

VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME

Mailing: Post Office Box 14731
Cincinnati, Ohio 45250
Phone: 513/680-2922 or 601/885-9536

April 22, 2011

VIA U.S. PRIORITY MAIL

Supreme Court of the United States

ATTN: Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr. – Receipt No. 23061570000105806961

ATTN: William K. Suter, Clerk – Receipt No. 03091140000192641847

1 First Street, NE

Washington, DC 20543

RE: *Response To March 17, 2011 Supreme Court of the United States' Letter*
Lower Court Action: *Stor-All Alfred LLC v. Denise V. Newsome*; Hamilton County
(Ohio) Court of Common Pleas; Case No. A0901302

Dear Justice Roberts and Mr. Suter:

Enclosed is please find the *Original* and 3 copies (i.e. one of copies sent to attention of Justice Roberts) of the following:

1. *Response To March 17, 2011 Supreme Court of the United States' Letter*

to be filed with this Court. Please provide Newsome with a stamped "**FILED**" copy of the *Response To March 17, 2011 Supreme Court of the United States' Letter* in the self-addressed postage-paid envelope provided.

By copy of same, Newsome is providing United States President Barack Obama, Solicitor General of United States, Judge John Andrew West, Hamilton County [Ohio] Court of Common Pleas and opposing parties and/or their counsel in the lower court matter with a copy of this filing. Newsome will also be releasing a copy of this filing as well as the *Petition for Extraordinary Writ to the PUBLIC/MEDIA and FOREIGN NATIONS/LEADERS and their media outlets due to the EXTRAORDINARY/EXCEPTIONAL and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances involved*. Especially, when you have United States President Barack Obama coming out PUBLICLY and DEMANDING that Foreign Leaders (i.e. such as *Egypt's President Hosni Mubarak and Lybia's Colonel Muammar el-Qaddafi*) to step down when he and the Obama Administration have **REPEATEDLY engaged and STILL engages** in CORRUPTION and the COVER-UP of CRIMES/CIVIL WRONGS leveled against African-American/People of Color and/or its citizens. Furthermore, because Newsome believes there is sufficient **INDISPUTABLE** EVIDENCE that has been produced in the record of this Court (i.e. this instant filing, *Petition for Extraordinary Writ, "Emergency Motion to Stay; Emergency Motion for Enlargement of Time and Other Relief The United States Supreme Court Deems Appropriate To Correct The Legal Wrongs/Injustices Reported Herein"* and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices).

Yes, it is **EMBARRASSING** because as Citizens and Foreign Countries/Leaders were wondering why President Barack Obama was SLOTHFUL in responding to crisis in the Middle East, it may be due to the fact that his lawyers and advisors were working with him to come out and make it appear HE IS TO BE "CREDITED" for the citizens' in the Middle East uprising in taking back their

VIA PRIORITY MAIL

Supreme Court of the United States

ATTN: Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr. – Receipt No. 23061570000105806961

ATTN: William K. Suter, Clerk – Receipt No. 03091140000192641847

RE: *Response To March 17, 2011 Supreme Court of the United States' Letter*

Lower Court Action: *Stor-All Alfred LLC v. Denise V. Newsome*; Hamilton County (Ohio) Court of Common Pleas; Case No. A0901302

April 22, 2011

Page 2 of 5

government and exposing the CORRUPTION and CRIMINAL acts of their Leaders. President Obama and his Administration are attempting to take credit for the ZEAL and COURAGE of the Middle Citizens when they had **NOTHING** to do with the UNITY of those citizens and their actions taken. In FACT, Citizens/Public need to remember that the United States/President Obama and his Administration **relied upon** the FRIENDSHIP/ALLY of such OPPRESSIVE Leaders/Rulers because the United States itself governs by the SAME OPPRESSIVE/ENSLAVEMENT mentality as those countries/leaders who had kept their citizens in BONDAGE for DECADE(S) – i.e. **30 – 40 years**, etc. How would Citizens/the Public feel to have the President of the United States try and take credit for the “**WORK, TIME, PREPARATION and IDEAS**” of another? **Well this is exactly what President Obama and his Administration is doing.** Then, how would Citizens/Public feel, that in an effort of President Obama and his Administration to take credit for the Middle East uprisings that he “**ACTED WITHOUT CONGRESS’ PERMISSION**” and KNOWINGLY and WILLINGLY took the citizens of Libya into battle and ABRUPTLY ABANDONED them to be SLAUGHTERED. *Look at the LENGTH of time in delays in responding – i.e. which has resulted in NEEDLESS and COUNTLESS lives being lost because the FALSE “Leadership” and abandonment of the Obama Administration – i.e. the United States is known for starting wars and/or battles they cannot win and then leaving people HIGH and DRY to be EXECUTED!!!*

How would Citizens/the Pubic feel knowing how the MEDIA is trying to make it seem like the TEA PARTY has so much power on Capitol Hill because of the results of the November 2010 election – *WHEN THE TEA PARTY DID NOT. The Citizens (i.e. the MAJORITY of which **ARE NOT TEA PARTIERS**) through their VOTES wanted to send President Obama and his Administration a message that they have had*

VIA PRIORITY MAIL

Supreme Court of the United States

ATTN: Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr. – Receipt No. 23061570000105806961

ATTN: William K. Suter, Clerk – Receipt No. 03091140000192641847

RE: *Response To March 17, 2011 Supreme Court of the United States' Letter*

Lower Court Action: *Stor-All Alfred LLC v. Denise V. Newsome*; Hamilton County (Ohio) Court of Common Pleas; Case No. A0901302

April 22, 2011

Page 3 of 5

enough. So the Media needs to get OFF OF THAT TRIP – attempting to mislead the citizens. *The MAJORITY of the United States Media with is PRO-OBAMA and work diligently to keep the TRUTH from the PUBLIC/WORLD.* President Obama was not listening (i.e. as long as he had control of both the House and the Senate) so the Citizens sent him a message that he could understand by DISARMING him!! Now that he has been DISARMED he is listening as well as watching. *Now it is time for President Obama to LISTEN and STEP DOWN from office. Step Down and/or Be Fired/IMPEACHED!!* As with President Nixon, the Public/World has the right to know, **WHAT DID PRESIDENT OBAMA and HIS ADMINISTRATION KNOW and WHEN DID HE/THEY KNOW IT?** *It is a good thing President Obama and his Corrupt Administration CANNOT play the "Race Card" in this matter.* For they have an African-American/Black bringing this action and one that voted for him; however, Newsome is NEITHER Democrat nor Republican. Like Jesus Christ was known to have selected Judas, He did NOT take his eyes off of JUDAS!!! *Newsome believes that President Obama and his Administration has committed FRAUD and CRIMES against her as well as the Citizens of the United States and he and his Administration has GOT TO GO – STEP DOWN AND/OR BE FIRED/IMPEACHED!!*

Newsome believes it is also IMPORTANT for the PUBLIC/WORLD to see how the first “alleged” African-American/Black President (Barack Obama) who PUBLICLY made it known that he and his Administration *WOULD NOT TOLERATE Discrimination under his watch* has been VERY HYPOCRITICAL and RELY upon the ADVICE and COUNSEL of Law Firm(s) (i.e. such as Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC) who *KNOWINGLY, BLATENTLY, WILLINGLY, MALICIOUSLY and DELIBERATELY* engage in **TERRORIST/SUPREMACIST/RACIST/BULLYING** acts leveled against African-Americans/Blacks and/or People of Color. Information PERTINENT and RELEVANT so that the PUBLIC as well as Foreign Leaders/Countries can get a better picture as to who is REALLY running the United States Government and how they conduct business (i.e. through CORRUPTION, BLACKMAIL, BULLYING, THREATS, INTIMIDATION, COERCION, CONSPIRACIES, etc.).

Newsome further believes it is PERTINENT and RELEVANT to see just how ELABORATE the United States ENSLAVEMENT of African-American/Blacks and/or People

VIA PRIORITY MAIL

Supreme Court of the United States

ATTN: Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr. -- Receipt No. 23061570000105806961

ATTN: William K. Suter, Clerk -- Receipt No. 03091140000192641847

RE: *Response To March 17, 2011 Supreme Court of the United States' Letter*

Lower Court Action: *Stor-All Alfred LLC v. Denise V. Newsome*; Hamilton County (Ohio) Court of Common Pleas; Case No. A0901302

April 22, 2011

Page 4 of 5

of Color is and why these classes of people do NOT thrive – i.e. because of the TERRORIST/SUPREMACIST/RACIST practices of the United States Government/Government Officials. Furthermore, how Newsome has now been waiting approximately **SIX (6) MONTHS** for monies IMMEDIATELY due (i.e. through EMERGENCY RELIEF permissible by statutes/laws) her for the CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs leveled against her and brought to this Court's attention as early as October 9, 2010, through “*Emergency Motion to Stay; Emergency Motion for Enlargement of Time and Other Relief The United States Supreme Court Deems Appropriate To Correct The Legal Wrongs/Injustices Reported Herein.*” Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in said Motion and subsequent pleadings (i.e. which include *Petition for Extraordinary Writ* and this instant filing along with their supporting Exhibits/Appendices) that she is entitled to the following relief IMMEDIATELY/NOW:¹

	Monies Owed As of 10/2010	Bi-Weekly From 11/5/10 Thru 4/22/11	TOTAL
Wood & Lamping	\$88,888.53	\$22,594.20	\$111,482.73
Mitchell McNutt & Sams	\$182,101.34	\$18,186.36	\$200,287.70
Page Kruger & Holland	\$168,321.38	\$18,731.88	\$187,053.26
GMM Properties	\$18,480.00	\$4,620.00	\$23,100.00
Spring Lake Apartments	\$40,320.00	\$4,320.00	\$44,640.00
Stor-All	\$5,500.00	\$0.00	\$5,500.00
Kenton County Court	\$16,250.00	\$0.00	\$16,250.00
Kentucky Department of Revenue	\$600.00	\$0.00	\$600.00
U.S Department of Treasury	\$1,800.00	\$0.00	\$1,800.00
Brian Bishop	\$1,500.00	\$0.00	\$1,500.00
Richard Rehfeldt	\$700.00	\$0.00	\$700.00
Wanda Abioto	\$4,000.00	\$0.00	\$4,000.00
	\$528,461.25	\$68,452.44	\$596,913.69

¹ Section 706(f)(2) of Title VII authorizes the Commission to seek temporary injunctive relief **before** final disposition of a charge when a preliminary investigation indicates that **prompt** judicial action is necessary to carry out the purposes of Title VII.

Temporary or preliminary relief **allows a court** to stop retaliation before it occurs or continues. Such relief is appropriate if there is a substantial likelihood that the challenged action will be found to constitute unlawful retaliation, and if the charging party and/or EEOC will likely suffer irreparable harm because of retaliation. Although courts have ruled that financial hardships are not irreparable, other harms that accompany loss of a job may be irreparable. - - For example, in one case forced retirees showed irreparable harm and qualified for a preliminary injunction where they lost work and future prospects for work consequently suffering emotional distress, depression, a contracted social life, and other related harms.

VIA PRIORITY MAIL

Supreme Court of the United States

ATTN: Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr. – Receipt No. 23061570000105806961

ATTN: William K. Suter, Clerk – Receipt No. 03091140000192641847

RE: *Response To March 17, 2011 Supreme Court of the United States' Letter*

Lower Court Action: *Stor-All Alfred LLC v. Denise V. Newsome*; Hamilton County (Ohio) Court of Common Pleas; Case No. A0901302

April 22, 2011


Page 5 of 5

as well as INJUNCTIVE and other applicable relief that the statutes/laws MANDATORILY require be IMPOSED prior to the conclusion of matter for Newsome's PROTECTION as well as rights secured/guaranteed under the Constitution and/or laws of the United States. Clearly the laws are NOT EQUALLY applied when Whites are involved. Newsome believes that had she been "White" and represented by "White" lawyers this matter would have been resolved in her favor. Not only that, those committing crimes as that leveled against Newsome would be doing PRISON time as O.J. Simpson is (i.e. 33 years). However, because they are "WHITE," the lawyers, counsel and/or advisors to the President of the United States and hold KEY/HIGH Government positions and POLITICAL/GOVERNMENT influence, they are ALLOWED to continue in public life and CONTINUE in their TERRORIST/SUPREMACIST/RACIST/BULLYING practices against other citizen that oppose their criminal/civil wrongs.

Newsome believes that it is IMPORTANT for the PUBLIC/WORLD to see how this Court (while there have been changes in Justices since she last visited) this Court has handled PRIOR matters involving Newsome as well as its handling of this instant legal action – i.e. WITHHOLDING filing of pleadings TIMELY, PROPERLY and ADEQUATELY submitted and may have done so in efforts to AID and ABET in the COVER-UP of criminal/civil acts of United States President Obama, his Administration and opposing parties to this legal action. Not only that the information on the INTERNET that this Court/Government ALLOWED to be posted on the INTERNET involve Judges (i.e. for instance Judge Bobby DeLaughter and Judge G. Thomas Porteous) who have been found to have engaged in CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs. Acts done with WILLFUL, MALICIOUS and WANTON intent to be CERTAIN that Newsome NEVER worked again and to send her a message of what happen to African-Americans/Blacks (i.e. such as Malcolm X, Martin Luther King Jr. and Medgar Evers) who EXPOSE the TERRORIST/RACIST/SUPREMACIST acts of the United States Government.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter. Should you have questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact me at **513/680-2922** or **601/885-9536**.

Sincerely,



Vogel Denise Newsome

Enclosures: *Response To March 17, 2011 Supreme Court of the United States' Letter & CD*

cc: U.S. President Barack Obama – USPS Tracking No. 23061570000105806954
Solicitor General of United States – USPS Tracking No. 03092880000010572313
Hon. John Andrew West – *Judge* /Patricia M. Clancy – *Clerk of Court* Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas
David Meranus, Esq. – counsel for Stor-All
Michael E. Lively, Esq. – counsel for Stor-All/Liberty Mutual Insurance Company
PUBLIC/MEDIA/FOREIGN LEADERS

No. _____

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME

PETITIONER

v.

STOR-ALL ALFRED, LLC;
JUDGE JOHN ANDREW WEST/
HAMILTON COUNTY (OHIO) COURT OF COMMON PLEAS; AND
DOES 1 THROUGH 250

RESPONDENT(S)

IN RE VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME
ON PETITION FOR EXTRAORDINARY WRIT
TO THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

**RESPONSE TO MARCH 17, 2011
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES' LETTER¹**

COMES NOW Petitioner, Vogel Denise Newsome – a/k/a Denise V. Newsome (~~–Newsome”~~ and/or ~~–Petitioner Newsome”~~) – WITHOUT WAIVING HER RIGHTS and ARGUMENTS/ISSUES and DEFENSES raised and/or set forth in the October 9, 2010 *“Emergency Motion to Stay; Emergency Motion for Enlargement of Time and Other Relief The United States Supreme Court Deems Appropriate To Correct The Legal Wrongs/Injustices Reported Herein”* (~~–EM/ORS”~~), subsequent pleadings (i.e. which includes Petition for Extraordinary Writ [~~–PFEW”~~]) submitted for filing with the Supreme Court of the United States and provides this her ***Response To March 17, 2011 Supreme Court of the United States’ Letter*** (~~–RT031711SCL”~~). This instant filing in response to March 17, 2011 letter is submitted in that the information contained herein supports the

¹ BOLDFACE, ITALICS, UNDERLINE, etc. added for emphasis.

