonso demands that the applicable charges be filed of and against Conspirator Greyhound, its Legal Counsel Lewis Brisbois and their Co-Conspirators through the investigation(s) of this *Emergency-RFI/IOS/NOD/NOC&D...* found to have committed said crime(s); moreover, that said Conspirators be indicted and if applicable that the maximum penalty [i.e. fine **and** imprisonment] be sought if the evidence supports a PATTERN-OF-PRACTICE and/or PATTERN-OF-CONDUCT by Conspirator(s) who committed

said crime(s). Alonso further seeks any and all applicable relief known as well as request that the applicable complaints be filed with the United States Department of Justice – Federal Bureau of Investigation - to deter such criminal acts and to protect him, this Court as well as the public and/or citizens from such hideous, malicious and willful criminal racketeering schemes/scams, etc.

55. Pedro Alonso-Ifil through this instant *Emergency-RFI/IOS/NOD/NOC&D...* request investigation(s) regarding the claims/allegations set forth herein in that the facts and evidence supports:

***OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE/OBSTRUCTION OF
ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE***

Obstruction of Justice - Interference with the orderly administration of law and justice, as by giving false information to or withholding evidence . . . or by harming or intimidating a witness . . . *Obstruction of justice is a crime in most jurisdictions.

Moreover, there is a PATTERN-OF-PRACTICE as well as a PATTERN-OF-CONDUCT sustained to support Defendant Greyhound's and its Legal Counsel Lewis Brisbois' engagement in obstructing the administration of justice/obstruction of justice for purposes of obtaining an undue advantage in the above-styled case and other reasons known to them and their Co-Conspirators.

56. Investigation(s) into the above-styled case, the filing submitted as well as this instant *Emergency-RFI/IOS/NOD/NOC&D...* will provide additional facts and evidence that Defendant Greyhound's and its Legal Counsel Lewis Brisbois' conspiracies with Co-Conspirators that have been launched against Pedro Alonso-Ifil for the purpose of:

- (a) interfering with the orderly administration of the laws and justice;
- (b) providing false and misleading information for purposes of obtaining an undue advantage;
- (c) withholding the filing to timely submitted documents for purposes of obtaining an undue advantage;
- (d) harassment, threats, extortion, intimidation, increasing costs of litigation; and
- (e) reasons known to them

to obstruct justice as well as the administration of justice, etc. *because NOT only may he serve as a WITNESS to the named Plaintiffs, he is a VICTIM of the crimes perpetrated against him by Defendant Greyhound and its Legal Counsel Lewis Brisbois to silence his TESTIMONY and voice!*

57. Through this instant *Emergency-RFI/IOS/NOD/NOC&D...* Pedro Alonso-Ifil is requesting investigation(s) of and against Defendant Greyhound, its Legal Counsel and Co-Conspirators in that it appears that the fact and evidence contained herein, in his previous filings as well as in this Court's record regarding the above-styled case will yield RETALIATION against him because NOT only may he serve as a WITNESS for named Plaintiffs, but he is a VICTIM of their criminal acts and/or conspiracies that have been leveled against him. In support thereof, states the following – i.e. however, not limited to this statutes alone:

Title 18 U.S.C. § 1513
Retaliating Against a Witness, Victim. . .

. . .

(c) If the retaliation occurred because of attendance at or testimony in a criminal case, the maximum term of imprisonment which may be imposed for the offense under this section shall be the higher of that otherwise provided by law or the maximum term that could have been imposed for any offense charged in such case. . . .

(e) Whoever knowingly, with the intent to retaliate, takes any action harmful to any person, including interference with the lawful employment or livelihood of any person, for providing to a law enforcement officer any truthful information relating to the commission or possible commission of any Federal offense, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than 10 years, or both.

(f) Whoever conspires to commit any offense under this section shall be subject to the same penalties as those prescribed for the offense the commission of which was the object of the conspiracy.

(g) A prosecution under this section may be brought in the district in which the official proceeding (whether pending, about to be instituted, or completed) was intended to be affected, or in which the conduct constituting the alleged offense occurred.

58. It is due to what Alonso-Ifil believed to be UNETHICAL practices that **adversely** impacted the above-styled lawsuit, which resulted in the JUSTIFIED FIRING of Accident Attorneys and/or its Attorneys in representing him before *any* Court of LAW (should it become necessary to take this matter to Trial)!

