

# FACSIMILE CONFIRMATIONS

Type	Sent	To	Length		Status
Fax	6/4/2021 11:42:10 AM	(51 [REDACTED] 8	18 Pages	<b>Greyhound Lines, Inc. c/o FirstGroup</b>	Sent
Fax	6/4/2021 11:42:10 AM	(51 [REDACTED] 2	18 Pages		Sent
Fax	6/4/2021 11:17:04 AM	(661) 942-1289	17 Pages	<b>Accident Attorneys</b>	Sent
Fax	6/4/2021 11:17:04 AM	(310) 207-6550	17 Pages	<b>Chapman Glucksman Dean Roeb &amp; Barger</b>	Sent
Fax	6/4/2021 11:14:57 AM	(20 [REDACTED] 4	17 Pages	<b>USDOJ Attorney General Merrick Brian Garland</b>	Sent
Fax	6/4/2021 11:14:57 AM	(20 [REDACTED] 4	17 Pages		Sent
Fax	6/4/2021 11:14:57 AM	(51 [REDACTED] 8	17 Pages	<b>FirstGroup America</b>	Sent
Fax	6/4/2021 11:14:57 AM	(51 [REDACTED] 2	17 Pages		Sent
Fax	6/4/2021 11:12:02 AM	(61 [REDACTED] 8	17 Pages	<b>Elizabeth Alexandra Mary/ Queen Elizabeth</b>	Sent

Type	Sent	To	Length		Status
Fax	6/4/2021 11:12:02 AM	(41 [REDACTED] 9	17 Pages	<b>Elizabeth Alexandra Mary/ Queen Elizabeth</b>	Sent
Fax	6/4/2021 11:09:45 AM	(415) 434-0882	17 Pages	<b>Greyhound Lines, Inc. Lawyers Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard &amp; Smith</b>	Sent
Fax	6/4/2021 11:09:45 AM	(213) 250-7900	17 Pages		Sent
Fax	6/4/2021 11:09:45 AM	(925) 478-3260	17 Pages		Sent
Fax	6/4/2021 11:06:53 AM	(559) 457-1624	17 Pages	<b>Clerk of Court / Judge Daniel Tyler Tharpe</b>	Sent
Fax	6/4/2021 11:06:53 AM	(21 [REDACTED] 4	17 Pages	<b>Republic of Panama</b>	Sent

**RE:**

**Pedro Alonso-Ifil's  
Response To Superior Court's 05/27/2021, Voicemail Message From Meagan; and  
Issuance Of CEASE and DESIST  
SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA - COUNTY OF FRESNO  
Freeman Mays, et al. v. Greyhound Lines, Inc., et al. - Case No. 19CECG03480**



# PEDRO ALONSO-IFIL

Utica International Embassy  
c/o Vogel Denise Newsome – Prime Minister  
Mailing: Post Office Box 31265 – Jackson, MS 39286


**Phone:** (888) 700-5056 [Ext. 817]

**Fax:** (844) 400-1002

**Email:**

[greyhound\\_pia@uticainternationalembassy.website](mailto:greyhound_pia@uticainternationalembassy.website)

**NOTE:** All Mailings and phone/fax communication(s) sent/made are to be verified through follow up with an email (two-step process) providing documentation, etc.



## June 4, 2021<sup>1</sup>

**VIA EMAIL and/or FACSIMILE:** (559) [REDACTED]

B. F. Sisk Courthouse  
ATTN: Clerk of Court/Meagan  
ATTN: Judge Daniel Tyler Tharpe  
1130 O Street  
Fresno, California 93721-2220  
[In \[REDACTED\]](mailto:in[REDACTED])

**VIA EMAIL and/or FACSIMILE:** (212) 4 [REDACTED]

(212) 2 [REDACTED] (202) 4 [REDACTED]  
*Republic of Panama President Laurentino Cortizo*  
c/o Permanent Mission of Panama to the United Nations (New York) / Her Excellency Markova Concepción Jaramillo  
[em \[REDACTED\]](mailto:em[REDACTED]), [in \[REDACTED\]](mailto:in[REDACTED]),  
[pan \[REDACTED\]](mailto:pan[REDACTED])

**VIA EMAIL and/or FACSIMILE:** (415) 4 [REDACTED]  
and/or (213) 2 [REDACTED]

Greyhound Lines LLC  
c/o Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP/  
**Shawn A. Toliver/Devera L. Petak/Gary A. Cerio**  
[Shawn.Toliver@lewisbrisbois.com](mailto:Shawn.Toliver@lewisbrisbois.com)  
[Devera.Petak@lewisbrisbois.com](mailto:Devera.Petak@lewisbrisbois.com)  
[Gary.Cerio@lewisbrisbois.com](mailto:Gary.Cerio@lewisbrisbois.com)

**VIA EMAIL and/or FACSIMILE:**  
(613) [REDACTED] (514) [REDACTED] (416) [REDACTED]

*Elizabeth Alexandra Mary* (a/k/a Queen Elizabeth II)  
c/o Permanent Mission of the United Kingdom to the United Nations / **Dame Barbara Woodward**  
[uk \[REDACTED\]](mailto:uk[REDACTED]), [uk \[REDACTED\]](mailto:uk[REDACTED]),  
[rs \[REDACTED\]](mailto:rs[REDACTED]), [bri \[REDACTED\]](mailto:bri[REDACTED])