EXTRAORDINARY, EXCEPTIONAL and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances involved. Said

March 17, 2011 letter which states in part:

"The above-entitled petition for an extraordinary writ seeking unspecified relief was received on March 17, 2011.

Please inform this office *by letter*, as soon as possible, what type of extraordinary writ you are seeking to file, *i.e. extraordinary writ of mandamus, mandamus/prohibition, habeas corpus.*

This office will retain all of the copies of the petition."

See **EXHIBIT "1"** – March 17, 2011 Letter attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. In further support thereof, Newsome states the following:

1. This instant pleading is submitted in good faith and is not submitted for purposes of delay, harassment, hindering proceedings, embarrassment, obstructing the administration of justice, vexatious litigation, increasing the cost of litigation, etc. and is hereby filed to protect the rights of the Petitioner/Newsome and to provide the Supreme Court of the United States with a response to its March 17, 2011 letter.

2. This instant pleading and legal action has been timely brought in accordance with the Rules and statutes/laws governing said matters and in accordance with this Court's **March 17, 2011** letter executed by Ruth Jones in the Clerk's Office on behalf of this Court and/or William K. Suter.

3. While this Court requested that Newsome provide a "letter" she believes the submittal of a response in form of pleading is appropriate and applicable out of concerns of the DILATORY tactics as well as further unlawful/illegal practices by members in this Court's Clerk's Office to attempt to USURP authority – *i.e. little "Indians" wanting to be Chief and perform the role of the Justices of this Court; moreover, the DESPARATE attempts that may have been made by this Court in efforts to AID and ABET in the COVER-UP of the CRIMINAL and CIVIL WRONGS of its employees in efforts to shield/mask the ILLEGAL ANIMUS of President Barack Obama, his Administration and others leveled against Newsome.* While it appears this Court may have been attempting to avoid being provided sufficient information to aid in the handling of this matter and advising Newsome **that —Mtion for Leave" would now not be required,** this instant RT031711SCL is hereto provided for purposes of clarifying and aiding this Court in the handling of this matter – i.e. providing information pertinent to March 17, 2011 request and reiterating pertinent information in the Motion for Leave Newsome previously provided this Court.

4. This instant RT031711SCL is also filed because Newsome believes *it is of PUBLIC/WORLDWIDE interest for citizens as well as Foreign Leaders/Nations to see just what she has had to endure in seeking justice for the legal wrongs complained of in the Petition for Extraordinary Writ (-PFEW") and EM/ORS.* Moreover, concerns that the Courts and United States Government may

have moved to COVER-UP the criminal acts/civil violations leveled against Newsome and/or citizen(s) of the United States in RETALIATION of having EXPOSED the CORRUPTION in the United States Government/Government Officials.

5. *THE UNITED STATES CITIZENS, PUBLIC/WORLD NEEDS TO KNOW WHAT IS REALLY GOING ON AND WHAT TERRORIST/SUPREMACIST/RACIST GROUP(S) APPEAR TO BE IN CONTROL OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT – **It is time for the Barack Obama Regime to GO – STEP DOWN!!** As President Barack Obama has recently requested Foreign Leaders – i.e. for instance, Egypt’s President Hosni Mubarak and Lybia’s Colonel Muammar el-Qaddafi. See EXHIBITS “2” and “3” respectively– Articles regarding President Obama’s request that Foreign Leaders step down attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.*

Scheidler v. National Organization for Women, Inc., 123 S.Ct. 1057 (U.S.,2003) - **Crime of “coercion” is separate from extortion and involves the use of force or threat of force to restrict another’s freedom of action.**

TERRORISM: The unlawful use or threatened use of force or violence by a person or an organized group against people or property with the intention of intimidating or coercing societies or governments often for ideological or political reasons.²

DOMESTIC TERRORISM: Terrorism that occurs primarily within the territorial jurisdiction of the United States. [18 USCA § 2331(5)] Terrorism that is carried out against one’s own government or fellow citizens.³

INTERNATIONAL TERRORISM: Terrorism that occurs primarily outside the territorial jurisdiction of the United States, or that transcends national boundaries by the means in which it is carried out, the people it is intended to intimidate, or the place where the perpetrators operate to seek asylum.⁴

TERRORIST:

- a) One who engage in acts or an act of terrorism.⁵
- b) Somebody who uses violence or the threat of violence, especially bombing, kidnapping, and assassination, to intimidate, often for political purposes.⁶

TERRORIZE:

- a) To fill or overpower, with terror; terrify.
- b) Coerce by intimidation or fear.⁷
- c) ***Motivate somebody by violence*** to intimidate or coerce somebody with violence or the threat of violence.⁸
- d) ***Make somebody very fearful*** to fill somebody with feelings of intense fear over a period of time.

² The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language (4th Edition).

³ Black’s Law Dictionary (8th Edition).

⁴ *Id.*

⁵ The American Heritage. . .

⁶ Encarta World English Dictionary (1999).

⁷ The American Heritage. . .

⁸ Encarta World. . .

TERRORIST - a radical who *employs terror as a political weapon*; usually organizes with other terrorists in small cells; *often uses religion as a cover* for terrorist activities. (EMPHASIS ADDED).

ACT OF TERRORISM, TERRORISM, TERRORIST ACT - the *calculated use of violence* (or the threat of violence) against civilians in order to **attain goals** that are political or religious or ideological in nature; *this is done through intimidation or coercion or instilling fear*.

RADICAL CELL, TERRORIST CELL - a cell of terrorists (usually 3 to 5 members); *"to insure operational security* the members of adjacent terrorist cells *usually don't know each other or the identity of their leadership.*"

SUPREMACIST:

- 1) A person who believes in or advocates the supremacy of a particular group, esp. a racial group.⁹
- 2) One who believes that a certain group is or should be supreme.¹⁰
- 3) Somebody who holds the view that a particular group is innately superior to others and therefore, is entitled to dominate them.¹¹

SUPREMACY: A position of superiority or authority over all others.^{12/13}

6. **Foreign Leaders are NOT going to be deceived.**

They are aware of such SUPREMACIST and TERRORIST groups that are running the United States Government. For example, see the Interview Transcript with **Iran President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad** wherein said knowledge is made known - http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/39210911/ns/world_news-mideast/n_africa.¹⁴ Knowledge confirming statements in Newsome's July 13, 2010 Email.

⁹ Random House Webster's Unabridged Dictionary (2nd Edition).

¹⁰ The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language (4th Edition).

¹¹ Encarta World English Dictionary (1999).

¹² Encarta World. . .

¹³ This can be said of this instant lawsuit. If it had not been for Newsome's *patience, diligence, research, etc.* the United States Supreme Court as well as United States citizens would not be **aware of the TERRORISTIC acts and CONSPIRACY that has been orchestrated and carried out under the Leadership/Direction of Baker Donelson, its client (Liberty Mutual) and others against African-Americans and/or people of color; as well as smaller countries/nations.** **Why?** Because this instant action will EXPOSE **just how subtle/elusive such SUPREMACIST/TERRORIST in not wanting to be detected and their intelligence/experience/expertise in covering up their RACIST/DISCRIMINATORY/ PREJUDICIAL motives/agenda – i.e. exchanging the white hoods for business suits and judicial robes, etc. to AVOID detection.** See EXHIBIT "I" – DAVID DUKE/KU KLUX KLAN attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

¹⁴ **Transcript: U.S. has 'hostility against our people,' Ahmadinejad says**

. . . And-- all-- these years, they-- stood against our people. ***They continued hostilities, and they cooperated with all of our enemies.*** President Obama said, "**We are going to make it-- to make it up.**" ***And we welcomed that idea and position. I sent a message for him after his election.*** Of course, **I received no answer.** He just gave a general response. And that is not considered a response to my message. We think maybe President Obama wants to do something, but there are pressures-- pressure groups in the United States who do not allow him to do so. Even if he wants to do something, apparently there are certain groups who do not allow him to do it.

Andrea Mitchell: You're suggesting that President Obama—

President Ahmadinejad: We think they are –

Andrea Mitchell: --doesn't have-- doesn't have-- the-- as Commander in Chief and leader of the United States does not have the decision-making power over what he does?

President Ahmadinejad: **Do you really think President Obama can do anything he wishes to?**

7. Foreign Leaders/Countries are aware that the United States Citizens **DO NOT** elect the President/Vice President of the United States – Electoral Colleges do. President Barack Obama was placed in the United States White House for DECEPTIVE purposes and all is –COMING OUT IN THE WASH!!”

8. FOREIGN LEADERS/CITIZENS are **NOT** DECEIVED. They have been made aware of the WILLIE LYNCH practices and realize that the United States DOES NOT have the likes of Martin Luther King Jr., Malcolm X or Medgar Evers in the White House. NEITHER is that the likes of a NELSON MANDELA in the United States White House – i.e. Blacks WILLING/DETERMINED to put their lives on the line to assure FREEDOM and EQUALITY for ALL. *Instead, products of the WILLIE LYNCH practices* – United States President Barack Obama, United States Attorney General Eric Holder and President of the NAACP Benjamin Jealous - have been placed on DISPLAY as the NEW FACES of the African-American/Black male. See EXHIBIT “4” – Excerpt from the October PowerPoint Presentation entitled, “*Clean Out Congress 2010 – Americans Take BACK Your Country/Government – Come November 2010 Vote OUT The Incumbents Career Politicians*” attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

9. *It is of PUBLIC/WORLDWIDE interest for citizens and Foreign Nations/Leaders to see DEMOCRACY at work and see FIRST-HAND how the JUDICIAL system works in one of the MOST POWER COUNTRIES in the world. Moreover, how the Respondents and United States Government has resorted to CRIMINAL acts for purposes of OPPRESSION, THREATS, HARASSMENT, BLACKMAIL, EXTORTION, etc. against Newsome and/or citizens who engage in protected activities – i.e. file complaints EXPOSING Human/Civil Rights violations, Discrimination, Retaliation, Terrorism/Supremacist/Racism, etc.*

Thank God, NATIONS – such as China and others - are coming out to address the HUMAN RIGHTS and CORRUPTION in the United States Government. Stands as Newsome requested in her October 2010 PowerPoint Presentation. See EXHIBIT “5” – 04/11/11 Article –**China Report Criticizes U.S. Human Rights Record**” attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full

Andrea Mitchell: Within-- within the—

President Ahmadinejad: He does not—

Andrea Mitchell: --the constructs of the United States Constitution. But what would you like to hear from President Obama? And what would you like to say to him?

President Ahmadinejad: The Constitution is already on the [unintel]. *What about the political scene? The reality on the ground? Is he able to do everything he wishes to? Personally, it's not true. There are different political group, there are a lo—different lobbyist pressure groups, and more important, there are Zionists there. We say, if he wants to do something, there are certain groups who do not allow him to do so.*

herein. See **EXHIBIT “6”** October 2010 PowerPoint Presentation entitled, “*Clean Out Congress 2010 – Americans Take **BACK** Your Country/Government – Come November 2010 Vote **OUT** The Incumbents Career Politicians*” attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

It is about time for Foreign Countries/Leaders and their citizens let the United States know that they have had enough of its **CORRUPTION** and **INTERFERENCE**. See **EXHIBIT “7”** – Meetings with Foreign Leaders attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

10. United States President Barack Obama came out **PUBLICLY** and requested that Foreign Leaders – such as for instance Egypt’s President Hosni Mubarak and Libya’s Colonel Muammar Gaddafi - **STEP DOWN**. See **EXHIBITS “2”** and **“3”** respectively attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Now that Newsome has brought this legal action **ADDRESSING THE CORRUPTION, CONSPIRACIES and COVER-UP** along with supporting evidence as well as provided Exhibits/Appendices to sustain the **EM/ORS and PFEW, –WILL PRESIDENT OBAMA and HIS ADMINISTRATION STEP DOWN?**

11. The United States has a President (Barack Obama) who has recently come under question regarding his **CITIZENSHIP**. Even under such **SCRUTINY**, President Obama **CANNOT** and or **WILL NOT** produce his “–Birth Certificate.” Instead, information regarding a “–Certificate of **LIVE Birth**” **NOT –BIRTH Certificate**” is released to the **PUBLIC**. Furthermore, it appears that President Obama is relying upon his Lawyers/Advisors (i.e. such as Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC (–Baker Donelson”)) who have been shown to be **CORRUPT!!** See **EXHIBIT “8”** – regarding Birther issue attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Information which is **PERTINENT and RELEVANT** because it goes to the United States President Barack Obama’s and his Administration’s **CREDIBILITY!!!** Which is **CRUCIAL** and of **PUBLIC/WORLDWIDE** interest!

Newsome believes it is also **IMPORTANT** for the **PUBLIC/WORLD** to see how Lawyers/Advisors for the United States President handle such issues. Newsome’s experience with the likes of Baker Donelson and/or Respondents in this matter is that they **NEVER** produce **ANY** evidence to **REBUT** that is presented. **ALL** Respondents ever do is provide **MERE –WORDS**” by way of rebuttal and **ATTEMPT** to **MISLEAD** the **FACTFINDER** away from the **TRUTH!!** See for instance **EXHIBIT “9”** – Obama’s Response to Birther Issue attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. **JUST WORDS** – Surely if his Administration was willing to provide the

–Certificate of Live Birth,” President Obama should have a Birth Certificate. It is the **BIRTH** CERTIFICATE that the CITIZENS of the United States want to see.