§ 4 ATTORNEY & CLIENT

7 C. J. S.

→ His first duty is to the courts and the public, not to the client,⁵⁵ and wherever the duties to his client conflict with those he owes as an officer of the court in the administration of justice, the former must yield to the latter.⁵⁶


The office of attorney is indispensable to the administration of justice and is intimate and

peculiar in its relation to, and vital to the well-being of, the court.⁵⁷ An attorney has a duty to aid the court in seeing that actions and proceedings in which he is engaged as counsel are conducted in a dignified and orderly manner, free from passion and personal animosities, and that all causes brought to an issue are tried and decided on their merits only;⁵⁸ to aid the court

*“His **first** duty is to the courts **and** the public, **not to the client**, and wherever the duties to his client conflict with those he owes as an officer of the court in the administration of justice, the former must yield to the latter.” - - 7 C.J.S. § 4 ATTORNEY & CLIENT.*

Thus, if such a conclusion is worth the paper it is written on, it is a good thing that Alonso-Ifil **“FIRED”** Accident Attorneys from representing him, and, said finding, sustain valid concerns of his *inability* to retain TRUSTWORTHY Legal Counsel to represent him **PRIOR to reaching out to the Utica International Embassy** and sharing his Testimony of what transpired that resulted in him winding up in the “PRO SE” / “Pro Per” status – i.e. after having been *previously* scammed/duped by Accident Attorney and/or its Attorneys in the above-styled matter.

59. Not only is the Chickasaw Tribal Nation’s Utica International Embassy recognized by the International Criminal Court (“ICC”) as well as the United States Department of Justice as a Lawful Government Embassy,



there is a HUGE interest from FOREIGN Nations and their Leaders because it has SHOCKED their conscience *that Native Descendants are awakening and realizing that there are "OTHER OPTIONS" when the so-called legal system of the United States FAIL!*

Last Update: 23 May 2021 - 07:19 [Update now](#)
 Reported period: May 2021 OK

Summary			
Reported period	Month May 2021		
First visit	01 May 2021 - 00:01		
Last visit	23 May 2021 - 06:48		
	Unique visitors	Number of visits	Pages
Viewed traffic *	1,114	2,248 (2.01 visits/visitor)	8,609 (3.82 Pages/Visit)

Locales			
Locales		Pages	Hits
United States	us	5,066	53,004
Russian Federation	ru		
Poland	pl		
Denmark	dk		
Great Britain	gb		
Germany	de		
France	fr		
Ukraine	ua		
India	in		
Romania	ro		
Chile	cl		
Singapore	sg		
Canada	ca		
Jamaica	jm		
Italy	it		
Venezuela	ve		
China	cn		
Vietnam	vn		
Turkey	tr		
Greece	gr		
Belgium	be		
Seychelles	sc		
Australia	au		
Kazakhstan	kz		
Saudi Arabia	sa		

IV. NOTIFICATION OF CEASE and DESIST ISSUED...

COMES NOW, Pedro Alonso-Ifil ("Alonso"), WITHOUT WAVING THE JURISDICTIONAL and DIVERSITY ISSUES, etc. present in the above-styled case and *in the preservation of any and all claims asserted and/or may be asserted*, and does hereby NOTIFY this Court that, on or about Friday, May 21, 2021, Defendant Greyhound Lines, Inc. and its Legal Counsel *Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP* have been duly notified to CEASE and DESIST from further criminal/civil violations against Pedro Alonso-Ifil as well as the Utica International Embassy Official(s)/Prime Minister, etc. See EXHIBIT "IV"– LETTER ONLY (without attachments) – attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED and for the reasons set forth in this instant

EMERGENCY REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION(S); REQUEST FOR IMPOSITION OF SANCTIONS OF and AGAINST GREYHOUND LINES, INC. and LEGAL COUNSEL LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP; NOTIFICATION OF DECLINATION OF GREYHOUND LINES, INC.'S/ASHTON RENNICK CASTILLO'S SETTLEMENT DEMAND; NOTIFICATION OF CEASE and DESIST ISSUED...

ss well as that known to this Court admissible in accordance with the Statutes/Laws governing such matters and granting the relief sought herein, be hereby granted.

PLEASE BE ADVISED: Pedro Alonso-Ifil reserves the right to amend this instant Notification should it become necessary and or may be deemed necessary to do so.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, this 24th day of May 2021.

Autograph:



Pedro Alonso-Ifil – PRO SE – UCC 1-308

COURT PROOF OF SERVICE

Freeman Mays, et al. v. Greyhound Lines, Inc., et al. Fresno County Superior Court
Case No. 19CECG03480
FOR MATTER IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF FRESNO

At the time of service, I am over 18 years of age and appear to be a party to this action through a STRAWMAN (PEDRO ALONSO-IFIL).