**VIA EMAIL and/or FACSIMILE:** (202) [REDACTED] /  
(202) [REDACTED]

United States **Attorney General Merrick Brian Garland**  
United States **Solicitor General Elizabeth B. Prelogar**  
c/o **Melissa Golden** – Office of Legal Counsel  
c/o Kenneth M. Dintzer  
[us \[REDACTED\]](mailto:us[REDACTED])  
[Eli \[REDACTED\]](mailto:Eli[REDACTED])  
[Ke \[REDACTED\]](mailto:Ke[REDACTED])

**VIA EMAIL and/or FACSIMILE:** (513) [REDACTED]  
(513) [REDACTED]

FirstGroup America / FirstGroup PLC  
ATTN: Matthew Gregory (Chief Executive Officer)  
ATTN: Ryan Mangold (Chief Financial Officer)  
c/o **Legal Department / Lawyers**  
600 Vine Street – Suite 1400 / Cincinnati, Ohio 45202  
[th \[REDACTED\]](mailto:th[REDACTED]),  
[bru \[REDACTED\]](mailto:bru[REDACTED]),  
[corp \[REDACTED\]](mailto:corp[REDACTED])

<sup>1</sup> Boldface, underline, Italics, and colored text, etc. added for emphasis. Document(s) provided at links are incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

VIA EMAIL and/or FACSIMILE: (214) [REDACTED]  
(513) [REDACTED] (513) [REDACTED]  
Greyhound Lines, Inc.  
ATTN: Dave Leach (President/Chief Executive Officer)  
ATTN: Bill Blankenship (Chief Operating Officer)  
350 North St. Paul Street  
Dallas, Texas 75201  
[da](#)  
[bi](#)

VIA EMAIL:  
International Criminal Court  
c/o Office Of The Prosecutor / **Fatou Bensouda**  
c/o Head of Information & Evidence Unit /  
Mark P. Dillon  
[otp.informationdesk@icc-cpi.int](mailto:otp.informationdesk@icc-cpi.int)  
[Fadi.El-Abdallah@icc-cpi.int](mailto:Fadi.El-Abdallah@icc-cpi.int) [asp@icc-cpi.int](mailto:asp@icc-cpi.int)

VIA EMAIL and/or FACSIMILE: (661) 942-1289  
Accident Attorneys  
c/o Stephen L. Rishoff/Brittney M. Baca  
[steve@AVaccidentattorneys.com](mailto:steve@AVaccidentattorneys.com)  
[brittney@AVaccidentattorneys.com](mailto:brittney@AVaccidentattorneys.com)

VIA EMAIL and/or FACSIMILE: (310) 207-6550  
Chapman Glucksman Dean Roeb & Barger  
c/o Arthur J. Chapman/Marsha Kempson  
[achapman@cgdrlaw.com](mailto:achapman@cgdrlaw.com) [mkempson@cgdrlaw.com](mailto:m Kempson@cgdrlaw.com)

**RE:** **Pedro Alonso-Ifil's**  
**Response To Superior Court's 05/27/2021, Voicemail Message From Meagan; and**  
**Issuance Of CEASE and DESIST**  
**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA - COUNTY OF FRESNO**  
*Freeman Mays, et al. v. Greyhound Lines, Inc., et al.* - Case No. 19CECG03480

Greetings Judge Tharpe/Clerk of Court/Meagan:

This is to confirm that I am in receipt of this Court's voicemail message from Meagan advising that the above-referenced case has been dismissed. A copy of said voicemail has been preserved at the following links and is hereby incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein:

<https://login.filesanywhere.com/fs/v.aspx?v=8c6b668e5b5f6fab72a2>

[https://uticainternationalembassy.website/UIE\\_LEGAL\\_DEPARTMENT/GREYHOUND\\_Matter/AUDIO/052721\\_Voicemail-Message\\_Superior-Court-FRESNO\\_From-Meagan.mp4](https://uticainternationalembassy.website/UIE_LEGAL_DEPARTMENT/GREYHOUND_Matter/AUDIO/052721_Voicemail-Message_Superior-Court-FRESNO_From-Meagan.mp4)


As record EVIDENCE in the above-referenced matter will support, the Superior Court of the State of California - County of Fresno<sup>2</sup> ("Court") "**LACKED**" Jurisdiction to proceed in this matter. Therefore, please accept this instant correspondence as my FORMAL and LAWFUL issuance of "**CEASE and DESIST**" upon this Court, its Judges, Officers, Clerks, Employees as

---

<sup>2</sup> Superior Court of the State of California - County of Fresno ("Court") when mentioned *includes* its Judges, Clerks, Employees, Officials, Representatives, Agents and/or Legal Counsel, etc.

well as other named Parties and their Legal Counsel in the above referenced lawsuit, etc. from taking further action of and against me under such frivolous sanctions, alleged – i.e. as in this Court’s **May 21, 2021**, Tentative Order **filed on May 25, 2021**; moreover, in support of said issuance, I state the following in support thereof:

- (1) On or about April 5, 2021, this Court filed my, “**NOTICE TO COURT OF INABILITY TO OBTAIN AUGUST 28, 2020, DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT FROM ATKINSONBAKER**” supporting being timely, properly and adequately notified of my JURISDICTIONAL defense(s), and, that said defense(s) would **NOT** be waived.