12. It is an INSULT and EMBARRASSMENT that the United States Government has allowed such firms as Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC (–Baker Donelson”) to assume KEY and CRITICAL positions for purposes of CREATING a CORRUPT and TERRORIST/SUPREMACIST government to INFLICT pain and suffering on its citizens and upon Foreign Nations WITHOUT just cause to do so. Baker Donelson acknowledging their people in PROMINENT positions as:

Chief of Staff to the President of the United States; **United States Secretary of State**; United States **Senate Majority** Leader; **Members of the United States Senate**; **Members of the United States House of Representatives**; Director of the *Office of Foreign Assets Control for United States*; **Department of Treasury**; **Director of the Administrative Office of the United States**; **Chief Counsel**, Acting **Director**, and Acting **Deputy Director of United States Citizenship & Immigration Services** within the *United States Department of Homeland Security*; **Majority and Minority Staff Director of the Senate Committee on Appropriations**; **Member of United States President’s Domestic Policy Council**; **Counselor to the Deputy Secretary for the United States Department of HHS**; **Chief of Staff of the Supreme Court of the United States**; **Administrative Assistant** to the **Chief Justice of the United States**; **Deputy under Secretary of International Trade for the United States Department of Commerce**; **Ambassador** to Japan; **Ambassador** to Turkey; **Ambassador** to Saudi Arabia; **Ambassador** to the Sultanate of Oman; **Governor of Tennessee**; **Governor of Mississippi**; **Deputy Governor and Chief of Staff for the Governor of Tennessee**; **Commissioner of Finance & Administration** (Chief Operating Officer) - State of Tennessee; **Special Counselor** to the Governor of Virginia; **United States Circuit Court of Appeals Judge**; **United States District Court Judges**; **United States Attorneys**; **Presidents of State and Local Bar Associations**

However, upon Newsome’s going PUBLIC and WORLDWIDE, Baker Donelson has had this information SCRUBBED from the Internet. However, NOT before Newsome could RETRIEVE such information to sustain its DOMINENCE and CONTROL in HIGH POSITIONS – See EXHIBIT “10” attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

Baker Donelson being a law firm which has opposed and REPEATEDLY engaged in criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome for purposes of destroying her life as well as other citizens. Furthermore, ***it is an EMBARASSMENT and INSULT to the United States when it has been shown to the PUBLIC and WORLD that such a CORRUPT law firm as Baker Donelson, its client (LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE) and those who conspire with them are REPEATEDLY giving a SHELLACKING and BEAT DOWN in lawsuits involving Newsome that they have to RESORT to criminal acts – i.e. KIDNAPPING, BRIBERY, BLACKMAIL, EMBEZZLEMENT, EXTORTION, etc. in efforts of obtaining an UNDUE/UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL advantage for itself and those they represent and/or conspire with.***

IMPORTANT TO NOTE

Citizens may want to know *why the United States is **LOSING** the Wars/Battles* in IRAN, IRAQ, AFGHANISTAN – Look at the law firm (i.e. Baker Donelson) Government Officials may receive counsel from. A Law Firm that PROMOTES/INCORPORATES and SUPPORTS and IMPOSES Terrorist/Supremacist/Racist behavior on those they want to CONTROL and OPPOSES or SPEAK OUT AGAINST THEM such as Newsome.

Recently a mother (Mary Tillman) of the late Football great (Pat Tillman) came out blasting the Obama Administration for retaining retired General Stanley McChrystal for Co-Chair of the “Joining Forces” Program. See **EXHIBIT “11”** – Article regarding Mary Tillman attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. What this mother may not know, is that this is not ONLY President Barack Obama but his Lawyers/Advisors (i.e. Baker Donelson) at work. They throw out people and/or just merely move them to another post; however, the PERPETRATORS/CONSPIRATORS and CRIMINALS are ALWAYS basically the same regardless which ADMINISTRATION (Republican or Democrat) is in the White House. Baker Donelson and their COHORTS are ROOTED DEEPLY in the Government, Government Corruption, and the COVER-UP by the Government. So when CITIZENS/PUBLIC hear for instance that there is “Change” in the Obama Administration, it is merely President Obama, Baker Donelson, etc. merely PROJECTING information for DECEPTIVE purposes; however, the CRIMINAL/TERRORIST/SUPREMACIST/RACIST Regime players are still in office. See for instance **EXHIBIT “12”** – *Change in Administration* attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. However, in doing research you may find that ALL if not the MAJORITY are ASSOCIATED with Baker Donelson.

Citizens may want to know *why the **ECONOMY** of the United States is so bad* – Yes, look at who is sitting in the TOP/HEAD seat – BAKER DONELSON!! Moreover, who may ACTUALLY be running the United States Government, Banks, Real Estate, etc. See **EXHIBIT “13”** – *The Hands Behind Government Operations* attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Now this law firm has SCRUBBED information from the INTERNET as it attempts to **TUCK-TAIL and HIDE!!** Well Newsome has News - - BUSTED. . . BUSTED. . . BUSTED. Just as such law firms as Baker Donelson and those they have **CONSPIRED** with *have felt at LIBERTY to have information POSTED on the INTERNET regarding Newsome known to be false, obtained and provided for CRIMINAL INTENT, MALICIOUSNESS and DAMAGING*; Newsome has taken the **LIBERTY to GO PUBLIC** and EXPOSE

such CORRUPTION in the United States Government to the PUBLIC/WORLD/MEDIA at large.

Milkovich v. Lorain Journal Co., 110 S.Ct. 2695 (1990) - Where statement of "opinion" **on matter of public concern** reasonably implies **false and defamatory** facts involving private figure, plaintiff **must** show that **false** implications were made with some level of fault to support recovery. U.S.C.A. Const.Amend. 1.

Paul proclaimed his innocence to . . . leaders. **When is it wise to make a public response to false accusations**, and when should we just let them go?

In the case of Paul, the gospel would have been discredited if he had not spoken up. His circumstances made him look like a criminal, and he had no history with these leaders to expect them to assume otherwise without a proper defense.

If we have been publicly slandered by credible sources, we should probably make a public response. Otherwise our own witness will be compromised. . . . Jesus warned us that some people will **say all manner of evil against us falsely**, so we should not be surprised when it happens. But **we do need to exercise wisdom when we become aware of it.**¹⁵

13. United States President Abraham Lincoln issued and executed an EXECUTIVE ORDER known as the EMANCIPATION PROCLAMATION on or about January 1, 1863 – approximately **148 YEARS Ago** – which ORDERED the FREEDOM of Slaves. Nevertheless, 148 years later, the United States still have the likes of Respondents and those who conspire with them DETERMINED to take Newsome and members of her class BACK into SLAVERY!!! However, as recent NEWS has shown and FOREIGN NATIONS have PROVEN, the ERA of OPPRESSION by such TERRORIST/SUPREMACIST Regimes (i.e. as the United States) is OVER!!! - - SAY, **“NO MORE!!”** Foreign Nations/Citizens making it KNOWN they do **NOT** want OUTSIDE interference from the United States – THANK GOD!!!! How can a HYPOCRITICAL country like the United States minister to the needs of those who have been OPPRESSED, ENSLAVED and in BONDAGE, when the United States itself engage in such CRIMINAL and CORRUPTION against its citizens.

**FREE AT LAST, FREE AT LAST – THANK GOD
ALMIGHTY WE ARE FREE AT LAST!!!**

¹⁵ 2009-2010 Standard Lesson Commentary (King James Version) - August 29, 2010 Lesson Entitled: *–Upheld By God?–* - Subtitle: *–Let’s Talk It Over.–*

See how ***ELATED*** *citizens in the Middle East are to be FREE and out of BONDAGE, that many were willing to DIE* (i.e. sacrifice their lives) rather than remain ENSLAVED to a Terrorist/Supremacist regime. See **EXHIBIT —14** – Photos of Citizens in the Middle East Celebrating their VICTORY attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. It may have only taken seeing the SUCCESS on November 2, 2010 in the United States and the encouragement from a Computer Website Executive, to take up the MANTLE and LEAD/ORGANIZE the TAKE OVER!!!

14. Great SACRIFICES have been made by people such as –Whistleblower” Army Spc. Bradley Manning - See **EXHIBIT “15”** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein - who has risked his life to REPORT and EXPOSE the CORRUPTION and CRIMINAL/CIVIL violations of the United States because he want the PUBLIC to know the TRUTH! COURAGEOUS acts by a citizen it appears who could NOT look the other way and allow INJUSTICES, CORRUPTION and CRIMES to continue WITHOUT making the PUBLIC AWARE! ***CHINA is correct in SPEAKING out and SHINING THE LIGHT on the United States and its HYPROCRISY!!***

15. Great SACRIFICES have been made by people as WIKILEAKS‘ Julian Assange - See **EXHIBIT “16”** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein - who has come under attacks for releasing such CRITICAL, DAMAGING and PERTINENT information to the PUBLIC/WORLD regarding the United States CORRUPTION and COVER-UP of CRIMINAL/CIVIL WRONGS.

16. There are approximately TWO United States President (i.e. Abraham Lincoln and John F. Kennedy) who were **ASSASSINATED** because of the likes of Respondents, Obama Administration, etc. and others who did not want to move FORWARD and INSISTED on keeping a RACE of people OPPRESSED and in BONDAGE!

17. PROMINATE Civil Rights Leaders (i.e. Medgar Evers, Malcolm X, Martin Luther King Jr.) were **ASSASSINATED** because of the likes of Respondents, Obama Administration, etc. and others who did not want to move FORWARD and INSISTED on keeping a RACE of people OPPRESSED and in BONDAGE!

18. As with Newsome, there are other African American women who have come under attack for speaking out and/or exercising Rights secured under the Constitution and/or laws of the United States. For instance:

- a. In July 2010, Shirley Sherrod (–Sherrod”) was UNLAWFULLY/ILLEGALLY removed from her job – i.e. ***Obama Administration requesting that she RESIGN***. See **EXHIBIT “17”** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. It does NOT look good to the PUBLIC/WORLD when

such attacks on Sherrod comes approximately 6 days **AFTER** Newsome's July 13, 2010 email entitled, —**US. PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA: The Downfall/Doom of the Obama Administration – Corruption/Conspiracy/Cover-Up/Criminal Acts Made Public**” - which was received by United States President Barack Obama and those in his Administration (i.e. like Shirley Sherrod's boss – Secretary of Agriculture Thomas Vilsack) – See **EXHIBIT “18”** – Excerpt of the July 13, 2010 email evidencing Thomas Vilsack as a RECIPIENT.

Sherrod who is an ACTIVIST and a product of an HBCU (Historical Black College and University) – Albany State University. Newsome being an ACTIVIST and product of HBCU – Florida A&M University.

- b. In November 2010, Velma Hart was FIRED/TERMINATED/LAID OFF from her job as Chief Financial Officer for American Veterans **AFTER** being TELEVISED questioning President Obama stating for instance:

–Quite frankly, I'm exhausted. Exhausted of defending you, defending your administration, defending the man for change I voted for, and deeply disappointed with where we are right now. I've been told that I voted for a man who said he was going to change things in a meaningful way for the middle class. I'm one of those people and I'm waiting, sir, I'm waiting. I don't feel it yet . . .”

See **EXHIBIT “19”** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. *Newsome knew that based upon the MEDIA coverage, that Hart's employment days were NUMBERED.* Sure enough –acting TRUE to form” Hart may have been FIRED/TERMINATED/LAID OFF as a direct and proximate result of exercising her First Amendment Rights.

- c. *Clearly under the Willie Lynch Practices one can see the concerns such OPPRESSORS had regarding the African-American/Black Woman. Such Oppressors thought that Malcolm X and Martin Luther King Jr. was a problem/threat so the United States Government was pleased with such Civil Rights Leaders' assassinations. Now they are finding out that Newsome and a number of other African-American/Black women are NOT remaining silent and giving into the WILLIE LYNCH practices.*

19. Newsome uses not only her own PERSONAL experiences but those of citizens such as –Carl Brandon” and –Omar Thornton” who were targeted and subjected to DISCRIMINATORY practices and CONSPIRACIES for purposes of forcing and driving them to commit criminal acts – See **EXHIBITS “20”** (Carl Brandon) and **“21”** (Omar Thornton)¹⁶ attached hereto and incorporated by reference

¹⁶ <http://www.omaha.com/article/20100803/NEWS/708039865/1031>; <http://www.examiner.com/x-48240-NY-Public-Policy-Examiner~y2010m8d8-Possibility-that-Omar-Thornton-did-not-act-alone>

Using the following excerpts:

Some people don't want to discuss racism as being a form of violence because it would reveal that they themselves are in fact extremely violent and in denial about it.

Omar Thornton's incident has a host of websites spewing hate talk toward African-Americans. Hartford Distributors may have used racism and gradually managed to kill Omar Thornton mentally and emotionally before the killing spree via attrition. Jessica Anne Brocuglio, an ex-girlfriend of Omar Thornton, comes forward with character evidence:

He always felt like he was being discriminated (against) because he was black[.]” **–Basically they wouldn't give him pay raises. He never felt like they accepted him as a hard working person.”**

This statement corroborates with what Kristi Hannah, Omar Thornton's fiancée before his death, had been telling the Manchester Police Department about Hartford Distributors treating him like a persona non grata.

Plus, a fellow co-worker who was employed with Omar Thornton at Hartford Distributors has come forward stating that **he had seen the racist taunts: –Stuff on walls. Racist comments. I saw with my own eyes.**” More importantly, the fellow co-worker said Mr. **Thornton was hired as a truck driver; yet, he was assigned to loading boxes in the warehouse.** Mr. Thornton had to fight to get behind the wheel. The co-worker then states that **Hartford Distributors are lying and the evidence** is in Omar's cell phone. *These statements are serious and they are not based upon speculation. This places the co-worker in a position to be called as a key witness to racism within Hartford Distributors. Although the co-worker is no longer under the employ of Hartford Distributors, he has witnessed these incidents first-hand. These statements make it appear as if Hartford Distributors is deliberately being obtuse to shield themselves from potential liability.* As Marcellus said in William Shakespeare's play *–Hamlet,* “–[s]omething is rotten in the state of Denmark.” Thus far, the answers provided by Hartford Distributors just rubs me the wrong way.

<http://www.google.com/hostednews/ap/article/ALeqM5jBNP73m9cp2g6qFtWxCbJH6IAD3gD9HEV71O0>

But underneath, Thornton seethed with a sense of racial injustice for years that culminated in a shooting rampage Tuesday in which the Connecticut man killed eight and wounded two others at his job at Hartford Distributors in Manchester before killing himself.

"I know what pushed him over the edge was all the racial stuff that was happening at work," said his girlfriend, Kristi Hannah.

Thornton, a black man, said as much in a chilling, four-minute 911 call.

"You probably want to know the reason why I shot this place up," Thornton said in a recording released Thursday. *"This place is a racist place. They're treating me bad over here. And treat all other black employees bad over here, too. So I took it to my own hands and handled the problem. I wish I could have got more of the people." . . .*

One time Thornton had a confrontation with a white co-worker who used a racial slur against him, she said. Thornton changed jobs a few times because he was not getting raises, Brocuglio said.

"I'm sick of having to quit jobs and get another job because they can't accept me," she said he told her. . . .

Brocuglio's sister, Toni, said Thornton would come home and say co-workers called him racial slurs. He was also upset by comments made by passers-by about the interracial couple, she said.

"He just didn't understand why people had so much hatred in their lives," Toni Brocuglio said. . . .