On May 24, 2021, I served a true copy (VIA EMAIL) of the following document:
“**EMERGENCY REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION(S); REQUEST FOR IMPOSITION OF SANCTIONS OF and AGAINST GREYHOUND LINES, INC. and LEGAL COUNSEL LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP; NOTIFICATION OF DECLINATION OF GREYHOUND LINES, INC.’S/ASHTON RENNICK CASTILLO’S SETTLEMENT DEMAND; NOTIFICATION OF CEASE and DESIST ISSUED...**”
and NOTIFIED via Facsimile that an email containing document has been sent.

I served the document on the following persons **via EMAIL** as indicated on the SERVICE LIST BELOW.

I declare under Statutes/Laws governing such matters that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on **May 24, 2021**, within what is presently known as the United States of America.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, this **24th** day of **May 2021**.

Autograph: 
Pedro Alonso-Ifil – PRO SE – UCC 1-308

SERVICE LIST

Stephen L. Rishoff, Esq.
Brittney M. Baca, Esq.
ACCIDENT ATTORNEYS
825 West Avenue "J"
Lancaster, California 93534
FAX ONLY: (661) 942-1289
Email: steve@AVaccidentattorneys.com
brittney@AVaccidentattorneys.com

Attorneys For Plaintiffs
FREEMAN MAYS; EDGAR JIMENEZ;
DARIO GONZALEZ

Service via **EMAIL ONLY** with
NOTIFICATION of Email being sent
via *One-Page* Facsimile

Shawn A. Toliver, Esq.
Devera L. Petak, Esq.
Gary A. Cerio, Esq.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
2185 North California Boulevard, Suite 300
Walnut Creek, California 94596
**FAX ONLY: (925) 478-3260,
(415) 434-0882 and/or (213) 250-7900**
Email: Shawn.Toliver@lewisbrisbois.com
Devera.Petak@lewisbrisbois.com
Gary.Cerio@lewisbrisbois.com

Attorneys for Defendant GREYHOUND
LINES, INC.

Service via **EMAIL ONLY** with
NOTIFICATION of Email being sent
via *One-Page* Facsimile

Arthur J. Chapman, Esq.
Marsha Kempson, Esq.
CHAPMAN GLUCKSMAN DEAN ROEB &
BARGER
11900 West Olympic Boulevard, Suite 800
Los Angeles, California 90064-0704
FAX ONLY: (310) 207-6550
Email: achapman@cgdrlaw.com
mkempson@cgdrlaw.com

Attorneys for Defendant
ASHTON RENNICK CASTILLO

Service via **EMAIL ONLY** with
NOTIFICATION of Email being sent
via *One-Page* Facsimile

CALIFORNIA JUDGES BENCHGUIDES

Benchguide 3

**COURTROOM CONTROL:
CONTEMPT AND SANCTIONS**

[REVISED 2017]

http://www.sblawlibrary.org/uploads/7/3/1/1/7311175/bg03_2017pt.pdf



**JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF CALIFORNIA**

OPERATIONS AND PROGRAMS DIVISION
CENTER FOR JUDICIAL EDUCATION AND RESEARCH



Memorandum on Restoring the Department of Justice's Access-to-Justice Function and Reinvigorating the White House Legal Aid Interagency Roundtable

MAY 18, 2021 • PRESIDENTIAL ACTIONS

By the authority vested in me as President by the Constitution and the laws of the United States of America, and in order to increase meaningful access to our legal system and an array of Federal programs, it is hereby ordered as follows:

Section 1. Policy. This Nation was founded on the ideal of equal justice under the law. Everyone in this country should be able to vindicate their rights and avail themselves of the protections that our laws afford on equal footing. Whether we realize this ideal hinges on the extent to which everyone in the United States has meaningful access to our legal system. Legal services are crucial to the fair and effective administration of our laws and public programs, and the stability of our society.

Recognizing the importance of access to justice and the power of legal aid, the Department of Justice (DOJ) in 2010 launched an access-to-justice initiative. In 2016, DOJ formally established the Office for Access to Justice. This office worked in partnership with other DOJ components to coordinate policy initiatives on topics including criminal indigent defense, enforcement of fines and fees, language barriers in access to the courts, and civil legal aid. The DOJ and the White House Domestic Policy Council also launched the Legal Aid Interagency Roundtable (LAIR) in 2012 to work with civil legal aid partners to advance Federal programs; create and disseminate tools to provide information about civil legal aid and Federal funding opportunities; and generate research to inform policy that improves access to justice.