 Superior Court of California, County of Fresno Regis

---

Pedro Ifil Alonso PRO SE	<b>E-FILED</b> 4/5/2021 12:27 PM Superior Court of California County of Fresno By: I. Herrera, Deputy	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF FRESNO
FREEMAN MAYS; EDGAR JIMENEZ; DARIO GONZALEZ; and PEDRO ALONSO-IFIL Plaintiffs	CASE NO. 19CECG03480  [Assigned for All Purposes to The Honorable D. Tyler Tharpe, Dept. 501]	
vs.		
GREYHOUND LINES, INC.; ASHTON RENNICK CASTILLO and DOES 1 to 100, inclusive, Defendants	<b>Pedro Ifil Alonso NOTICE TO COURT OF INABILITY TO OBTAIN AUGUST 28, 2020, DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT FROM ATKINSONBAKER</b>	
	Date: March 31, 2021	
<b>PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT <u>WITHOUT</u> WAVING THE JURISDICTIONAL and DIVERSITY ISSUES, etc. present in this matter <i>in the preservation of any and all claims</i> asserted and/or may be asserted, by Pedro Ifil Alonso ("Alonso"). He do hereby notify this Court</b>		

- (2) On or about May 20, 2021, this Court filed my, “**NOTIFICATION OF NON-ATTENDANCE AT May 25, 2021 HEARING and GOOD-FAITH REQUEST FO [sic] REMOVAL OF May 25, 2021 HEARING and June 21, 2021 TRIAL FROM COURT’S CALENDAR**” supporting being timely, properly and adequately notified of my JURISDICTIONAL defense(s), and, that said defense(s) would **NOT** be waived.

Superior Court of California, County of Fresno Regis

---

View Document - Notice Filed  
Pages: 53

Pedro Alonso-Ifil  
Utica International Embassy  
c/o Vogel Denise Newsome – Prime Minister  
Post Office Box 31265  
Jackson, Mississippi 39286  
**Telephone:** (888) 700-5056  
**Facsimile:** (844) 400-1002  
**Email:** [greyhound\\_pia@uticainternationalembassy.website](mailto:greyhound_pia@uticainternationalembassy.website)

E-FILED  
5/20/2021 8:00 AM  
Superior Court of California  
County of Fresno  
By: C. York, Deputy

Plaintiff PRO SE

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF FRESNO**

FREEMAN MAYS; EDGAR JIMENEZ; DARIO GONZALEZ; and PEDRO ALONSO-IFIL Plaintiffs	CASE NO. 19CECG03480  [Assigned for All Purposes to The Honorable D. Tyler Tharpe, Dept. 501]
vs.	<b>NOTIFICATION OF NON- ATTENDANCE AT May 25, 2021 HEARING and GOOD-FAITH REQUEST FO REMOVAL OF May 25, 2021 HEARING and June 21, 2021 TRIAL FROM COURT’S CALENDAR<sup>1</sup></b>
GREYHOUND LINES, INC.; ASHTON RENNICK CASTILLO and DOES 1 to 100, inclusive, Defendants	Date: May 19, 2021 Proposed Trial Date: June 21, 2021

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT WITHOUT WAVING THE JURISDICTIONAL  
and DIVERSITY ISSUES, etc. present in this matter *in the preservation of any and all claims*  
*asserted and/or may be asserted, by Pedro Alonso-Ifil ("Alonso-Ifil"), he does hereby NOTIFY***



From: 719722451663 Page: 1/28 Date: 5/21/2021 8:11:15 PM

# Fax

**FilesAnywhere**  
Web Fax Service



**To: Honorable Daniel Tyler Tharpe (Judge)**

Company: SUPERIOR COURT/ STATE OF CALIFORNIA - Fresno Cnty  
 Fax: 1 (559) 457-1624  
 Phone:  
 Fax ID: 11497821070  
 Reference:

**From: Pedro Alonso-Ifil**

Fax:  
 Phone:  
 E-mail: greyhound\_pia@uticainternationa

**NOTES:**

Urgent  
 SUBJECT: URGENT ATTENTION - Regarding 05/25/2021 HEARING

REQUESTING INVESTIGATION

Need assistance getting my 05/20/2021 FILED

NOTIFICATION OF NON-ATTENDANCE AT May 25, 2021 HEARING and  
 GOOD-FAITH REQUEST FOR REMOVAL OF May 25, 2021 HEARING and June 21,  
 2021 TRIAL FROM COURTÆS CALENDAR