But Hannah said **he showed her cell phone photos of racist graffiti in the bathroom at the beer company and overheard a company official using a racial epithet in reference to him,** but a union representative did not return his phone calls. Police said they recovered the phone and forensics experts would examine it.

and Port Gibson Shooter (Carl Brandon) complained of:

http://workplaceviolence.blogspot.com/2006_04_01_archive.html

Apparently, the deadly shooting rampage was the culmination of years of anger and frustration over what the shooter believed to be false accusations of sexual harassment. *"I don't know how you can consider me a danger. I was made a criminal through the system. . .*

<http://www.topix.com/forum/city/port-gibson-ms/T0RUM1ECTB788O4HN>

–I would put Carl Brandon as a model from my town. I think he was one of the more intelligent and well manners persons in the class. i cannot imagine this guy walking up one morning to decide that he want to destroy his life and others.” – Sarah Kelly (Chicago, IL)

–Some time a person **try to walk away from a problem, but there are people in this world that want let them do that. This man had left his job and move on, but that was not good enough. They had to call his job and tell them what happened 9 years ago, and got this man fired.** I hate that he let the devil take over him at the time, but I do understand . . . I hope we can learn something from this tragedy.” – Shelly Jones (Nashville, TN)

–He had lost his job because someone said he had harassed them. He lost his reputation and the respect of some. *When he tried to move on some vindictive, vicious persons went to his next job and scandalized him. He fought through every legal avenue available to him and found no justice.*” – Cassandra Cook Butler (AOL)

as if set forth in full herein. Newsome having gone PUBLIC and WORLDWIDE in showing how the ~~WILLIE LYNCH~~¹⁷ practices are implemented by the likes of Respondents for purposes of breaking down Newsome and those of her Race for purposes of keeping them OPPRESSED, ENSLAVED and in BONDAGE! Moreover, to drive CITIZENS into committing criminal acts *as a direct and proximate result* of CONSPIRACIES involving racially motivated violations of the laws. See EXHIBIT “22” – Willie Lynch document attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. *So NO foreign citizens in the MIDDLE EAST can clearly see from documentation and EVIDENCE provided them that the “Obama Administration” is a SHAM – i.e. CORRUPT as well as the United States JUDICIAL SYSTEM.* Clearly the United States can be NO role model in that it engages in CORRUPTION and the COVER-UP of discriminatory practices, human/civil rights violations, obstruction of justice, and other criminal/civil wrongs leveled against African-Americans and/or people of color.

20. It was IMPORTANT to Newsome that the PUBLIC/WORLD saw just how the First ALLEDGED African-American President (Barack Obama) ABANDONED his Pastor Jeremiah Wright when EXCERPTS of his sermons (*i.e. SIMILAR practice as that used on Shirley Sherrod*) were taken OUT-OF-CONTEXT to make Wright appear as CRAZY, LUNATIC, and HOSTILE. Moreover, how the WHITE candidates (*i.e. Hilary Clinton – now Secretary of State and Sarah Palin who was the Vice Presidential Candidate for Republican Party*) wanted to paint Wright as a TERRORIST!! **IMPORTANT TO NOTE:** It was a good thing that Army Spc. Bradley Manning released information regarding the United States Government’s CORRUPTION and COVER-UP of crimes. Moreover, WikiLeaks sharing information with the WORLD – then the coming of a release of an Article on or about October 1, 2010, entitled, *–U.S.: 1940s STD Experiments –Clearly Unethical*” SUPPORTING the United States Government’s role in UNETHICAL practices – *i.e. as that of the Tuskegee Test which were INFLICTED on African-American men.* See EXHIBIT “23” - *“U.S.: 1940s STD Experiments “Clearly Unethical”* attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. So while the United States was trying to portray the preacher (Jeremiah Wright) as being CRAZY, TERRORIST, etc. and taking EXCERPTS from sermons to DECEIVE the Nation – LOOK WHAT HAPPENED!!! The TRUTH is

¹⁷ ~~In~~ my bag here, I have a foolproof [sic] method of controlling your black slaves. I guarantee every one of you that if installed correctly it will control the slaves for at least 300 years. . . Any member of your family or your overseer can use it. . . I use **fear, distrust and envy for control**. . .

The Breaking Process of the African Woman

Take the female and run a *series of tests* on her to see if she will submit to your desires willingly. TEST her in every way, because she is the most important factor for good economics. If she shows any sign of resistance in submitting completely to your will, do not hesitate to use the bull whip on her to extract the last bit of resistance out of her. Take care not to kill her, for in doing so, you spoil good economic. . . .”

surfacing and being released to the PUBLIC/WORLD as with that provided by WikiLeaks.

21. While President Obama and his Administration are attempting to make it *APPEAR* that they were for the citizens of Egypt and other Foreign Nations taking back their Government, *they were NOT*. President Obama and his Administration CLEARLY are in FEAR now because they realize that the NEW Foreign Governments being established by those who have been OPPRESSED, ENSLAVED and in BONDAGE for DECADES (i.e. some over 40 Years) will NOT allow the United States to DICTATE and continue to use their POWER to TERRORIZE them as they have done to Newsome and others that SPEAK OUT and EXPOSE CORRUPTION, INJUSTICES, RACISM, DISCRIMINATION, etc. Newsome believes it is President Obama's time to take his OWN advice:

STEP DOWN FROM OFFICE – i.e. in that he has known of the CORRUPTION and COVER-UP of crimes and civil wrongs leveled not only against Newsome but those of other citizens of the United States that were TIMELY, PROPERLY and ADEQUATELY brought to his attention; however, made a CONSCIOUS and WILLING decision to look the other way. Leaving to question as with IMPEACHED President Nixon – WHAT did President Barack Obama know and WHEN did he know it? Newsome believes it is time for President Obama and his TERRORIST/SUPREMACIST/RACIST Regime to LEAVE the White House. Therefore, Newsome has INITIATED the appropriate legal action(s) – *Petition for EXTRAORDINARY WRIT* with the Supreme Court of the United States for the CORRECTION and EXPOSURE of practices OUTLAWED HUNDREDS of Years Ago.

22. President Obama's/United States Government's RECENTLY coming out and speaking out as though they were for the citizens in Egypt, Tunisia, Yemen, Syria, Libya, etc. and other Foreign citizens taking ON/BACK their government **is a FARCE** and the Foreign Citizens/Leaders know it. *Foreign Leaders/Media/Citizens may be aware of the attacks on Newsome because she has released information to be shared as to the OPPRESSIVE and ENSLAVEMENT practices of the United States Government, employers and those who have conspired with them to destroy her life.* For instance leaders in EGYPT knew that placing President Obama in the White House *was a FRONT and merely DECEPTIVE acts* to mislead Foreign Countries, their Leaders and Citizens:

Muhammad Habib, first deputy to the general guide of the Muslim Brotherhood, said: *–the US Administration employs all cards to serve its own interests.* He said *that the speech that Obama intends to deliver in Egypt is “of no value.”* He added: *–Statements and speeches **must** be associated with, or preceded by*

real change in policy on the ground, because policy is judged by deeds, not words.”

See EXHIBIT “24” - “*EGYPT’S Opposition Leaders Sound Off On Upcoming Obama Visit*” attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. As well as the following information at:

<http://www.mcclatchydc.com/2009/06/03/v-print/69398/obama-to-lay-out-vision-of-muslim.html>

. . . *Bin Laden said that Obama's approach to the Muslim world was no different from that of Bush¹⁸, whose policies — from the invasion of Iraq to the use of some interrogation methods widely considered torture — convinced many Muslims that the United States had launched a war on Islam. . .*

However, Gamal Eid, the head of the Arabic Network for Human Rights Information, said he planned to decline the invitation. The Israeli ambassador to Egypt also is invited, and Eid said *he didn't want to be in the same room as a representative of what he called a "criminal" government.*

See EXHIBIT “25” – “*Obama to Lay Out Vision of Muslim’s World’s Future*” Article attached hereto and incorporated by reference.

23. This Court has retained copies of the PFEW in this action as well as the \$300 Filing Fee submitted. Newsome being a paying litigant in that it appeared to her that this Court may have attempted to try and get her to come before it in the “In Forma Pauperis” (-IFP)” status to avoid having to address the issues presented therein – i.e. in that IFP pleadings/filings **do NOT** appear to be subject to the same *standard of review* as that of PAYING litigants.

In re McDonald, 489 U.S. 180, 109 S.Ct. 993 (1989) Jessie McDonald may well have abused his right to file petitions in this Court without payment of the docketing fee; the Court's order documents that fact. I do not agree, however, that he poses such a threat to the orderly administration of justice that we should embark on the unprecedented and dangerous course the Court charts today. . . . I am most concerned, however, that if, as I fear, we continue on the course we chart today, we will end by closing our doors to a litigant with a meritorious claim. **It is rare, but it does happen on occasion that we grant review and even decide in favor of a litigant who previously had presented multiple unsuccessful*188 petitions on the same issue.** See, e.g., *Chessman v. Teets*, 354

¹⁸ PUBLIC/WORLD needs to know because President Obama may be relying upon the advice of the same counsel and/or advisors used by President Bush.

U.S. 156, 77 S.Ct. 1127, 1 L.Ed.2d 1253 (1957); see *id.*, at 173-177, 77 S.Ct. at 1136-1138 (Douglas, J., dissenting).

"Petitioner is no stranger to us. Since 1971, he has made **73** *separate* filings with the Court, not including this petition, which is his eighth so far this Term. These include **4** appeals, **33** petitions for certiorari, **99** petitions for extraordinary writs, **7** applications for stay and other injunctive relief, and **10** petitions for rehearing." *Id.* pp. 994-995.

"But paupers filing *pro se* petitions are not *subject to the financial considerations* - filing fees and attorney's fees - that deter other litigants from filing frivolous petitions." *Id.* p. 996.

The Supreme Court (even after all of McDonald's filings) did not close the door to McDonald. A litigant who is identified as filing **73** *separate* filings in a **one-year** period; however, ruled, "*Petitioner remains free under the present order to file in forma pauperis requests for relief other than an extraordinary writ, if he qualifies under the Court's Rule 46 and does not similarly abuse that privilege.*" *Id.* p. 996.

24. The EMERGENCY relief sought through EM/ORS and PFEW is that which is required to deter and mitigate damages sustained by Newsome. Moreover, is relief that **should have been sought by the appropriate Government Agency(s) to which matters were brought**; however, failed to perform ministerial duties owed Newsome **in RETALIATION** of her having brought legal action and/or knowledge of her engagement in protected activities – i.e. active role in conspiracy(s) leveled against Newsome.

25. Newsome would be prejudiced by this action should this Court deny her **EQUAL** protection of the laws, **EQUAL** privileges and immunities of the laws and **DUE PROCESS** of laws in the handling of this instant RT031711SCL to which it supports the PFEW and EM/ORS submitted to the attention of this Court for filing. Therefore, this instant *RT031711SCL* is being filed to preserve the rights, arguments and defenses asserted by Newsome under the statutes/laws governing said matters.

26. For the sake from having to reargue issues/arguments/defenses and legal conclusions, Newsome incorporates by reference –PFEW” and –EM/ORS” as well as their supporting Appendices/Exhibits submitted to the Supreme Court of the United States for filing.

27. Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided herein as well as in –PFEW” and –EM/ORS” will sustain that the Supreme Court of the United States **MUST** take jurisdiction in this matter; **CANNOT** pass it by because it may be doubtful; **MUST** decide the issues/questions presented before this Court; and **CANNOT** decline the exercise of jurisdiction to which Newsome is entitled:

Ex parte Young, 209 U.S. 123, 165, 28 S.Ct. 441, 52 L.Ed. 714 (1908) - [HN1] The United States Supreme Court will not take jurisdiction if it should not; but it is equally true that it **MUST** take jurisdiction if it should. The judiciary **CANNOT**, as the legislature may, avoid a measure because it approaches the confines of the Constitution. The court **CANNOT** pass it by because it is doubtful. With whatever doubts, with

whatever difficulties, a case may be attended, the court **MUST** decide it, if it is *brought before it*. The court *has **NO more right to decline the exercise of jurisdiction, which is given, than to usurp that which is not given***. The one or the other would *be **TREASON** to the Constitution*. Questions may occur which the court would gladly avoid, but the court **CANNOT** avoid them. All the court can do is to exercise its best judgment, and conscientiously perform its duty.

for to do so would be ***TREASON to the Constitution***. Therefore, Newsome seeks this Court's addressing issues/questions presented it and to perform the duties owed her as well as the citizens of the United States.

I. ALL WRITS ACT

Newsome hopes that the Supreme Court of the United States understands that due to the EXTRAORDINARY, EXCEPTIONAL and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances involved in this case, this instant RT031711SCL is necessary in response its March 17, 2011 letter to her. Newsome hopes that there is sufficient and adequate information contained herein as well as in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices to end concerns of what she believes may be DILATORY practices by this Court in light of the DAMAGING information contained herein which actually has LED back to its DOORSTEPS due to its FAILURE to act when Newsome first began coming to this Court to address such HIDEOUS criminal behavior and civil violations. Due to the extenuating factors involved and the impact such crimes/civil wrongs have had not only on Newsome but the PUBLIC/WORLD at large, she request that her Petition for Extraordinary Writ be filed and this Court issue the required legal process on Respondents. Newsome believes that there is sufficient Respondent information – i.e. names, addresses, etc. - available in this EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices to move forward. Even if not, this Court has the proper legal tools and resources to retain information to avoid any further delays in the PROSECUTION and ADMINISTRATION of justice.

28 USC § 1651 Writs:

(a) The Supreme Court and all courts established by Act of Congress may *issue **ALL writs necessary or appropriate in aid of their respective jurisdictions and agreeable to the usages and principles of law***.

Section 376 provided:

— . . The Supreme Court. . . shall have power to issue ***ALL*** writs ***NOT specifically provided for by statute, which may be NECESSARY for the exercise of their respective jurisdictions, and agreeable to the usages and principles of law.***”

Ex parte Fahey, 67 S.Ct. 1558 (1947) - United States Supreme Court has power to issue extraordinary writs . . .but such remedies should be resorted to only where appeal is clearly inadequate, and they are reserved for really extraordinary causes.

Black’s Law Dictionary (8th Edition): ***All Writs Act*** – A federal statute that gives the U.S. Supreme Court and all courts established by Congress ***the power to issue writs*** in aid of their jurisdiction and in conformity with the usages and principles of law.

Black’s Law Dictionary – Second Pocket Edition:

Writ: A court’s written order, in the name of a state or other competent legal authority, commanding the addressee to do or refrain from doing some specified act.

Extraordinary Writ: A writ issued by a court exercising unusual or discretionary power.

Original Writ: A writ commencing an action and directing the defendant to appear and answer.

U.S. v. Denedo, 129 S.Ct. 2213 (U.S.,**2009**) - Under the All Writs Act, a court's ***power to issue any form of relief***, extraordinary or otherwise, is contingent on that court's subject-matter jurisdiction over the case or controversy. 28 U.S.C.A. § 1651(a).

Wisconsin Right to Life, Inc. v. Federal Election Com'n, 125 S.Ct. 2 (U.S.,**2004**) - Authority granted to courts under the All Writs Act is to be used sparingly and only in the most critical and exigent circumstances. (Per Chief Justice Rehnquist, sitting as single Justice.) 28 U.S.C.A. § 1651(a).

Wisconsin Right to Life, Inc. v. Federal Election Com'n, 125 S.Ct. 2 (U.S.,**2004**) - Authority granted to courts under the All Writs Act is appropriately exercised only: **(1)** when necessary or appropriate in aid of court's jurisdiction; and **(2)** when legal rights at issue are indisputably clear. (Per Chief Justice Rehnquist, sitting as single Justice.) 28 U.S.C.A. § 1651(a).