The LAIR's successes prompted President Obama to issue the memorandum of September 24, 2015 (Establishment of the White House Legal Aid Interagency Roundtable), which formally established LAIR as a White House initiative. Using the White House's convening power, LAIR examined innovative and evidence-based solutions for access to justice, from medical-legal partnerships to improve health outcomes and decrease health costs to better procedures in court hearings for individuals representing themselves.

Share



But there is much more for the Federal Government to do. According to a 2017 study by the Legal Services Corporation, low-income Americans receive inadequate or no professional legal assistance with regard to over 80 percent of the civil legal problems they face in a given year. All too often, unaddressed legal issues push people into poverty. At the same time, in the criminal legal system, those who cannot afford private counsel often receive a lower-quality defense because public defender caseloads are overburdened.

Recognizing the importance of access to justice and the power of legal aid, the Department of Justice (DOJ) in 2010 launched an access-to-justice initiative. In 2016, DOJ formally established the Office for Access to Justice. This office worked in partnership with other DOJ components to coordinate policy initiatives on topics including criminal indigent defense, enforcement of fines and fees, language barriers in access to the courts, and civil legal aid. The DOJ and the White House Domestic Policy Council also launched the Legal Aid Interagency Roundtable (LAIR) in 2012 to work with civil legal aid partners to advance Federal programs; create and disseminate tools to provide information about civil legal aid and Federal funding opportunities; and generate research to inform policy that improves access to justice.

The LAIR's successes prompted President Obama to issue the memorandum of September 24, 2015 (Establishment of the White House Legal Aid Interagency Roundtable), which formally established LAIR as a White House initiative. Using the White House's convening power, LAIR examined innovative and evidence-based solutions for access to justice, from medical-legal partnerships to improve health outcomes and decrease health costs to better procedures in court hearings for individuals representing themselves.

But there is much more for the Federal Government to do. According to a 2017 study by the Legal Services Corporation, low-income Americans receive inadequate or no professional legal assistance with regard to over 80 percent of the civil legal problems they face in a given year. All too often, unaddressed legal issues push people into poverty. At the same time, in the criminal legal system, those who cannot afford private counsel often receive a lower-quality defense because public defender caseloads are overburdened.

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has further exposed and exacerbated inequities in our justice system, as courts and legal service providers have been forced to curtail in-person operations, often without the resources or technology to offer remote-access or other safe alternatives. These access limitations have compounded the effects of other harms wrought by the pandemic. These problems have touched the lives of many persons in this country, particularly low-income people and people of color.

With these immense and urgent challenges comes the opportunity to strengthen access to justice in the 21st century. Through funding, interagency collaboration, and strategic partnerships, the Federal Government can drive development of new approaches and best practices that provide meaningful access to justice today, and into the future, consistent with our foundational ideal of equal justice under the law.

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has further exposed and exacerbated inequities in our justice system, as courts and legal service providers have been forced to curtail in-person operations, often without the resources or technology to offer remote-access or other safe alternatives. These access limitations have compounded the effects of other harms wrought by the pandemic. These problems have touched the lives of many persons in this country, particularly low-income people and people of color.

With these immense and urgent challenges comes the opportunity to strengthen access to justice in the 21st century. Through funding, interagency collaboration, and strategic partnerships, the Federal Government can drive development of new approaches and best practices that provide meaningful access to justice today, and into the future, consistent with our foundational ideal of equal justice under the law.

Sec. 2. The Department of Justice's Access-to-Justice Function.

(a) My Administration is committed to promoting equal access to justice and addressing access limitations throughout the criminal and civil legal systems. The DOJ has a critical role to play in improving the justice delivery systems that serve people who cannot afford lawyers, and I am committed to reinvigorating that work.

(b) The Attorney General shall consider expanding DOJ's planning, development, and coordination of access-to-justice policy initiatives, including in the areas of criminal indigent defense, civil legal aid, and pro bono legal services. As soon as practicable, and no later than 120 days from the date of this memorandum, the Attorney General shall — in coordination with the Director of the Office of Management and Budget — submit a report to the President describing the Department's plan to expand its access-to-justice function, including the organizational placement of this function within the Department, expected staffing and budget, and, if necessary, the timeline for notifying the Congress of any reorganization.

Sec. 3. Reinvigorating the White House Legal Aid Interagency Roundtable.