## Filing Status

Filing ID	Status	Last Changed	Case	Envelope	Lead Document
5182565	Accepted	05/27/2021 11:14 AM PDT	19CECG03480	6530387	Request for Dismissal: 052721_REQUEST_FOR_DISMISSAL_F.pdf
5180491	Rejected	05/27/2021 11:03 AM PDT	19CECG03480	6525871	Notice: 052621_NOTICE_Of_Intent_ns_To_052621_Order_F.pdf (+ 1 more)
5174383	Accepted	05/25/2021 09:32 AM PDT	19CECG03480	6512870	Proposed Order: 052521_CORRECTED_PROPOSE_R_INVESTIGATION_S_F2.pdf
5172607	Rejected	05/25/2021 09:01 AM PDT	19CECG03480	6508861	Proposed Order: 052421_PROPOSED_ORDER_in_OR_INVESTIGATION_S_F.pdf
5172472	Draft	05/24/2021 03:32 PM PDT	19CECG03480	6508480	Proposed Order: 052421_PROPOSED_ORDER_in_FOR_INVESTIGATION_S_.pdf
5169672	Accepted	05/24/2021 11:05 AM PDT	19CECG03480	6504318	Notice: 052421_REQUEST_FOR_INVES o Ifil F w EXHIBITS .pdf
5156535	Accepted	05/24/2021 08:16 AM PDT	19CECG03480	6481990	Notice: 052021_NOTIFICATION_Of_N_nsolfil_F_wEXHIBITS_.pdf

- (3) On or about May 24, 2021, this Court filed/docketed my, “**EMERGENCY REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION(S); REQUEST FOR IMPOSITION OF SANCTIONS OF and AGAINST GREYHOUND LINES, INC. and LEGAL COUNSEL LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP; NOTIFICATION OF DECLINATION OF GREYHOUND LINES, INC.’S/ASHTON RENNICK CASTILLO’S SETTLEMENT DEMAND; NOTIFICATION OF CEASE and DESIST ISSUED...**” supporting being timely, properly and adequately notified of my JURISDICTIONAL defense(s), and, that said defense(s) would **NOT** be waived.

Pedro Alonso-Ifil  
Utica International Embassy  
c/o Vogel Denise Newsome – Prime Minister  
Post Office Box 31265  
Jackson, Mississippi 39286  
**Telephone:** (888) 700-5056 (Ext. 817)  
**Facsimile:** (844) 400-1002  
**Email:** [greyhound\\_pia@uticainternationalembassy.website](mailto:greyhound_pia@uticainternationalembassy.website)

E-FILED  
5/24/2021 10:39 AM  
Superior Court of California  
County of Fresno  
By: C. York, Deputy

Plaintiff PRO SE

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF FRESNO**

FREEMAN MAYS; EDGAR  
JIMENEZ; DARIO GONZALEZ;  
and PEDRO ALONSO-IFIL  
Plaintiffs

**CASE NO. 19CECG03480**

[Assigned for All Purposes to The  
Honorable D. Tyler Tharpe, Dept. 501]

VS.

**EMERGENCY REQUEST FOR  
INVESTIGATION(S); REQUEST  
FOR IMPOSITION OF  
SANCTIONS OF and AGAINST  
GREYHOUND LINES, INC. and  
LEGAL COUNSEL LEWIS  
BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH  
LLP; NOTIFICATION OF  
DECLINATION OF  
GREYHOUND LINES,  
INC.’S/ASHTON RENNICK  
CASTILLO’S SETTLEMENT  
DEMAND; NOTIFICATION OF  
CEASE and DESIST ISSUED...**<sup>1</sup>

GREYHOUND LINES, INC.;  
ASHTON RENNICK CASTILLO  
and DOES 1 to 100, inclusive,  
Defendants

Date: May 24, 2021  
**Proposed Hearing: May 25, 2021**  
Proposed Trial Date: June 21, 2021

<sup>1</sup> Boldface, Italics, Underline, and ALL Caps, etc. have been added to denote emphasis.

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT WITHOUT WAVING THE JURISDICTIONAL and DIVERSITY ISSUES, etc. present in this matter *in the preservation of any and all claims asserted and/or may be asserted*, by Pedro Alonso-Ifil (“Alonso”), he does hereby NOTIFY this Court of his, “**EMERGENCY REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION(S); REQUEST FOR****

- (4) On or about May 26, 2021, this Court docketed my, “NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE OBJECTIONS; REMOVAL of JUNE 21, 2021, TRIAL FROM COURT CALENDAR” supporting being timely, properly and adequately notified of my JURISDICTIONAL defense(s), and, that said defense(s) would NOT be waived.

Submitted Filing Notification for Case No. 19CECG03480 (Freeman Mays vs Greyhound Lines, Inc.)

Filing Details	
Court	Fresno County
Date/Time Submitted:	5/26/2021 1:14 PM PST
Filing Type:	Notice
Activity Requested:	EFile
Filed By:	Pedro Alonso

Document Details	
Lead File:	052621_NOTICE_Of_Intent_ns_To_052621_Order_F.pdf
Lead File Page Count:	4
File Copy	<a href="https://california.tylerhost.net/ViewDocuments.aspx?FID=2d3ee9ec-1a0d-4a5c-94df-32ba15638ebc">https://california.tylerhost.net/ViewDocuments.aspx?FID=2d3ee9ec-1a0d-4a5c-94df-32ba15638ebc</a> This link is active for 365 days.