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that in compliance with the March 17, 2011 Letter issued by this Court, and in furtherance of claims addressed in EM/ORS, PFEW and subsequent filings to said pleadings, Newsome states:

28. Her concerns as to what she believes to be this Court’s attempt to MISLEAD her and/or MISGUIDE her into thinking that the ONLY type of

Extraordinary Writs this Court will address are: *extraordinary writ of mandamus, mandamus/prohibition, habeas corpus* – when it **IS NOT!!**

29. Newsome believes that a reasonable mind may conclude that the EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices clearly supports and provides this Court with ADEQUATE and SUFFICIENT information that it could have concluded that Newsome seeks to bring legal actions under the “ALL WRITS ACT” and/or applicable statutes/laws governing claims addressed in EM/ORS and PFEW. Furthermore, based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions, this Court having the DUTY and OBLIGATION to correct any/all miscarriage of justice reported through this action and/or known to it.

30. Based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusion provided in Newsome’s EM/ORS and PFEW, she seeks legal relief under the All Writs Act and any/all applicable statutes/laws to correct legal wrongs addressed and/or known to this Court which may include the following (i.e. however, is not limited to said listing):

- a. *Original Writ*
- b. *Writ of Conspiracy*
- c. *Writ of Course*
- d. *Writ of Detinue*
- e. *Writ of Entry*
- f. *Writ of Exigi Facias*
- g. *Writ of Formedon*
- h. *Writ of Injunction*
- i. *Writ of Mandamus*
- j. *Writ of Possession*
- k. *Writ of Praeceptum*
- l. *Writ of Protection*
- m. *Writ of Recaption*
- n. *Writ of Prohibition*
- o. *Writ of Review*
- p. *Writ of Supersedeas*

q. *Writ of SUPERVISORY CONTROL*

r. *Writ of Securitate Pacis*

s. *Extraterritorial Writs*

31. Newsome believes based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions, that the record evidence will sustain that CRITICAL and EXIGENT circumstances exist to support her EM/ORS and PFEW; moreover, relief under the –All Writs Act.” Furthermore, Newsome’s EM/ORS and PFEW sets forth evidence and facts that authority under the –All Writs Act” is warranted to aid in this Court’s Jurisdiction and that the Legal Rights at ISSUE are INDISPUTABLY clear.

Wisconsin Right to Life v. Federal Election Com’n, 125 S.Ct. 2, 542 U.S. 1305, 159 L.Ed.2d 805 (2004) – Authority granted to courts under the All Writs Act is to be used sparingly and only in the most CRITICAL and EXIGENT circumstances (Per Chief Justice Rehnquist).

Authority granted under the All Rights Act is appropriately exercised only: (1) when necessary or appropriate to aid in court’s jurisdiction; and (2) when legal rights at ISSUE are INDISPUTABLY clear. (Per Chief Justice Rehnquist). *Id.*

32. Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS and PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain that the Writs sought as well as other applicable relief KNOWN to this Court to correct the legal wrongs reported will sustain LEGISLATIVELY approved sources of PROCEDURAL INSTRUMENTS designed to achieve RATIONAL ends of law and may be used by this Court in ISSUING the APPROPRIATE Orders to assist it in conducting FACTUAL INQUIRIES/INVESTIGATIONS into the Issues/Claims set forth in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices.

Harris v. Nelson, 89 S.Ct. 1082, 394 U.S. 286, 22 L.Ed.2d 281, rehearing denied 89 S.Ct. 1623, 394 U.S. 1025, 23 L.Ed. 50 (1969) – All Writs Act serves as LEGISLATIVELY approved source of procedural instruments designed to achieve rational ends of law and may be relied on by courts in issuing order appropriate to ASSIST them in CONDUCTING FACTUAL INQUIRIES.

33. The Supreme Court of the United States have statutory authority as well as inherent power to execute the applicable Orders that are necessary to CORRECT the legal wrongs/injustices and prevent interference/obstruction of justice to the implementation of said Orders sought.

U.S. v. Wallace, 218 F.Supp. 290 (1963) – The courts of the United States have statutory authority as well as inherent power to enter such orders as may be necessary to effectuate their lawful decrees and to prevent interference with, and obstruction to, their implementation.

34. Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusion provided in the EM/ORS and PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain that this matter *is of –PUBLIC IMPORTANCE*” and is of PUBLIC/NATIONAL security in that it supports the COVER-UP of Respondents, President Barack Obama, his Administration and the United States Government of CORRUPTION, CRIMINAL/CIVIL VIOLATIONS and TERRORIST/RACIST/SUPREMACIST practices. Furthermore, the record evidence will SUPPORT a WILLFUL disregard of legislative policy, rules of the Supreme Court of the United States, which are a DIRECT and PROXIMATE RESULT of the SERIOUS HARDSHIP and LEGAL INJUSTICES leveled against Newsome, members of her class and/or citizens of the United States.

This instant action has been brought seeking the filing of ORIGINAL ACTION and issuance of EXTRAORDINARY WRITS because of the extraordinary circumstances sustained by the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in the EM/ORS and PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices – for purposes of confining the inferior courts and Administrative Agency(s) addressed, to the lawful exercise of their prescribed jurisdiction and to compel them to exercise authority MANDATORILY required and GOVERNED by statutes/laws.

Morrow v. District of Columbia, 417 F.Ed 728, 135 U.S. App.Dc. 160 on remand 259 A.2d 592 (1969) – Among the factors to be considered in determining whether prerogative writs should issue are whether the matter is of –PUBLIC IMPORTANCE.” whether the policy against piecemeal appeals would be frustrated, whether there has been a WILLFUL disregard of legislative policy, or of rules of the higher court, and whether refusal to issue the writ may work a serious hardship on the parties.

Platt v. Minnesota Min. & Mfg. Co., 84 S.Ct. 769, 376 U.S. 240, 11 L.Ed.2d 674 (1964) – Extraordinary writs are reserved for really extraordinary causes, and then only to confine an inferior court to a lawful exercise of its prescribed jurisdiction or compel it to exercise its authority when it is duty to do so.

35. Newsome seeks any and all applicable relief KNOWN to the Supreme Court of the United States to correct the injustices/miscarriages of justice addressed herein as well as in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices. Newsome believes that the record evidence will further support Orders entered by judges with KNOWLEDGE that they lacked jurisdiction to act in legal action/lawsuit.

Anderson v. McLaughlin, 263 F.2d 723 (1959) – (n.2) Authority conferred by statute authorizing courts to issue ALL writs necessary is NOT confined to issuance of writs in aid of jurisdiction already acquired by appeal but extends to those cases which are within court’s appellate jurisdiction although NO appeal has yet been perfected. 28 U.S.C.A. § 1651. *Roche v. Evaporated Milk Ass’n*, 319 U.S.21, 25, 63 S.Ct. 938, 941, 87 L.Ed. 1185.

(n. 3) Extraordinary writs authorized to be issued by courts established by Act of Congress should be issued only under unique and compelling circumstances.

De Beers Consol. Mines v. U.S., 65 S.Ct. 1130, 325 U.S. 212, 89 L.Ed. 1566 (1945) - . . . petitioners applied to this court for certiorari under § 262. That section provides in part: “*The Supreme Court. . . shall have power to issue all writs not specifically provided for by statute, which may be necessary for the exercise of their respective jurisdictions, and agreeable to the usages and principles of law.*”

. . . *When Congress withholds interlocutory reviews*, § 262 can, of course be availed to correct a mere error in the exercise of conceded judicial power. But when a court has no judicial power to do what it purports to do – when its action is not mere error or usurpation of power – the situation falls precisely within the allowable use of § 262. We proceed, therefore, to inquire whether the . . . Court is empowered to enter the order under attack.

Also see, **80th Congress House Report No. 308.**

36. Newsome believes it is of PUBLIC/WORLDWIDE interest for citizens to see just how the courts in the United States operate and COVER-UP the CORRUPTION of the United States Government/Government Officials, BIG corporations, BIG law firms, BIG insurance companies, SPECIAL INTEREST groups, their lobbyists, etc. who engage in criminal/civil wrongs leveled against citizens such as Newsome who OPPOSE such unlawful/illegal/unethical practices as that raised and addressed in EM/ORS, PFEW and supporting Exhibits/Appendices. In fact, it is IMPORTANT for the PUBLIC/WORLD to see just how far the United States Government, WHITE employers, their lawyers, their insurance companies, etc. will go to POST FALSE, MALICIOUS and MISLEADING information known to be received through criminal acts on the INTERNET for purposes of destroying citizens’ (i.e. such as Newsome) lives. See **EXHIBIT “26”** – Google Information regarding Newsome attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Furthermore, how the Government and WHITE employers engage in criminal/civil wrongs against citizens (i.e. such as Newsome) to see that the **“DOORS OF THE COURTS”** are closed to citizens who have VALID and MERITABLE claims. Either engaging and/or condoning the criminal acts of judges/justices who AID and ABET in the COVER-UP of CORRUPTION and CRIMINAL behavior. In Newsome case, the United States Government and White employers CONSPIRED to place information on the INTERNET they knew to be FALSE, MALICIOUS and MISLEADING for purposes of having Newsome BLACKLISTED/BLACKBALLED and creating situation to see that Newsome is NEVER employable in EFFORTS of keeping the CRIMINAL/TERRORIST/ RACIST/SUPREMACIST practices of WHITE employers OUT of the eyes/knowledge of CITIZENS and/or PUBLIC/WORLD.

Weber v. Henderson, 275 F.Supp.2d 616 (2003) – Postal employee who filed fifteen lawsuits in nine years against United States Postal Service (USPS), stemming from his removal from full-service carrier duty, failed to raise claims in any action relating to events at issue that were neither meritless nor frivolous, and thus any further pro se pleadings submitted by employee on same basis would be PROPERLY reviewed under ALL WRITS ACT . . .

In re McDonald, 489 U.S. 180, 109 S.Ct. 993 (1989) Jessie McDonald may well have abused his right to file petitions in this Court without payment of the docketing fee; the Court's order documents that fact. I do not agree, however, that he poses such a threat to the orderly administration of justice that we should embark on the unprecedented and dangerous course the Court charts today. . . . I am most concerned, however, that if, as I fear, we continue on the course we chart today, we will end by closing our doors to a litigant with a meritorious claim. ***It is rare, but it does happen on occasion that we grant review and even decide in favor of a litigant who previously had presented multiple unsuccessful*188 petitions on the same issue.*** See, e.g., *Chessman v. Teets*, 354 U.S. 156, 77 S.Ct. 1127, 1 L.Ed.2d 1253 (1957); see *id.*, at 173-177, 77 S.Ct. at 1136-1138 (Douglas, J., dissenting).

"Petitioner is no stranger to us. Since 1971, he has made **73** *separate* filings with the Court, not including this petition, which is his eighth so far this Term. These include **4** appeals, **33** petitions for certiorari, **99** petitions for extraordinary writs, **7** applications for stay and other injunctive relief, and **10** petitions for rehearing." *Id.* pp. 994-995.

"But paupers filing *pro se* petitions are not *subject to the financial considerations* - filing fees and attorney's fees - that deter other litigants from filing frivolous petitions." *Id.* p. 996.

The Supreme Court (even after all of McDonald's filings) did not close the door to McDonald. A litigant who is identified as filing **73** *separate* filings in a **one-year** period; however, ruled, "*Petitioner remains free under the present order to file in forma pauperis requests for relief other than an extraordinary writ, if he qualifies under the Court's Rule 46 and does not similarly abuse that privilege.*" *Id.* p. 996.

Newsome believes that a reasonable mind may conclude, that based upon the facts, evidenced and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW, and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices, that the role the Respondents, United States Government, courts, WHITE employers, etc. played in the posting of PROTECTED ACTIVITIES involving Newsome on the INTERNET – see **EXHIBIT “26”** – Internet information regarding PROTECTED ACTIVITIES involving Newsome which was posted for unlawful/illegal/unethical/malicious/willful intent attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

37. Newsome believes that the record will sustain that the *facts, evidence and legal conclusions* **set forth in EM/ORS and PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices** will sustain that RELIEF under the –All Writs Act” will sustain that there –are persons/parties, though not parties to original action” - such as: **(a)** United States President Barack Obama and members of his Administration, lawyers, advisors, etc.; **(b)** Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC (–Baker Donelson”) their client (LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY); **(c)** and others that may be identified through FACTUAL inquiries/INVESTIGATIONS that engaged in CONSPIRACIES and criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome – that RELY upon their DOMINANT/PROMINENT positions to INFLUENCE and FRUSTRATE the implementation of the laws, OBSTRUCT the administration of justice, and implementation of Orders issued by this Court.

Sable v. General Motors Corp., 90 F.3d 171 (1996) – Power conferred by All Writs Act extends, under appropriate circumstances, to persons who, though not parties to original action . . . are in position to frustrate implementation of court order or proper administration of justice.

U.S. v. New York Tel. Co., 98 S.Ct. 364, 434 U.S. 159, 54 L.Ed.2d 376 – Power conferred by this section extends, under appropriate circumstances, to persons who though not parties to original action . . . are in position to frustrate implementation of court order or proper administration of justice and encompasses even those who have not taken any affirmative action to hinder justice.

U.S. v. International Broth. Of Teamsters, Chauffeurs, Warehousemen and Helpers of America, AFL-CIO, 911 F.Supp. 743 (1996) – Important feature of All Writs Act is its grant of authority to enjoin and bind nonparties to action when needed to preserve court's ability to reach or enforce its decision in case over which it has proper jurisdiction.

Mongelli v. Mongelli, 849 F.Supp. 215 (1994) - Under All Writs Act, federal courts has authority to issue commands as necessary to effectuate orders it has previously issued and extends to persons who were not parties to original action but are in position to frustrate implementation of court order.