My Administration is committed to ensuring that all persons in this country enjoy the protections and benefits of our legal system. Reinvigorating LAIR as a White House initiative is a key step in this direction. Accordingly, I direct as follows:

(a) The LAIR is hereby reconvened as a White House initiative in furtherance of the vision set forth in the memorandum of September 24, 2015, by which it was established and in light of today's most pressing challenges. The September 2015 memorandum is superseded to the extent that it is inconsistent with this memorandum.

(b) The LAIR shall work across executive departments, agencies, and offices to fulfill its mission, including to:

- (i) improve coordination among Federal programs, so that programs are more efficient and produce better outcomes by including, where appropriate, legal services among the range of supportive services provided;
- (ii) increase the availability of meaningful access to justice for individuals and families, regardless of wealth or status;
- (iii) develop policy recommendations that improve access to justice in Federal, State, local, Tribal, and international jurisdictions;

(iv) assist the United States with implementation of Goal 16 of the United Nation's 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development to promote peaceful and inclusive societies for sustainable development, provide access to justice for all, and build effective, accountable, and inclusive institutions at all levels; and

(v) advance relevant evidence-based research, data collection, and analysis of civil legal aid and indigent defense, and promulgate best practices.

(c) The Attorney General and the Counsel to the President, or their designees, shall serve as the Co-Chairs of LAIR, which shall also include a representative or designee from each of the following executive departments, agencies, and offices:

- (i) the Department of State;
- (ii) the Department of the Treasury;
- (iii) the Department of Defense;
- (iv) the Department of Justice;
- (v) the Department of the Interior;
- (vi) the Department of Agriculture;
- (vii) the Department of Labor;
- (viii) the Department of Health and Human Services;
- (ix) the Department of Housing and Urban Development;
- (x) the Department of Transportation;
- (xi) the Department of Education;
- (xii) the Department of Veterans Affairs;
- (xiii) the Department of Homeland Security;
- (xiv) the Environmental Protection Agency;
- (xv) the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission;
- (xvi) the Corporation for National and Community Service;
- (xvii) the Office of Management and Budget;
- (xviii) the United States Agency for International Development;
- (xxiii) the Office of the Vice President; and
- (xxiv) such other executive departments, agencies, and offices as the Co-Chairs may, from time to time, invite to participate.

(d) The Co-Chairs shall invite the participation of the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection, the Federal Communications Commission, the Federal Trade Commission, the Legal Services Corporation, and the Social Security Administration, to the extent consistent with their respective statutory authorities and legal obligations.

(e) The LAIR shall report annually to the President on its progress in fulfilling its mission. The report shall include data from participating members on the deployment of Federal resources to foster this mission. The LAIR's 2021 report shall be due no later than 120 days from the date of this memorandum.

(f) In light of the mission and function set forth in section 3(b) of this memorandum, LAIR shall focus its first annual report on the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on access to justice in both the criminal and civil legal systems. Moreover, the first convening of LAIR shall, at a minimum, address access-to-justice challenges the pandemic has raised and work towards identifying technological and other solutions that both meet these challenges and fortify the justice system's capacity to serve the public and be inclusive of all communities.

(g) The Attorney General shall designate an Executive Director of LAIR who shall, as directed by the Co-Chairs, convene regular meetings of LAIR and supervise its work. The DOJ staff designated to support the Department's access-to-justice function under section 2 of this memorandum shall serve as the staff of LAIR.

(h) The DOJ shall, to the extent permitted by law and subject to the availability of appropriations, provide administrative services, funds, facilities, staff, equipment, and other support services as may be necessary for LAIR to carry out its mission.

(i) The LAIR shall hold meetings at least three times per year. In the course of its work, LAIR should conduct outreach to Federal, State, local, Tribal, and international officials, technical advisors, and nongovernmental organizations, among others, as necessary to carry out its mission (including public defender organizations and offices and legal aid organizations and providers).

(j) The LAIR members are encouraged to provide support, including by detailing personnel, to LAIR. Members of LAIR shall serve without any additional compensation for their work.

Sec. 4. General Provisions.

(a) Nothing in this memorandum shall be construed to impair or otherwise affect:

(i) the authority granted by law to an executive department or agency, or the head thereof; or

(ii) the functions of the Director of the Office of Management and Budget relating to budgetary, administrative, or legislative proposals.

(b) This memorandum shall be implemented consistent with applicable law and subject to the availability of appropriations.

(c) Independent agencies are strongly encouraged to comply with the provisions in this memorandum.

(d) This memorandum is not intended to, and does not, create any right or benefit, substantive or procedural, enforceable at law or in equity by any party against the United States, its departments, agencies, or entities, its officers, employees, or agents, or any other person.

(e) The Attorney General is authorized and directed to publish this memorandum in the *Federal Register*.