Superior Court of California, County of Fresno Regist

---

View Document - Fax Received  
Pages: 9

From: 718887005056 Page: 1/9 Date: 5/26/2021 2:19:03 PM

  
 05/26/2021  
 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
 COUNTY OF FRESNO

To: SUPERIOR COURT-FRESNO  
COUNTY: Honorable D. Tyler Tharpe

From: Pedro Alonso-Ifil

Fax: 8444001002

Phone:

---

Date: 5/26/2021


Subject: CASE NO. 19CECG03480 - FREEMAN MAYS, et al. v. GREYHOUND LINES, INC.

---

Comments: Spoke with Kelly Confirmed my filings are sitting in the Court's Cue awaiting Acceptance NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE OBJECTIONS; REMOVAL of JUNE 21, 2021, TRIAL FROM COURT CALENDAR PROPOSED ORDER




## Filing 5180491 - Rejected

 File on Existing Case - Envelope Number 6525871

<b>Court</b>	Fresno - Civil
<b>Case No.</b>	19CECG03480
<b>Case Title</b>	Freeman Mays vs Greyhound Lines, Inc.

Message Type	Timestamp	Court Response
submission	05/26/2021 01:14 PM PDT	Received and assigned envelope number 6525871
document rejection	05/27/2021 11:03 AM PDT	Notice OF INTENT TO FILE OBJECTIONS... Rejected - 1 - Rejected: Rejected. Fee of \$60 is required for an Order Requesting Removal of Trial.
document rejection	05/27/2021 11:03 AM PDT	Proposed Order In Support Of OF INTENT TO FILE OBJECTIONS... Rejected - 1 - Rejected: Rejected. Fee of \$60 is required for an Order Requesting Removal of Trial.

 Chat Support

- (5) This is a matter in which I believe *an INVESTIGATION* will support this Court's engaging in CONSPIRACIES in efforts of aiding and abetting opposing Parties' Counsel (as GREYHOUND LINES, INC. and its Legal Counsel Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP ["Lewis Brisbois"] as well as Plaintiffs' Legal Counsel Accident Attorneys, etc.) in obtaining an undue/unlawful advantage in their efforts to **OBSTRUCT the administration of justice** as well as **shield themselves from liability**, etc.!

For instance, Judge Tharpe's *so-called* May 25, 2021, "**Tentative Ruling**" that Meagan refers to in the Court's May 27, 2021 voicemail, appears was **fraudulently dated** for 5/21/2021, with KNOWLEDGE that it was **NOT** executed on said date! **Backdating** of said document appears to have been done with CRIMINAL intent and other reasons known to this Court/Judge Tharpe/Clerk....

<b>SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA - COUNTY OF FRESNO Civil Department - Non-Limited</b>	Entered by:
TITLE OF CASE: <b>Freeman Mays vs Greyhound Lines, Inc.</b>	
<b>LAW AND MOTION MINUTE ORDER</b>	Case Number: <b>19CECG03480</b>

Hearing Date: <b>May 25, 2021</b>	Hearing Type: <b>From Chambers: Motion- Compel (x3), Motion- Admissions Deemed Admitted</b>
Department: <b>501</b>	Judge: <b>D. Tyler Tharpe</b>
Court Clerk: <b>S. Nunez</b>	Reporter: <b>Not Reported</b>

(30)

**Tentative Ruling**

Re: **Freeman Mays v. Greyhound Lines, Inc.**  
Superior Court Case No. 19CECG03480

Hearing Date: **May 25, 2021 (Dept. 501)**

**Tentative Ruling:**

To grant all four motions.

To impose monetary sanctions against plaintiff Pedro Alonso-Ifil, in the amount of \$ 1,430. (Code Civ. Proc., §§ 2030.290, subd. (c), 2031.300, subd. (c), 2033.280, subd. (c).) Sanctions must be paid by plaintiff, Pedro Alonso-Ifil, to the Law Office of Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith within 30 days after service of this Order.

Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 3.1312(a), and Code of Civil Procedure section 1019.5, subdivision (a), no further written order is necessary. The minute order adopting this tentative ruling will serve as the order of the court and service by the clerk will constitute notice of the order.

**Tentative Ruling**  
 Issued By: DTT on 5/21/2021  
 (Judge's initials) (Date)

**Neither** does this Court's Docket Entries support that said fraudulent "Tentative Ruling" was filed/docketed on 5/21/2021, as required to Rules governing such rulings!



[View Document](#)

05/18/2021 Notice Filed ▾

[View Document](#)

42884NOTICEOF000b3bf

Comment

of Non-Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Compel Plaintiff's Responses to WRitten Discovery Requests

05/20/2021 Fax Received ▾

[View Document](#)

Fax Received

Comment

Fax cover sheet and letter via fax was forwarded to dept. 501 RE: Notification on Non - Attendance - No action taken.