Moreover, it is of PUBLIC IMPORTANCE for the CITIZENS/WORLD to see the Terrorist/Supremacist/Racist Regime that may be running the United States Government – ***Baker Donelson*** - and the positions it holds/held in the Government for purposes of exposing how ONE law firm has been ALLOWED to ***infiltrate*** the United States Government for PROMOTING its RACIST/DISCRIMINATORY/SUPREMACIST ideas over their victims such as Newsome, other citizens and Foreign Countries/Leaders:

- **Chief of Staff** to the President of the United States
- **United States Secretary of State**
- United States **Senate Majority** Leader
- **Members of the United States Senate**
- **Members of the United States House of Representatives**
- Director of the *Office of Foreign Assets Control for United States*
- **Department of Treasury**
- **Director of the Administrative Office of the United States**
- **Chief Counsel, Acting Director, and Acting Deputy Director of United States ***Citizenship & Immigration Services*** within the *United States Department of Homeland Security***

- **Majority and Minority Staff Director** of the Senate Committee on Appropriations
- **Member of United States President's Domestic Policy Council**
- Counselor to the Deputy Secretary for the United States Department of HHS
- **Chief of Staff** of the Supreme Court of the United States
- **Administrative Assistant** to the Chief Justice of the United States
- Deputy under Secretary of International Trade for the United States Department of Commerce
- Ambassador to Japan
- Ambassador to Turkey
- Ambassador to Saudi Arabia
- Ambassador to the Sultanate of Oman
- Governor of Tennessee
- Governor of Mississippi
- Deputy Governor and Chief of Staff for the Governor of Tennessee
- Commissioner of Finance & Administration (Chief Operating Officer) - State of Tennessee
- Special Counselor to the Governor of Virginia
- United States **Circuit Court of Appeals Judge**
- United States **District Court Judges**
- **United States Attorneys**
- **Presidents** of State and Local Bar Associations

EMPHASIS ADDED in that this information is pertinent to establish - ***—though not parties to original action . . . are in position to frustrate implementation of court order or proper administration of justice***” - the CONSPIRACY and PATTERN-OF-CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs leveled against Newsome out of which this instant relief is sought. This information was originally located at:

<http://www.martindale.com/Baker-Donelson-Bearman-Caldwell/law-firm-307399.htm>

see attached at **EXHIBIT “10”** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. It is such information which had been posted for several years. See **APPENDIX “27”** listing pulled approximately September 11, **2004**. However, when Newsome went PUBLIC and released this information, Baker Donelson **moved SWIFTLY for DAMAGE-CONTROL purposes and SCRUBBED this information from the Internet**. It is a GOOD THING NEWSOME RETAINED HARD COPIES so that the PUBLIC/WORLD can see COVER-UP and COWARDLY tactics of one of the most Powerful Leaders (Barack Obama)/Countries (United States) attempting to HIDE/MASK their CRIMES/CIVIL

WRONGS leveled against Newsome, members of her class and/or citizens of the United States.

38. Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will further sustain that prior to bringing this matter before the Supreme Court of the United States, that Newsome first sought administrative and/or judicial relief first. To NO avail. Furthermore, that based upon the EXTRAORDINARY, EXCEPTIONAL and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances involved that any such claims and/or defenses such as “other remedies available” to Newsome will further prove FRUITLESS and/or FRIVOLOUS because of the KEY/HIGH positions that Respondents hold and their use of said positions to INFLUENCE the outcome of legal matters. Therefore, in efforts to seek justice for the PATTERN-OF-MISCARRIAGE-OF-JUSTICE, PATTERN-OF-PRACTICE, PATTERN-OF-CRIMINAL-BEHAVIOR, etc. leveled against Newsome, **she knew it was IMPERATIVE to submit her EM/ORS to put on DISPLAY and PRESENT/EXPOSE to the PUBLIC/WORLD how the judicial process works AGAINST citizens (i.e. such as Newsome) who in GOOD FAITH bring legal actions through proper legal recourse and is REJECTED and SUBJECTED to criminal/civil wrongs in RETALIATION for exercising rights secured/guaranteed under the Constitution, Civil/Human Rights Act, and other laws of the United States.**

Harris v. Nelson, 89 S.Ct. 1082 (1969) - All Writs Act serves as **legislatively approved** source of procedural instruments designed to achieve rational ends of law and may be relied on by courts in issuing orders appropriate to assist them in conducting factual inquiries. 28 U.S.C.A. § 1651.

All Writs Act serves as legislatively approved source of procedural instruments designed to achieve rational ends of law and may be relied on by courts in issuing orders appropriate to assist them in conducting factual inquiries. *Id.*

39. The facts, evidence and legal conclusions set forth in Newsome’s EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will further sustain that based upon the FACTS, EVIDENCE and RULES of this Court that some of the Respondents involved in this matter may include STATES, COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES, etc. which warrants this Court’s ORIGINAL jurisdiction.

U.S. v. Hayman, 72 S.Ct. 263 (1952) - In determining what auxiliary writs are "agreeable to usages and principles of law' within purview of judicial code provision authorizing federal court to issue all writs necessary or appropriate in aid of their respective jurisdictions and agreeable to usages and principles of law, court must look first to common law.

40. Newsome further believes that given the facts, evidence and legal conclusions presented to this Court in her EM/ORS, PFEW, their supporting Exhibits/Appendices, MALICIOUS and CRIMINAL acts in placing PROTECTED information on the INTERNET, and what may be DILATORY practices of this Court in the handling of this matter thus far, that a reasonable mind may conclude that there may have been SUFFICIENT and ADEQUATE information provided this Court

already to aid in its jurisdiction and handling of this matter; rather than rely upon what may be seen as dilatory tactics to AID and ABET Respondents (i.e. who based on established relationships have engaged in CONSPIRACIES and the COVER-UP of same) in the FURTHERANCE of their criminal/civil violations leveled against Newsome. **As a matter of law the Supreme Court of the United States has a DUTY to correct the miscarriage of justices made known to it through any/all legal means known to it. Newsome need NOT be specific because this Court has VAST legal resources and KNOWLEDGE and/or the TOOLS TO OBTAIN SUCH KNOWLEDGE in how to handle the EXTRAORDINARY, EXCEPTIONAL and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances brought to its attention by Newsome.**

Adams v. U.S. ex rel. McCann, 63 S.Ct. 236 (1942) - Unless appropriately confined by Congress, a federal court may avail itself of all auxiliary writs as aids in performance of its duties, when the use of such historic aids is calculated in its sound judgment to achieve the ends of justice entrusted to it.

Ex parte Milwaukee R. Co., 72 U.S. 188 (1866) - Where a case is properly in the Supreme Court . . . , the Supreme Court has a right under Judiciary Act § 14, 28 U.S.C.A. § 1651, to issue any writ which may be necessary to render their . . . jurisdiction effectual.

Platt v. Minnesota Min. & Mfg. Co., 84 S.Ct. 769 (1964) - Extraordinary writs are reserved for really extraordinary causes, and then only to confine an inferior court to a lawful exercise of its prescribed jurisdiction or to compel it to exercise its authority when it is its duty to do so. 28 U.S.C.A. § 1651(a).

See also 42 USC § 1986: ***Action for neglect to prevent.***¹⁹

41. Newsome believes that there is sufficient evidence to further sustain that those among Respondents may be the United States, States, Counties, Municipalities, etc.; moreover their state/government officials in which ORDERS/JUDGMENTS deterring/prohibiting/precluding/preventing present and future unlawful/illegal/unethical behavior

Riggs v. Johnson County, 73 U.S. 166 (1867) - Under section 14 of the judiciary act, authorizing the issue of "other writs not specially provided for by statute, which may be necessary for the exercise of their respective jurisdiction," . . . courts may *issue a mandamus to a state officer.*

42. Newsome is CONFIDENT that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in her EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain that this legal matter that she has brought before the Supreme Court of the United States is one of EXTRAORDINARY, EXCEPTIONAL and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances. Furthermore, will sustain a CLEAR

¹⁹ Every person who, having knowledge that any of the wrongs conspired to be done, and mentioned in section 1985 of this title, are about to be committed, and having power to prevent or aid in preventing the commission of the same, neglects or refuses so to do, if such wrongful act be committed, shall be liable to the party injured, or his legal representatives, for all damages caused by such wrongful act, which such person by reasonable diligence could have prevented; and such damages may be recovered in an action on the case; and any number of persons guilty of such wrongful neglect or refusal may be joined as defendants in the action; . . .

ABUSE OF DISCRETION, USURPATION OF JUDICIAL POWER/AUTHORITY, LACK OF JURISDICTION in lower court actions to act, etc. Moreover, a **REPEAT Pattern-Of-Such-Abuses/Usurpation because Respondents PLACED THEMSELVES ABOVE THE STATUTES and LAWS of the United States and thought they were INVINCIBLE!!!** However, like the MAJORITY of career criminals (i.e. as Respondents) they commit way too many crimes and through their **ARROGANCE and PRIDE** they eventually commit one crime to many and slip up which eventually leads to their **DEMISE** as in this instant legal action.

Bankers Life & Cas. Co. v. Holland, 74 S.Ct. 145(1953) - The supplementary review power conferred on courts by Congress in the All Writs Act is meant to be used only in the exceptional case where there is a clear abuse of discretion or usurpation of judicial power. 28 U.S.C.A. s 1651(a).

II. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF FACT/CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in the EM/ORS, PFEW and their Exhibits/Appendices will sustain that, as a matter of law, Newsome is entitled to Findings of Fact/Conclusion of Law that Courts and/or Administrative Agencies relied upon to reach their rulings/decisions. Furthermore, that Newsome **TIMELY, PROPERLY and ADEQUATELY** provided her demands for Findings of Fact/Conclusion of Laws in **WRITING** and through the applicable legal processes – to **NO** avail. Therefore, sustaining the relief sought through this instant legal action before the Supreme Court of the United States.

43. Newsome is **CONFIDENT** that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions in the lower courts' and administrative agencies' records will **SUSTAIN** timely requests for Findings of Fact/Conclusion of Laws for any decisions Respondents may alleged was rendered. However, to date, Newsome has **NOT** received Findings of Fact/Conclusion of Laws requested. Thus, clearly **SUPPORTING** courts and/or administrative agencies depriving Newsome **EQUAL** protection of the laws, **EQUAL** privileges and immunities and **DUE PROCESS** of laws secured/guaranteed to her under the Constitution and other laws of the United States.

Ben David v. Trivisono, 495 F.2d 562 (1974) – Whether proceeding under this section or not, ... court has **NO** license to **ignore REQUIREMENT** that it **LET PARTIES** and appellate court **KNOW WHY IT ACTS**, and on what **FACTUAL BASIS**.

(n.1) . . . The latter incorporate the common sense rule that a court should let the parties and . . . court know why it acts, and on what factual basis. *United States v. Merz*, 376 U.S. 192, 84 S.Ct. 639, 11 L.Ed.2d 629 (1964). Whether proceeding under the All Writs Act or

not, . . . court has NO license to ignore that requirement. *Golden State Bottling Co. v. NLRB*, 414 U.S. 168, 177 n.4, 94 S.Ct. 414, 422 n.4, 38 L.Ed. 388 (1973).

(n.4) Those enjoined are ENTITLED to be TOLD PRECISELY what conduct is outlawed. *Schmidt v. Lessard*, 414 U.S. 473, 94 S.Ct. 713, 715, 35 L.Ed.2d 661 (1974).

44. Newsome is confident that the Supreme Court of the United States' inquires and/or investigations into the Judicial and Administrative proceedings brought by Newsome will support that NEITHER organization will have facts, evidence or legal conclusions to REBUT Newsome's claims, evidence and case laws provided and there is NOTHING to sustain organizations' decisions. Though Newsome requested Findings of Fact/Conclusion of Laws to sustain courts'/administrations' actions, ALL FAILED to produce Newsome with Findings of Fact and the Conclusion of Laws relied upon to reach their decision.

American Propeller & Manufacturing Co. v. U.S., 57 S.Ct. 521 (U.S.,1937) - Findings should be examined in light of pleadings to determine scope of findings.

45. Newsome further believes that while she was laughed and mocked at for being so thorough, detailed and presented pleadings considered voluminous, she in GOOD FAITH prepared pleadings for purposes of PRESERVING the claims and issues presented for review by this Court. Furthermore, Newsome knew that Respondents' INABILITY to defend against her claims/legal actions were also the reason they did their best to STEER CLEAR of addressing the claims and issues raised. Nevertheless, Newsome remained focused and did not embark and engage in the RAMBLINGS of such criminals and responded with the applicable pleadings to PRESERVE and SUPPORT claims/issues raised and the TIMELY, PROPERLY and ADEQUATE submission thereof for review.

Town of Hallie v. City of Eau Claire, 105 S.Ct. 1713 (1985) - Claim not raised below was bound to fail on review.

III. ORIGINAL WRIT

Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in her EM/ORS, PFEW and supporting Exhibits/Appendices will further support that prior to bringing this legal action before the Supreme Court of the United States, Newsome in GOOD FAITH and DILIGENTLY sought relief through the applicable process – to NO avail. Newsome's efforts proving to be fruitless based upon the CORRUPTION and Extraordinary, Exceptional and Critical/Exigent circumstances of the KEY/BIG MONEY interest groups (i.e. United States President Barack Obama, his Administration, Baker Donelson, Libert Mutual, etc.) involved and their ties to POWER POSITIONS

(i.e. for instance President of the United States) in the United States Government. Therefore, Newsome's request for Original Writ is submitted in good faith to commence legal action and direct the applicable parties to appear before this Court and answer for the criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome, members of her class and/or other citizens as the Supreme Court of the United States deems necessary to correct the legal wrongs and injustices complained of in this legal action.

Original Writ: A writ commencing an action and directing the defendant to appear and answer.

46. Newsome believes that EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will be in aid of the U.S. Supreme Court's appellate jurisdiction – 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a) provides that the –Supreme Court and all courts established by Act of Congress may issue all writs necessary or appropriate in aid of their respective jurisdictions and agreeable to the usages and principles of law.” The statute **does not** purport to *restrict this Court* to issuing writs sole in the aid of its appellate jurisdiction. This Court has chosen to limit the application of its Rule 20 to situations in which the writs are in aid to the Court's appellate jurisdiction, and thereby has left the matter of the extraordinary writs in aid of the Court's original jurisdiction unregulated so far as this Court's Rules are concerned. *Thus, the U.S. Supreme Court has a continuing power to issue extraordinary writs in aid of either its original jurisdiction²⁰ including as a part of jurisdiction(s) the exercise of general supervisory control over the court system – state or federal.*²¹

Cotler v. Inter-County Orthopedic Ass'n, 530 F.2d 536 (3rd Cir. 1976) – When court . . . exercises . . . jurisdiction in aid of its appellate jurisdiction, its authority to do so is conferred by this section, and such jurisdiction is exercised as an ORIGINAL action at law.

Digital Data Systems, Inc. v. Carpenter, 387 F.2d 529, 156 U.S.P.Q. 225 (5th Cir. 1967) – Where petition was intended as an original proceeding in court . . . under this section, that is, an application for writ . . . it was necessary, to get such ORIGINAL proceeding at issue, for answer to be filed.

²⁰ See *Ex parte Hung Hang*, 108 U.S. 552, 553, 2 S.Ct. 863, 27 L.Ed. 811 (1883) (Court has authority to issue writ); *Pennsylvania v. Wheeling Belmont Bridge Co.*, 59 U.S. 421, 431, 15 L.Ed. 435 (1885) (–act of congress cannot have the effect and operation to annul the decision of the court already rendered); *Ex parte Siebold*, 100 U.S. 371, 374, 25 L.Ed. 717 (1879) (–Having this general power to issue the writ, the court may issue it in the exercise of original jurisdiction where it has original jurisdiction. . . →); see also *Wagner, Original Jurisdiction of National Supreme Courts*, 33 St. John's L. Rev. 217 (1959); cf. *Marbury v. Madison*, 5 U.S. (1 Cranch) 137, 147, 2 L.Ed. 60 (1803) (–The term ‘appellate jurisdiction’ is to be taken in its larger sense, and implies in its nature the right of superintending the inferior tribunals.”).