JOSEPH R. BIDEN JR.



Devera L. Petak
2185 North California Boulevard, Suite 300
Walnut Creek, California 94596
Devera.Petak@lewisbrisbois.com
Direct: 925.357.3442

April 29, 2021

VIA U.S. MAIL ONLY

Mr. Pedro Alonso-Ifil
1340 East 6th Street, Apt. 305
Los Angeles, CA 90021

Re: ***Freeman Mays, et al. v. Greyhound Lines, Inc., et al.***
Fresno County Superior Court, Case No. 19CECG03480

Dear Mr. Alonso-Ifil:

We are in receipt of another fax from an entity who claims they are an Embassy. They have issued a demand for your case. We will not be responding to them directly as they are not lawyers who represent you. You are in *pro per* in this case and as such we can only communicate with you. Once again we ask you to cease and desist having them send us emails and or faxes.

In reviewing the demand you are apparently making to resolve this case we reiterate our offer to settle this case on behalf of Greyhound Lines, and Ashton Castillo in the amount of \$50,000.00 (fifty-thousand dollars) in exchange for a dismissal with prejudice of all claims asserted by you on your behalf. We understand that your prior lawyers have filed a lien with the Court against any settlement entered into. If you accept this offer they will need to be notified of same. Your doctors, the ones who treated you after the accident have also filed liens for payment of their bills. We are obligated to advise them of the settlement as well.

Please personally respond in writing, sent to us, your acceptance of this offer, if in fact you choose to accept same no later than May 15, 2021. We will not be sending any communications to the entity that sent us the fax demand.

We look forward to hearing from you in a timely manner.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads 'Devera L. Petak'.

Devera L. Petak of
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

DLP:kg



PEDRO ALONSO-IFIL

Utica International Embassy
c/o Vogel Denise Newsome – Prime Minister
Mailing: Post Office Box 31265 – Jackson, MS 39286


Phone: (888) 700-5056 [Ext. 817]

Fax: (844) 400-1002

Email:

greyhound_pia@uticainternationalembassy.website

NOTE: All Mailings and phone/fax communication(s) sent/made are to be verified through follow up with an email (two-step process) providing documentation, etc.



May 21, 2021

VIA EMAIL and/or FACSIMILE: (415) 434-0882
and/or (213) 250-7900

Greyhound Lines LLC

c/o Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP/

Shawn A. Toliver/Devera L. Petak/Gary A. Cerio

Shawn.Toliver@lewisbrisbois.com

Devera.Petak@lewisbrisbois.com

Gary.Cerio@lewisbrisbois.com

VIA EMAIL and/or FACSIMILE: (212) 421-2694
(212) 297-4911 (202) 483-8413

Republic of Panama President Laurentino Cortizo

c/o Permanent Mission of Panama to the United

Nations (New York) / Her Excellency Markova

Concepción Jaramillo

emb@panama-un.org, info@embassyofpanama.org,

panama@foreignconsulate.com

RE:

Pedro Alonso-Ifil's

**DECLINATION OF April 29, 2021 GREYHOUND / Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP
SETTLEMENT OFFER**

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA - COUNTY OF FRESNO

Freeman Mays, et al. v. Greyhound Lines, Inc., et al. - Case No. 19CECG03480

Greetings Devera L. Petak:

This is to confirm that I am in receipt of Greyhound Lines' and Ashton Castillo's Settlement Offer in the amount of "\$50,000.00 (fifty-thousand dollars) in exchange for a dismissal with prejudice of all claims asserted by you on your behalf" presented through Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP ("Lewis Brisbois").

PLEASE BE ADVISED: That this correspondence is to serve as my response in "DECLINING" Greyhound Lines' and Ashton Castillo's April 29, 2021, Settlement Offer of "\$50,000.00..." and the above conditions attached to said Offer.

PLEASE BE ADVISED: My Settlement Demands extended on April 28, 2021, and entitled, “**Pedro Alonso-Ifil’s NOTIFICATION and GOOD-FAITH SETTLEMENT DEMANDS ISSUED ON GREYHOUND LINES, INC.**” is still in place and *the “Terms and Conditions”* set forth therein **are still** in effect – i.e. with interest, etc. accruing beginning on or about May 29, 2021 (as noted). Since it appears from Lewis Brisbois’ letter dated April 29, 2021, to which this correspondence is addressing, its **generously** sharing **one-sided** offers to opposing Parties, alleging to have placed frivolous liens against me, please find attached my April 28, 2021, correspondence and supporting “**...NOTIFICATION and GOOD-FAITH SETTLEMENT DEMANDS ISSUED ON GREYHOUND LINES, INC.**” There appears to be a *pretense* that said Settlement Demands are also for Ashton Castillo – i.e. when they are NOT! I am considering handling any and/or all liability to be asserted of and against **Ashton Rennick Castillo** individually and/or separately.