05/20/2021 Notice Filed ▾

[View Document](#)

Notice Filed

Comment

Notification of Non-Attendance at May 25, 2021 hearing and Good-Faith Request to Removal of May 25, 2021 Hearing and June 21, 2021 Trial From Court's Calendar



05/21/2021 Fax Received ▾

[View Document](#)

Fax Received

Comment

Fax cover sheet and letter and attachments received via fax and forward to: 501 Re: Request for Investigation and Notification of Non-attendance and Removal of 05/25/2021 Hearing



05/24/2021 Request Filed ▾

[View Document](#)

Request

Comment

\*No Action Taken\* Emergency Request for Investigation(s); Request for Imposition of Sancations of and Against Greyhound Lines, Inc. and Legal Counsel

05/24/2021 Fax Received ▾

[View Document](#)

Fax Received

Comment

Fax cover sheet and (proposed) order forwarded to dept.: 501 RE: Proposed order in e-file submitted on 5/24/2021 at 3:49 pm

- (6) Pursuant to California Rules of Court and/or the applicable Statutes/Codes, etc. governing said matters, it states in part:

Rule 3.1308. Tentative rulings

(a) **Tentative ruling procedures**

A trial court **that offers a tentative ruling** procedure in civil law and motion matters **must follow one of the following procedures:**

(1) **Notice of intent to appear required**

The court **must make** its tentative ruling available by telephone and also, at the option of the court, by any other method designated by the court, **by no later than 3:00 p.m. the court day before the scheduled hearing.** If the court desires oral argument, the tentative ruling must so direct. The tentative ruling may also note any issues on which the court wishes the parties to provide further argument. If the court has not directed argument, oral argument must be permitted only if a party notifies all other parties and the court by 4:00 p.m. on the court day before the hearing of the party's intention to appear. A party must notify all other parties by telephone or in person. The court **must accept notice by telephone** and, at its discretion, may also designate **alternative methods** by which a party may notify the court of the party's intention to appear. The tentative ruling **will become the ruling of the court if the court has not directed oral argument by its tentative ruling and notice of intent to appear has not been given.**

(2) **No notice of intent to appear required**

The court **must make** its tentative ruling available by telephone and also, at the option of the court, by any other method designated by the court, by a specified time **before the hearing.** The tentative ruling may note any issues on which the court wishes the parties to provide further argument at the hearing. This procedure **must not** require the parties to give notice of intent to appear, and **the tentative ruling will not automatically become the ruling of the court** if such notice is not given. The tentative ruling, or such other ruling as the court may render, **will not become the final ruling of the court until the hearing.**

Thus, a reasonable mind may conclude that the malicious “**DELAY**” in the filing of my **May 20, 2021**, Notice regarding, “NON-ATTENDANCE...” being due to this Court’s/Judge Tharpe’s/Clerks’ KNOWLEDGE that the “MANDATORY” requirements in using a “Tentative Ruling” **had NOT** been met!

## Filing Status

Filing ID	Status	Last Changed	Case	Envelope	Lead Document
5182565	Accepted	05/27/2021 11:14 AM PDT	19CECG03480	6530387	Request for Dismissal: 052721_REQUEST_FOR_DISMISSAL_F.pdf
5180491	Rejected	05/27/2021 11:03 AM PDT	19CECG03480	6525871	Notice: 052621_NOTICE_Of_intent_ns_To_052621_Order_F.pdf (+ 1 more)
5174383	Accepted	05/25/2021 09:32 AM PDT	19CECG03480	6512870	Proposed Order: 052521_CORRECTED_PROPOSE_R_INVESTIGATION_S_F2.pdf
5172607	Rejected	05/25/2021 09:01 AM PDT	19CECG03480	6508861	Proposed Order: 052421_PROPOSED_ORDER_in_OR_INVESTIGATION_S_F.pdf
5172472	Draft	05/24/2021 03:32 PM PDT	19CECG03480	6508480	Proposed Order: 052421_PROPOSED_ORDER_in_FOR_INVESTIGATION_S_.pdf
5169672	Accepted	05/24/2021 11:05 AM PDT	19CECG03480	6504318	Notice: 052421_REQUEST FOR INVES o Ifil F w EXHIBITS .pdf
5156535	Accepted	05/24/2021 08:16 AM PDT	19CECG03480	6481990	Notice: 052021_NOTIFICATION_Of_N_nsofil_F_wEXHIBITS_.pdf

This Court’s *so-called* “Tentative Ruling” **was NEVER** made available to me by Telephone... and/or any other method “**PRIOR**” to the May 25, 2021, Hearing although the record evidence clearly supports my good-faith efforts (i.e. notifying Judge Tharpe) **NOTIFYING** *via Facsimile* of the “**OBSTRUCTION**” of my E-Filing submitted on or about May 20, 2021!