²¹ See e.g., *Connor v. Coleman*, 440 U.S. 612, 624, 99 S.Ct. 1523, 59 L.Ed. 2d 619 (1979) (–When a lower. . . court refuses to give effect to, or misconstrues our mandate, its actions are controlled by this Court. . . .”); *McCullough v. Cosgrave*, 309 U.S. 634, 635, 60 S.Ct. 703, 84 L.Ed. 992 (1940) (Court directed . . . Court judge to vacate order and retry cases **expediently**); *Ex parte United States*, 242 U.S. 27, 52, 37 S.Ct. 72, 61 L.Ed. 129 (1916) (mandamus proper remedy for enforcing . . . when. . . Court that passed it has defeated its execution). - - Vol. 23 Moore's Federal Practice, § 520.02[2] (Matthew Bender 3d ed.).

Anderson v. McLaughlin, 263 F.2d 723 (9th Cir. 1959) – Extraordinary writs authorized to be issued by courts established by Act of Congress should be issued only under unique and compelling circumstances.

Because of the EXTRAORDINARY and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances involved and the growing list of Respondents may INCLUDE States – i.e. their counties, cities, municipalities and officials thereof – Newsome seeks the Supreme Court of the United States **ORIGINAL** jurisdiction through any/all means permissible by statutes/laws to provide it with **original** jurisdiction over the parties and/or subject-matter jurisdiction.

IV. WRIT OF INJUNCTION

Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in her EM/ORS, PFEW and supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain her entitlement to the EMERGENCY relief TIMELY, PROPERLY and ADEQUATELY brought before this Court. Moreover, that the INJUNCTIVE and other applicable relief sought in this legal action is indisputable and clearly warranted in that Respondents, WITHOUT this Court's intervention, will CONTINUE to engage in CORRUPTION to COVER-UP criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome as well as other members of her class and/or other citizens of the United States. Furthermore, that Newsome has GOOD FAITH exhausted applicable avenues in pursuit of justice – to NO avail, thus warranting the Supreme Court of the United States' intervention/supervisory and original jurisdiction in this matter. There is NO plain, adequate and complete remedy at law to Newsome and the record evidence will sustain IRREPARABLE injury/harm she has sustained and will continue to be subjected to unless the relief sought is granted.

Writ of Injunction: A court order commanding or preventing an action. - - To get an injunction, the complainant **MUST** show that there is no plain, adequate, and complete remedy at law and that an **IRREPARABLE injury will result unless the relief is granted.**

U.S. v. Oregon State Medical Soc., 72 S.Ct. 690 (1952) - Notwithstanding that injunctive relief is **MANDATORY** in form, such relief is to undo existing conditions, because otherwise they are likely to continue.

Porter v. Lee, 66 S.Ct. 1096 (U.S.Ky.,1946) - Where a defendant with notice in an injunction proceeding contemplates the acts sought to be enjoined, the court may by **MANATORY** injunction restore the status quo.

~~In~~ a general sense, **EVERY** order of a court which commands or forbids is an **INJUNCTION**; but in its accepted legal sense, an injunction is a **JUDICIAL** process or **MANDATE** operating *in personam* by which, upon certain established principles of equity, a party is required to **DO** or **REFRAIN** from doing a particular thing. An INJUNCTION has also been defined as a writ **FRAMED according to CIRCUMSTANCES of the case, COMMANDING an act which the court REGARDS as ESSENTIAL TO JUSTICE, or RESTRAINING an act which it ESTEEMS CONTRARY to EQUITY and GOOD CONSCIENCE; as a remedial writ which courts issue for the purpose of ENFORCING their equity jurisdiction; and as a writ issuing by the ORDER and UNDER THE SEAL of a court of equity.** 1 Howard C. Joyce, A Treatise of the Law Relating to Injunctions § 1, at 2-3 (1909)”

Brown v. Gilmore, 122 S.Ct. 1 (U.S., 2001) - **Injunctive** relief under the All Writs Act is to be used sparingly and only in the most critical and exigent circumstances. (Per Chief Justice Rehnquist, as Circuit Justice). 28 U.S.C.A. § 1651(a).

Injunctive relief under the All Writs Act is appropriate only if the legal rights at issue are indisputably clear. (Per Chief Justice Rehnquist, as Circuit Justice). *Id.*

Thorogood v. Sears, Roebuck and Co., 627 F.3d 289 (7th Cir. 2010) - The All Writs Act permits courts to issue injunctive relief to protect and effectuate their own judgments, so that winning parties will not be forced to litigate a defense of collateral estoppel or seek mandamus orders in every subsequent forum in which they are harassed with the same legal claim until they cry —Ugh!.” 28 U.S.C.A. § 1651.

Hawaii Housing Authority v. Midkiff, 104 S.Ct. 7 (1983) - Court retains power to grant injunctive relief to party to preserve status quo during pendency of appeal, even to Supreme Court. (Per Justice Rehnquist, as Circuit Justice.)

Moore v. Sims, 99 S.Ct. 2371 (1979) - Younger doctrine, which counsels federal court abstention when there is pending state proceeding, reflects strong policy against federal intervention in state judicial processes in absence of great and immediate, irreparable injury to federal plaintiff.

The record evidence will further sustain that Newsome is in GREAT and IMMEDIATE danger, irreparable injury and threats on her life and property as state and federal officials

CONTINUE to engage in CORRUPTION /CONSPIRACIES to commit unlawful/illegal acts against Newsome in RETALIATION and in DISCRIMINATION of her engagement in PROTECTED ACTIVITIES.

47. Newsome has REPEATEDLY been a victim of THREATS and CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs being carried out against her which has threatened her life and INFRINGED upon her persons and property.

48. Newsome has REPEATEDLY been a victim of THREATS and CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs being carried out to deprive her of Constitutional Rights, Civil Rights, Human Rights and other rights sustained under the laws of the United States. Criminal/Unlawful/Illegal wrongs which resulted in the UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL seizure of Newsome's property and possession by Respondents.

49. The record evidence will further sustain that Newsome, TIMELY, PROPERLY and ADEQUATELY presented the facts, evidence and legal conclusions through the October 9, 2010 "*Emergency Motion to Stay; Emergency Motion for Enlargement of Time and Other Relief The United States Supreme Court Deems Appropriate To Correct The Legal Wrongs/Injustices Reported Herein*" (-EM/ORS") submitted for filing with the Supreme Court of the United States – See **EXHIBIT "28"** – United States Postal Service (-USPS") Mailing Receipt/Proof of Mailing/Receipt attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Through EM/ORS, Newsome provided this Court with the IMMEDIATE and EMERGENCY relief to which she is ENTITLED pending resolution of matter.

Ohio Citizens for Responsible Energy, Inc. v. Nuclear Regulatory Com'n, 107 S.Ct. 682, 479 U.S. 1312, 93 L.Ed.2d 692 (1986) – . . . justice's issuance of ORIGINAL writ of injunction, pursuant to All Writs Act and Supreme Court Rule, does not simply suspend judicial alteration of status quo but grants judicial intervention that has been withheld by lower courts and, thus, demands significantly higher justification than that required for stays of final judgments or decrees of any court to enable party aggrieved to obtain writ . . . from Supreme Court. (*Per Justice Scalia*, Circuit Justice)

50. The MANDATORY injunctive relief Newsome seeks through this legal process further request that this Court exercise its supervisory powers and direct the conduct of the Respondents with the backing of its full coercive powers. Furthermore, to DETER further/future criminal/civil wrongs the Respondents have CONSPIRED and are DETERMINED to level against Newsome, members of her class and/or citizens that EXPOSE their engagement in the COVER-UP of CORRUPTION and criminal/civil wrongs.

Nken v. Holder, 129 S.Ct. 1749 (2009) - When a court employs the extraordinary remedy of injunction, it directs the conduct of a party, and does so with the backing of its full coercive powers.

Rondeau v. Mosinee Paper Corp., 95 S.Ct. 2069 (1975) - Injunctive relief is historically designed to deter, not to punish.

Dombrowski v. Pfister, 85 S.Ct. 1116 (1965) - Injunctive relief looks to the future. *Douglas v. City of Jeannette (Pennsylvania)*, 63 S.Ct. 877

Newsome further seeks the Supreme Court of the United States for injunctive relief because of the EXTRAORDINARY, EXCEPTIONAL and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances warrant it. Without this Court's intervention to supervise and direct the implementation/enforcement injunctions, Respondents will CONTINUE on a CRIMINAL and DESTRUCTIVE course to cause Newsome - members of her class, and/or citizens who oppose such injustices and EXPOSE the government's role in CORRUPTION and the COVER-UP of same - IRREPARABLE injury/harm for purposes of *Terrorizing, Oppressing, Harassing, Threatening, Discriminating, Obstructing Justice, Depriving citizens of Protected Rights, Blackmail, Coercion, Intimidation, etc.*

51. Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain that while the relief sought under the ALL WRITS ACT provide remedies that are DRASTIC and EXTRAORDINARY, she believes a reasonable mind (given the facts and circumstances underlying this case) may conclude that this legal action involves EXCEPTIONAL/EXTRAORDINARY as well as CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances governing and sustain the use of remedies/relief sought.

Banker Life & Cas. Co. v. Holland, 74 S.Ct. 145, 346 U.S. 379, 98 L.Ed. 106 (1953) – United States Supreme Court has power, in a proper case, to issue writs of mandamus, prohibition, **injunction** against judges, but such remedies are **DRASTIC and EXTRAORDINARY**, and as such are reserved for really **EXTRAORDINARY** cases, and should be **RESORTED** to ONLY where appeal is a **clearly INADEQUATE** remedy.

52. Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain she is entitled to the injunctive relief sought depends on the equitable principles, nature of rights to which she is entitled that have been invaded and the adequacy of the remedy at law. Moreover, the Supreme Court of the United States intervention and exercise of SUPERVISORY powers to correct any/all MISCARRIAGES of justices and LEGAL WRONGS made known to it.

Sterling v. Constantin, 53 S.Ct. 190 (1932) - Whether injured party is entitled to injunction depends on equitable principles, on nature of right invaded, and adequacy of remedy at law.

53. The EM/ORS, PFEW and supporting Exhibits/Appendices will further sustain that the INJUNCTIVE relief sought to prevent future violations of Respondents leveled against Newsome, members of her class and citizens that engage in EXPOSING the COVER-UP and CORRUPTION in the United States Government and those it conspire with to deprive citizens of EQUAL protection of the laws,

EQUAL privileges and immunities and DUE PROCESS of laws. Evidence further sustaining that the relief sought by Newsome is needed and MANDATORY as a matter of statutes/laws governing said matters.

U.S. v. W. T. Grant Co., 73 S.Ct. 894 (1953) - Purpose of injunction is to prevent future violations and it can be utilized even without a showing of past wrongs, but moving party must satisfy court that relief is needed.

It is a good thing Newsome provided the Supreme Court of the United States with her EM/ORS as well as the lower courts' and federal agencies' records will sustain a PATTERN-OF-PRACTICE, PATTERN-OF-ABUSE, PATTERN-OF-CRIMINAL/CIVIL violations, etc. leveled against Newsome to establish the injunctive relief and other applicable relief requested as a matter of law to correct the injustices complained of.

54. The facts, evidence and legal conclusion provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain that a REAL THREAT of FUTURE violation or CONTEMPORARY violation of nature is INEVITABLE – i.e. as established by the PATTERN-OF-PRACTICE of Respondents – and MOST LIKELY than not will CONTINUE/RECUR. Furthermore, it matters NOT about the calendar of years (i.e. CRIMINAL stalking of Newsome and CONSPIRACIES leveled against her spanning for decade(s)) that has lapsed, JUSTICE is LONG OVERDUE and it is time to get CRIMINAL Respondents off the street, out of the courts and out of the government. The MANDATORY injunctive relief sought is needed to UNDO existing conditions as well as FUTURE acts that Respondents have contemplated and/or presently CONSPIRING to carry out against Newsome and other citizens.

U.S. v. Oregon State Medical Soc., 72 S.Ct. 690 (1952) - Real threat of future violation or contemporary violation of nature likely to continue or recur is sufficient to make cause of action for relief by injunction, and once established, it adds nothing that calendar of years gone by might have been filled with transgressions.

Notwithstanding that injunctive relief is mandatory in form, such relief is to undo existing conditions, because otherwise they are likely to continue. *Id.*

55. The facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and supporting Exhibits/Appendices, as well as lower courts and government agencies' records will sustain that Newsome filed/submitted the applicable complaints to PRESERVE her claims and/or issues raised. To no avail. Therefore, resulting in the bringing of this instant action before the Supreme Court of the United States due to the EXTRAORDINARY, EXCEPTIONAL and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances involved; moreover, the PERPETRATORS (i.e. States/Counties/Cities, Judges/Justices, Attorneys, President of the United States and his Administration, Law Firms, Insurance Companies, etc.). Moreover, calling for this Court's intervention and the restoration of cases as permitted by the statutes/laws governing said matters.

Porter v. Lee, 66 S.Ct. 1096 (U.S.Ky.,1946) - Where a defendant with notice in an injunction proceeding contemplates the acts sought to be enjoined, the court may by mandatory injunction restore the status quo.

56. MANDATORY injunctive relief is sought to remedy the legal injustices leveled against Newsome, members of her class and/or citizens who oppose the COVER-UP of CORRUPTION in the United States Government and other criminal/civil wrongs.

Morrison v. Work, 45 S.Ct. 149 (1925) - Mandatory injunction issued to remedy and not to promote wrong.

57. Newsome believes that because of the EXTRAORDINARY circumstances and PERPETRATORS/CONSPIRATORS involved, AFFIRMATIVE and DECISIVE action is needed by the Supreme Court of the United States – demanding the exercise of its full powers to deter and prevent the criminal/civil wrongs reported - 42 USC § 1986: ***Action for neglect to prevent***²²

Ex parte Lennon, 17 S.Ct. 658 (U.S.Ohio,1897) - A court of equity is not limited to the restraint of threatened action, but may require affirmative action where the circumstances demand it.

58. Because of the EXTRAORDINARY, EXCEPTIONAL and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances and the ties/key relationships Respondents have to KEY/PROMINENT government agencies/officials, Newsome (as in this instant matter before this Court) requested to be advised of any “CONFLICT OF INTEREST.” Therefore, the MANDATORY injunctive relief is sought to direct and supervise the enforcement and adherence to order(s) issued by the Supreme Court of the United States.

GTE Sylvania, Inc. v. Consumers Union of U. S., Inc., 100 S.Ct. 1194 (1980) - Persons subject to an injunctive order issued by a court with jurisdiction are expected to obey that decree until it is modified or reversed, even if they have proper grounds to object to the order.

59. Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions set forth in EM/ORS, PFEW and supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain that she took the necessary steps/precautions to assure that TOP/KEY Government Department Heads/Judges/Justices, etc. were TIMELY, PROPERLY and ADEQUATELY advised as to what crimes/civil wrongs were being committed and/or PLACED on NOTICE of the CONSPIRACY(S) they were engaged in. To no avail. ALL proceeded with KNOWLEDGE to fulfill their ROLES in conspiracies leveled against Newsome. Moreover, were aware of the CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs being carried out UNDER THEIR WATCH.