Lewis Brisbois’ April 29, 2021, correspondence goes on to state in part, “*We are in receipt of another fax from an entity who claims they are an Embassy. They have issued a demand for your case. We will not be responding to them directly as they are not lawyers who represent you. You are in pro per in this case and as such we can only communicate with you. Once again we ask you to cease and desist having them send us emails and or faxes.*”

RESPONSE: The record will provide evidence that NOT only did I authorize the sending of my Settlement Demands, our April 28, 2021 correspondence, bears my Autograph! Therefore, supporting and acknowledging Greyhound’s and Lewis Brisbois’ **KNOWLEDGE** that said correspondence was from me through the assistance of the Utica International Embassy’s Prime Minister Vogel Denise Newsome. Moreover, *that the demands acknowledged by Lewis Brisbois were also issued from me!*

PLEASE BE ADVISED: Neither Greyhound nor its Lawyers at Lewis Brisbois can dictate which services I use to assist in service of communications. For example, if I used FedEx Kinkos “FAX COVER PAGE,” would Greyhound and its Counsel Lewis Brisbois have attacked FedEx Kinkos for assisting me? Moreover, if FedEx Kinkos had **provided me with a Number to “send from” and/or “receive”** Fax communication(s), would Greyhound and its Counsel Lewis Brisbois also REFUSE to provide me with information/communication to the FedEx Kinkos number and/or email provided from them to assist me?

There is sufficient evidence to sustain that Greyhound and its Counsel (Lewis Brisbois) have been timely, properly, and adequately notified that I have come to the Utica International Embassy and *am lawfully* utilizing the Services they provide (i.e. as noted in my correspondence to Greyhound and/or its Lawyers Lewis Brisbois). Therefore, **if** Greyhound’s Lawyers **are REFUSING to adhere to my timely provided instructions on “HOW” to communicate with me, the Court will need to be made aware of the “CONFLICTS” arising as well as the “THREATS,”** etc. that are being made against me as well as the Utica International Embassy and its Official(s)!

To clear up any confusion as it relates to the Utica International Embassy, it serves as an ***International Liaison*** on behalf of the Chickasaw Tribal Nation and, yes, **is recognized** by the United States – i.e. as reflected in the records of the United States Department of Justice:



As long as the UIE Services are afforded to me, I ***will continue*** to use unless advised otherwise, etc.

My email here at the Utica International Embassy is: greyhound_pia@uticainternationalembassy.website. This is the email that is reflecting in the records of the Court, and, ***I can only suggest***, that you comply and update your records to reflect same. Again, I **have authorized** the Utica International Embassy and/or its Official(s) to assist me. To further clarify, **there are certain services** [i.e. as Small Claims Advisors, Legal Aid...] that the Court recommends for those needing assistance. I **have chosen** the Utica International Embassy. **Neither** Greyhound **nor** its Lawyers (Lewis Brisbois) have the authority **to deprive** me of UIE Services. Therefore,

PLEASE BE ADVISED: Greyhound and its Legal Counsel - Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP – is to CEASE and DESIST from any and all further threats, etc. made to me, the Utica International Embassy and UIE Official(s) in RETALIATION and as a direct result of them assisting me in this matter. Furthermore, *if you have any documentation to support that the Utica International Embassy does not have “lawyers available who may represent” me, please so provide me with such evidence for my records* – i.e. since it appears Greyhound and Lewis Brisbois are headed down a ***dead-end road!*** Furthermore, any evidence that Greyhound or its counsel may have that I can **“ONLY BE ASSISTED BY LAWYERS”** of the System...!

Lewis Brisbois’ April 29, 2021, correspondence goes on to state in part, *“We understand that your prior lawyers have filed a lien with the Court against any settlement entered into. If you accept this offer they will need to be notified of same.”*

RESPONSE: Please be advised that my prior lawyers (Accident Attorneys... if that is who you are *alluding* to) may also be liable for damages/injuries through the applicable legal/lawful actions – i.e. due to what appears **to be conspiracies and to cause me further harm/injuries in RETALIATION of my FIRING them!** Furthermore, it is important to note, **my concerns of ETHICS... violations** by prior lawyers Lewis Brisbois *allege* have filed a “lien” against any settlement entered. I will seek to have this looked into, and, *if true*, afford “prior lawyers” **to withdraw** their frivolous claims/lien(s) as required by the Statutes/Laws governing such matters. Moreover, notifying the Court of “FRAUD...” being committed before it!