It is a good thing that this Court’s record reflects my “NOTIFICATION OF NON-ATTENDANCE...;” moreover, this Court’s **FAILURE** to comply with its own **MANDATORY** procedures governing “Tentative Rulings” *renders it “VOID”* and it **CANNOT** be enforced! Furthermore, **NEITHER am I required** to comply with any such *criminal and fraudulent* Order and/or Ruling! Therefore, **I will NOT!**

- (7) Defendant GREYHOUND LINES, INC. and its Legal Counsel Lewis Bribois with this Court’s Judge/Clerks, etc. CELEBRATED over a “**FRAUDULENT**” Tentative Ruling that may go down in the record books as being *much worse* than the Federal Bureau of Investigation’s (“FBI”) “*Operation Greylord*” – i.e. because it appears **the RABBIT HOLE is very deep on this one!** Moreover, the conspiracies, CORRUPTION, War Crimes and other CRIMINAL acts are so BLATANT! Thus, exposing the United States of America’s/United States’ JUDICIAL System for what it really is – i.e. *a system controlled and run those affiliated with promoting SYSTEMATIC discrimination and/or racism, etc. in the prosecution of such personal injury matters!*




(8) While the Defendants and their CO-Conspirators were CELEBRATING, I took the time to submit “NOTIFICATION” regarding intent to contest and/or OBJECT to any such Order and/or Ruling of this Court! I believe said Notification... is in compliance with the Statutes/Codes/Rules and/or Regulations governing such matters as, California Rules of Court - **Rule 5.125**. Preparation, service, and submission of order *after hearing* - - **NOTIFYING of my intent to OBJECT** and/or contest this Court's so-called Order and/or Ruling with the time allowed under the applicable Statutes/Laws governing said matters.

### Filing 5180491 - Rejected

 File on Existing Case - Envelope Number 6525871

**Court** Fresno - Civil  
**Case No.** 19CECG03480  
**Case Title** Freeman Mays vs Greyhound Lines, Inc.

Message Type	Timestamp	Court Response
submission	05/26/2021 01:14 PM PDT	Received and assigned envelope number 6525871
document rejection	05/27/2021 11:03 AM PDT	Notice OF INTENT TO FILE OBJECTIONS... Rejected - 1 - Rejected: Rejected. Fee of \$60 is required for an Order Requesting Removal of Trial.
document rejection	05/27/2021 11:03 AM PDT	Proposed Order In Support of OF INTENT TO FILE OBJECTIONS... Rejected - 1 - Rejected: Rejected. Fee of \$60 is required for an Order Requesting Removal of Trial.

 Chat Support



- (9) On or about May 27, 2021, it appears that while this Court/Judge Tarpe and Clerks, etc. **were busy CONSPIRING** with Defendant GREYHOUND LINES, INC. and its Legal Counsel Lewis Brisbois... to provide them with an undue and/or unlawful advantage in the above-referenced lawsuit, my time was better spent continuing using “LAWFUL” options (1 Timothy 1:8) and a “POWER MOVE” was made; wherein, I moved to having the lawsuit dismissed **“WITHOUT PREJUDICE!”**

Superior Court of California, County of Fresno Regi

**CIV-110**

<p>ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY: STATE BAR NO:</p> <p>NAME: Pedro Alonso-Ifil</p> <p>FIRM NAME:</p> <p>STREET ADDRESS: [REDACTED] [Mailing - Post Office Box 31265]</p> <p>CITY: [REDACTED] [Mailing - Jackson] STATE: MS ZIP CODE: 39286</p> <p>TELEPHONE NO.: (888) 700-5056 [Ext. 817] FAX NO.: (844) 400-1002</p> <p>E-MAIL ADDRESS: greyhound_pia@utica.internationalembassy.web site</p> <p>ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Pro Per / Pro Se</p> <p><b>SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF FRESNO</b></p> <p>STREET ADDRESS: 1130 O Street</p> <p>MAILING ADDRESS:</p> <p>CITY AND ZIP CODE: Fresno,</p> <p>BRANCH NAME: B. F. SSK COURTHOUSE</p> <p>Plaintiff/Petitioner: FREEMAN MAYS, et al.</p> <p>Defendant/Respondent: GREYHOUND LINES, INC., et al.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">FOR COURT USE ONLY</p> <p>E-FILED 5/27/2021 8:00 AM Superior Court of California County of Fresno By: A. Ramos, Deputy</p>
<p><b>REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL</b></p>	<p>CASE NUMBER: 19CECG03480</p>

A conformed copy will not be returned by the clerk unless a method of return is provided with the document.

This form may not be used for dismissal of a derivative action or a class action or of any party or cause of action in a class action. (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.760 and 3.770.)

(6)  Other (specify):\* as to Plaintiff PEDRO ALONSO-IFIL ONLY

2. (Complete in all cases except family law cases.)  
The court  did  did not waive court fees and costs for a party in this case. (This information may be obtained from the clerk. If court fees and costs were waived, the declaration on the back of this form must be completed).