Lewis Brisbois’ April 29, 2021, correspondence goes on to state in part, “*Your doctors, the ones who treated you after the accident have also file liens for payment of their bills. We are obligated to advise them of the settlement as well.*”

RESPONSE: I am not aware of any such liens you allege to have been made by “**Your doctors;**” therefore, look forward to inquiring into *the authenticity* of such claims – i.e. out of concerns that this may be a part of **a RACKETEERING Scheme**, etc. sought to *further inflict* injuries/harm **upon victims** of Greyhound and/or its Lawyers Lewis Brisbois...! Moreover, may move to conduct “DISCOVERY” and seek the applicable “SUBPOENA(S),” if necessary, for *applicable* information I believe may be found in their records. In other words, Greyhound and its Lawyers at Lewis Brisbois **have muddied the water** in their efforts to obstruct the administration of justice in this matter. Therefore, a question that may be asked, “*Is **HOW** muddy do they want to make it?*”

According to Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP’s Document No. 4832-7574-0135.1, it has NUMEROUS Offices **around the United States;** however, want to deprive me of the “**INTERNATIONAL**” Services made available to me as a “**PANAMA National**” through the Utica International Embassy. I can only suggest that Greyhound **and** Lewis Brisbois *get familiar* with INTERNATIONAL Laws...!

ARIZONA • CALIFORNIA • COLORADO • CONNECTICUT • DELAWARE • FLORIDA • GEORGIA • ILLINOIS • INDIANA • KANSAS • KENTUCKY • LOUISIANA
MARYLAND • MASSACHUSETTS • MINNESOTA • MISSOURI • NEVADA • NEW JERSEY • NEW MEXICO • NEW YORK • NORTH CAROLINA
OHIO • OREGON • PENNSYLVANIA • RHODE ISLAND • TEXAS • UTAH • VIRGINIA • WASHINGTON • WASHINGTON D.C. • WEST VIRGINIA

4832-7574-0135.1

Furthermore, it has been brought to my attention, that the reason, Greyhound and its Legal Counsel Lewis Brisbois **are REFUSING** to communicate with me and CONTINUE to KNOWINGLY provide communications **at the “WRONG”** address – in its ongoing efforts to obstruct the administration of justice, etc. - **is** due to the “Jurisdictional” and/or “Diversity” evidence in the matter at issue in the Superior Court of the State of California – Fresno County.

PLEASE BE ADVISED: That any and/or ALL communication regarding the above-styled Court matter sent to


Mr. Pedro Alonso-Ifil
1340 East 6th Street, Apt. 305
Los Angeles, CA 90021

will be REJECTED and/or RETURNED to Sender in that, that is **NOT** my physical nor mailing address! Moreover, I **do NOT** reside there!

Thank you for your understanding and pray that this communication will clear up any questions Greyhound and/or its Legal Counsel Lewis Brisbois may have. Should you have further questions and/or comments please do not hesitate to contact me at (888) 700-5056 (Extension 817) and email (using our two-step verification process to support good-faith efforts to communicate with me...):

greyhound_pia@uticainternationalembassy.website

In Love, Truth, Pease, Freedom and JUSTICE,



Pedro Alonso-Ifil – UCC 1-308
(888) 700-5056 (Ext. 817)

greyhound_pia@uticainternationalembassy.website

Attachment: April 28, 2021 - *Pedro Alonso-Ifil's NOTIFICATION and GOOD-FAITH SETTLEMENT DEMANDS ISSUED ON GREYHOUND LINES, INC.*

cc: Via Facsimile and/or Email To Counsel Of Record In: *Freeman Mays, et al. v. Greyhound Lines, Inc., et al.* - Case No. 19CECG03480

Stephen L. Rishoff, Esq.
Brittney M. Baca, Esq.
ACCIDENT ATTORNEYS
825 West Avenue "J"
Lancaster, California 93534
FAX: (661) 942-1289
Email: steve@AVaccidentattorneys.com
brittney@AVaccidentattorneys.com

Arthur J. Chapman, Esq.
Marsha Kempson, Esq.
Chapman Glucksman Dean Roeb & Barger
11900 West Olympic Boulevard, Suite 800
Los Angeles, California 90064-0704
FAX: (310) 207-6550
Email: achapman@cgdrblaw.com
mkempson@cgdrblaw.com