Date: May 26, 2021

Pedro Alonso-Ifil

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF  ATTORNEY  PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY)

(SIGNATURE) *Pedro Alonso-Ifil*

Attorney or party without attorney for:  
 Plaintiff/Petitioner  Defendant/Respondent  
 Cross Complainant

3. TO THE CLERK: Consent to the above dismissal is hereby given.\*\*

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF  ATTORNEY  PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY)

(SIGNATURE)

Attorney or party without attorney for:  
 Plaintiff/Petitioner  Defendant/Respondent  
 Cross Complainant

\*\* If a cross-complaint – or Response (Family Law) seeking affirmative relief – is on file, the attorney for cross-complainant (respondent) must sign this consent if required by Code of Civil Procedure section 581 (i) or (j).


(To be completed by clerk)

4.  Dismissal entered as requested on (date): 5/27/2021

5.  Dismissal entered on (date): 5/27/2021 as to only (name): Pedro Alonso-Ifil

6.  Dismissal not entered as requested for the following reasons (specify):

# CEASE and DESIST



Please accept this instant correspondence as my **OFFICIAL and/or FORMAL “CEASE and DESIST”** upon this Court in granting/seeking action of and *against* a “STRAWMAN” appearing to have a name similar to mine and/or is being perpetrated upon this Court as being me (when it is NOT) for purposes of obtaining frivolous Sanctions mention in said Court’s May 27, 2021, voicemail message left by Meagan advising of such an Order entered and/or filed on or about May 25, 2021 – i.e. *she advised is against me!*

Please be advised that this **CEASE and DESIST** is also hereby issued upon “ALL” named Defendants and other named Plaintiffs and said Parties’ Legal Counsel in the above-referenced lawsuit that may seek to obtain relief through the **FRAUDULENT “BACKDATED” May 21, 2021 “Tentative Order”** filed/entered on the Court’s docket “AFTER” (and **not BEFORE**) the May 25, 2021 Hearing, which was timely contested and objected to.

The Court’s/Judge Daniel Tyler Tharpe’s “**ABUSE OF POWER**” **and** “**USURPATION**” ...is *unwarranted* in failed efforts to get me to waive my JURISDICTIONAL defense(s); moreover, are the result of FAILED efforts to get me to *unknowingly submit* to the Jurisdiction of the Court – i.e. **through “STRAWMAN” Scams...**



<https://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/the-great-deception-usa-fraudulent-legal-system>

. . . as they seek ways through such RACKETEERING Schemes/Scams to FINANCE their RACIST and SYSTEMATIC discriminatory practices, WAR Crimes and other Criminal Acts known to them!



Judge John J. Russo  
ADMINISTRATIVE AND  
PRESIDING JUDGE  
Courtroom: 16-B



Judge Joseph D.  
Russo  
Courtroom: 22-D



Judge Michael J.  
Russo  
Courtroom: 17-C



Judge Nancy  
Margaret Russo  
Courtroom: 18-C



Judge Deena R.  
Calabrese  
Courtroom: 22-B



Judge J. Philip  
Calabrese  
Courtroom: 21-D



Judge Brian J.  
Corrigan  
Courtroom: 22-A



Judge Peter J.  
Corrigan  
Courtroom: 19-B



Judge Hollie L.  
Gallagher  
Courtroom: 16-A



Judge Kelly A.  
Gallagher  
Courtroom: 21-C



Judge Shannon M.  
Gallagher  
Courtroom: 17-A



Judge John D. Sutula  
Courtroom: 23-B



Judge Kathleen A.  
Sutula  
Courtroom: 23-D

[View More](#)

(10) I am NOT a corporation. I am HUMAN with flesh and blood. I am NOT dead; however, am ALIVE...

(11) **PLEASE BE ADVISED:** That I believe that any such monies and properties, etc. this Court and adverse Parties in the above-referenced matter may seek against me (i.e. through *CRIMINAL acts disguised as frivolous Sanctions*), may be deemed “EXTORTION” / “BLACKMAIL” demands, etc. and, therefore, **are PROHIBITED** under the Statutes/Laws governing such matters!



Thank each of you for your time and consideration in this matter. I pray that there is sufficient information and EVIDENCE in this record to support the Court has been timely, properly and adequately NOTIFIED and advised to CEASE and DESIST from any present/further action of and against me based upon the FRIVOULOUS Sanctions and/or Motions reportedly granted in the above-referenced matter.

I reserve the right to amend this correspondence, should it be deemed necessary.

**PLEASE BE ADVISED:** As noted above, a copy of this correspondence is being provided to others who may have an interest in this matter.

The Court's response (*if mailed*) to this correspondence is to be submitted to me via FACSIMILE and EMAIL as noted on my Letterhead above. These are good-faith requests due to what I believe to be THREATS that have been made against my life, liberties, wellbeing, freedoms, etc. by opposing parties in RETALIATION for my pursuing JUSTICE, etc.!

Should you have further questions and/or comments, please do not hesitate to contact me at (888) 700-5056 (Ext. 817) and please follow-up with written documentation via FACSIMILE – (844) 400-1002 **and** EMAIL – [greyhound pia@uticainternationalembassy.website](mailto:greyhound_pia@uticainternationalembassy.website) (*two-step verification process to assure good-faith efforts in receiving*).

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, this 4<sup>th</sup> day of June 2021.



Pedro Alfonso-Ifil



Vogel Denise Newsome – Prime Minister  
Utica International Embassy