²² Every person who, having knowledge that any of the wrongs conspired to be done, and mentioned in section 1985 of this title, are about to be committed, and having power to prevent or aid in preventing the commission of the same, neglects or refuses so to do, if such wrongful act be committed, shall be liable to the party injured, or his legal representatives, for all damages caused by such wrongful act, which such person by reasonable diligence could have prevented; and such damages may be recovered in an action on the case; and any number of persons guilty of such wrongful neglect or refusal may be joined as defendants in the action; . . .

Jones v. Securities and Exchange Commission, 56 S.Ct. 654 (1936) - Where suit is brought to enjoin certain acts or activities, of which suit defendant has notice, hands of defendant are effectually tied pending hearing and determination, notwithstanding no restraining order or preliminary injunction be issued.

After defendant has been notified of pendency of suit seeking injunction against him, notwithstanding temporary injunction is not granted, he acts at his peril and subject to power of court to restore status, wholly irrespective of merits as they may be ultimately decided. *Id.*

The facts and evidence will sustain just how PERSISTENT and DETERMINED Respondents were in engaging in criminal/civil wrongs for purposes of *Destroying evidence, Terrorizing, Oppressing, Harassing, Threatening, Discriminating, Obstructing Justice, Depriving citizens of Protected Rights, Blackmail, Coercion, Intimidation, etc.* Respondents engaging in criminal/civil wrongs with KNOWLEDGE their “hands were tied” because Newsome had advised of appealing and/or advised of taking matter out of their hands through the applicable and/or appropriate legal process.

Even in the lawsuit that initiated the bringing of this matter before the Supreme Court of the United States, the facts, evidence and legal conclusions will sustain how far Respondents went even with KNOWLEDGE and NOTIFICATION that Newsome would take to the High Court. **In fact, going as far as to ENGAGE the MAJORITY of the Ohio Supreme Court Justices to FULFILL their ROLES in CONSPIRACY and engage in COVER-UP of CORRUPTION and criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome.** Upon Newsome’s RESEARCH and INVESTIGATION into the handling of her matters, she discovered that that MAJORITY of the Supreme Court of OHIO appears to be PURCHASED by KEY/HIGH POWER MONEY INTEREST GROUPS – i.e. with ties to the President of the United States, Judges/Justices, United States Senators, United States Representatives, Judiciary, etc. – such as Baker Donelson, their clients (i.e. LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE), etc.

V. **WRIT OF CONSPIRACY**²³

Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions contained herein will sustain a PATTERN-OF-PRACTICE in the CONSPIRACIES leveled against Newsome by Respondents and their Conspirators/Co-Conspirators. Furthermore, that this Court was TIMELY, PROPERLY and ADEQUATELY placed on notice of the CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs of judges assigned matters involving Newsome. To NO avail. This Court also being one that engaged in CONSPIRACIES

²³ Respondent (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and **any act done by one of the combination is regarded under the law as the act of both or all.** In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, **becomes the act of both or all of them, no matter which individual may have done it.** This is true as to **each member of the conspiracy, even those whose involvement was limited to a minor role in the unlawful transaction, and it makes no difference whether or not such individual shared in the profits of the actions.** (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9).

leveled against Newsome in the posting of false, misleading and malicious information on the INTERNET for purposes of destroying Newsome's life, depriving her EQUAL protection of the laws, EQUAL privileges and immunities and DUE PROCESS of laws. In fact, an INVESTIGATION will sustain that approximately ALL (if not the MAJORITY) of judges/justices assigned legal matters involving Newsome have ALL engaged in CONSPIRACIES to compromise lawsuits to provide opposing parties and their counsel with undue/unlawful/illegal advantages for purposes of subjecting Newsome to criminal/civil wrongs in RETALIATION for her engagement in PROTECTED ACTIVITIES. For instance:

- a) Judge G. Thomas Porteous (i.e. in Louisiana matter) was just IMPEACHED and REMOVED from office on or about December 8, 2010. See **EXHIBIT "40"** – News Articles on Judge Porteous attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Newsome having concerns about criminal/civil violations rendered by Judge Porteous and/or court and, therefore, filed a Complaint with the United States Department of Justice. See **Exhibit "34"** of EM/ORS herein incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. In this instant action, Newsome is seeking the Supreme Court of the United States' intervention, supervision and applicable powers to correct injustices/miscarriages of justice.
- b) Judge Bobby DeLaughter (i.e. in one of the Mississippi matters) was INDICTED and pled ~~"GUILTY"~~ to criminal charges. See **EXHIBIT "41"** – News Articles on Judge DeLaughter attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Newsome having concerns about criminal/civil violations rendered by Judge DeLaughter and/or court and, therefore, notified the appropriate Government agency of her concerns. To NO avail. In this instant action, Newsome is seeking the Supreme Court of the United States' intervention, supervision and applicable powers to correct injustices/miscarriages of justice.
- c) Judge Tom S. Lee (i.e. in two of the Mississippi matters) KNEW there was a ~~"Conflict of Interest"~~ however, remained in the lawsuits for purposes of providing opposing parties/Respondents with an undue/unlawful/illegal advantage. This is also the matter where the Clerk of Courts (i.e. J.T. Noblin) may have had a ~~"Conflict of Interest"~~ because his son was once employed with some of the Defendants' lawyers' firm. These are also the lawsuits in which the records may have been COMPROMISED for purposes of providing opposing parties/Respondents with and undue/unlawful/illegal advantage. Moreover, for purposes of influencing the outcome of lawsuit. Newsome requesting this court to advise whether there were any ~~"Conflict of Interest."~~ Moreover, record evidence will support Newsome's inquiries to determine

whether RECUSAL would be necessary. Newsome having concerns about criminal/civil violations rendered by Judge Lee, Magistrate Judge(s) and/or court and, therefore, filed a Complaint with the United States Congress/Legislature. See **Exhibit “38”** of EM/ORS herein incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. In this instant action, Newsome is seeking the Supreme Court of the United States’ intervention, supervision and applicable powers to correct injustices/miscarriages of justice.

- d) Judge John Andrew West (i.e. in the Ohio matter) out of which this instant legal action has been brought has been requested to RECUSE himself from lawsuit; however, has REFUSED to do so. Newsome believes that Judge West has also engaged in criminal acts out of which resulted in her having to file a Criminal Complaint with the United States Department of Justice. See **Exhibit “30”** of EM/ORS herein incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. In this instant action, Newsome is seeking the Supreme Court of the United States’ intervention, supervision and applicable powers to correct injustices/miscarriages of justice.
- e) Supreme Court of Ohio Justices out of which this instant legal action has been brought, according to research and record evidence, may have had a –Conflict of Interest;” however, KNOWINGLY, WILLINGLY and with MALICIOUS intent withheld this information from Newsome and FAILED to recuse themselves although TIMELY, PROPERLY and ADEQUATELY notified of Newsome’s concerns. Furthermore, Justices remained in the case and according to the record evidence engaged in criminal acts. As a direct and proximate result of the criminal/civil violations leveled against Newsome by the Supreme Court of Ohio Justices, she moved forward and filed a Criminal Complaint with the United States Department of Justice. See **Exhibit “16”** of EM/ORS herein incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Said Justices remained in the case with KNOWLEDGE that they receive HUGH/SUBSTANTIAL financial contributions from opposing party(s) and, therefore, to provide said contributor(s) with an undue/unlawful/illegal advantage in lawsuit, Justices engaged in criminal behavior for purposes of obstructing justice and other known criminal reasons. In this instant action, Newsome is seeking the Supreme Court of the United States’ intervention, supervision and applicable powers to correct injustices/miscarriages of justice.

Writ of Conspiracy: A writ against one who conspired to injure the plaintiff. . .

Salinas v. U.S., 118 S.Ct. 469 (1997) - Conspiracy may exist and be punished whether or not substantive crime ensues, for conspiracy *is distinct evil, dangerous to public*, and so punishable in itself.

It is possible for person to conspire for commission of crime by third person.

U.S. v. Schaffer, 586 F.3d 414 (C.A.6,Ohio,2009) - Because the illegality of an agreement to commit an unlawful act, as the basis of a conspiracy charge, does

not depend upon the achievement of its ends, it is irrelevant that it may be objectively impossible for the conspirators to commit the substantive offense; indeed, *it is the mutual understanding or agreement itself that is criminal, and whether the object of the scheme actually is, as the parties believe it to be, unlawful is irrelevant.*

60. The facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and supporting Exhibits/Appendices further sustain Respondents' criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome is racially motivated, done for purposes of TERRORIST/SUPREMACIST/RACIST intent to keep her, and members of her class in fear of their lives, enslaved, oppressed, in poverty and deprived of EQUAL protection of the laws, EQUAL privileges and immunities and DUE PROCESS of laws, life, liberties and pursuit of happiness.

Griffin v. Breckenridge, 91 S.Ct. 1790 (U.S.Miss.,1971) - Ku Klux Klan Act, affording civil remedy for conspiracy to deprive person or class of persons of equal protection of laws or equal privileges and immunities, covers private conspiracies.

Ku Klux Klan Act language requiring intent to deprive of equal protection or equal privileges and immunities means that there must be some racial, or perhaps otherwise class-based, invidiously discriminatory animus behind conspirators' action; conspiracy must aim at deprivation of equal enjoyment of rights secured by law to all. *Id.*

That Ku Klux Klan Act reaches private conspiracies to deprive others of legal rights did not render it unconstitutional. *Id.*

Ku Klux Klan Act is constitutional under Congress' powers under Thirteenth Amendment to create statutory cause of action for Negro citizens who have been victims of conspiratorial, racially discriminatory private action aimed at depriving them of basic rights that law secures to all free men. *Id.*

61. Newsome believes that the facts and evidence will sustain, while it is NOT necessary to find an express agreement, that Respondents engaged in a concert of action contemplated to engage in criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome and deprive her rights secured/guaranteed under the Constitution and other laws of the United States. Each Respondent conforming to the arrangement.

U.S. v. Paramount Pictures, 68 S.Ct. 915 (1948) - It is not necessary to find an express agreement in order to find a conspiracy, but it is sufficient that a concert of action is contemplated and that defendants conform to the arrangement.

Interstate Circuit v. U.S., 59 S.Ct. 467 (1939) - An unlawful "conspiracy" may be formed without simultaneous action or agreement on the part of the conspirators.

62. A PATTERN-OF-PRACTICE of Respondents/Conspirators leveled against Newsome as well as the NEXUS establishing connection and association being in RETALIATION of Newsome's engagement in protected activities.

Duplex Printing Press Co. v. Deering, 41 S.Ct. 172 (1921) - An unlawful "conspiracy" is a combination between two or more persons

to do an unlawful or criminal act, or to do a lawful act by criminal or unlawful means.

63. Newsome through this instant legal action brought before this Court, believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will further sustain that the CONSPIRACIES leveled against Newsome by Respondents exists today and will continue without the intervention of the Supreme Court of the United States.

U.S. v. Jimenez Recio, 123 S.Ct. 819 (U.S.,2003) - Conspiracy does not automatically terminate simply because the government, unbeknownst to some of the conspirators, has defeated the conspiracy's object.

64. Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will further sustain that it is of PUBLIC/WORLDWIDE interest to EXPOSE the CORRUPTION and COVER-UP in the United States Government and its officials as well as WHITE employers/businesses for GOOD FAITH purposes of protecting SOCIETY from further dangers and criminal/civil wrongs of Respondents. Moreover, providing CRITICAL/CRUCIAL information to EXPOSE just how ELABORATE, ORGANIZED and DEEP-ROOTED Respondents are in Government positions to provide them with the ability INFLUENCE the role the United States Government/Government Officials have played in CONSPIRACIES leveled not only against Newsome, but against other CITIZENS/FOREIGN COUNTRIES and their Leaders/Citizens for purposes of destroying their lives. Furthermore, the record has SUFFICIENT and SUSTAINABLE evidence that Respondents engaged in conspiracies which THREATENS and CONTINUES to threaten the social order. Thus, warranting the relief writs and criminal sanctions permissible by law of and against Respondents.

U. S. v. Feola, 95 S.Ct. 1255 (1975) -Two independent values served by the law of conspiracy are the protection of society from the dangers of concerted criminal activity and the identification of an agreement to engage in crime as sufficiently threatening to the social order to warrant its being the subject of criminal sanctions regardless of whether the crime agreed upon is actually committed. 18 U.S.C.A. § 371.

65. Newsome believes that the laws of the United States are clear about the NEED TO GO PUBLIC in the EXPOSURE of the CORRUPTION and COVER-UP by Respondents, United States Government/Government Officials because of the TERRORIST/SUPREMACIST/RACIST behavior that can be sustained by the facts, evidence and legal conclusions presented in the EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices. Newsome seeing just how WICKED/EVIL the Respondents could be and the METHODS/MEANS relied upon to keep her and members of her class in FEAR of their lives, BONDAGE/SLAVERY/OPPRESSION, etc. knew that she would have to go to battle against a GIANT like the United States and the Respondents that engaged in CONSPIRACIES leveled against her, members of her class, and foreign countries.

Salinas v. U.S., 118 S.Ct. 469 (1997) - Conspiracy may exist and be punished whether or not substantive crime ensues, for conspiracy *is distinct evil*, **dangerous to public**, and so punishable in itself.

It is possible for person to conspire for commission of crime by third person.

IMPORTANT TO NOTE

It is of PUBLIC/WORLDWIDE interest to let it be known the role the United States Government may have played in the KATRINA disaster in New Orleans, Louisiana. This was a case where the Government KNEW and/or should have KNOWN of the breach/weakness in the Levees there. Clearly they KNEW that a direct hit of a Hurricane – which this was not – the Levees **WOULD NOT** hold up. Rather than repair these Levees, the Government allowed them to remain in bad condition. Why? Because BIG MONEY/SPECIAL INTEREST Groups were interested in the African-American/Blacks property and these citizens REFUSED to give up their lands to them. Therefore, the Government resorted to CRIMINAL behavior for in hopes of such DISASTER to force this race of people out of their homes and property. See for instance **EXHIBIT “29”** – Hurricane Katrina photos attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. This also answers the question that many CITIZENS and/or the PUBLIC/WORLD wanted to know – Why was the RESPONSE from the White House so slow? New Orleans is a one of the MAJOR cities that Baker Donelson has a BIG LAW FIRM SET UP IN!!! Ching. . . Ching. . . Ching – BIG MONEY/SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS AT WORK!! Information which is PERTINENT and RELEVANT as it goes to PATTERN-OF-CORRUPTION and PATTERN-OF-GOVERNMENT COVER-UPS, etc.

Therefore, in OCTOBER 2010, Newsome prepared and distributed under rights secured under the Constitution and laws of the United States her 97-Page PowerPoint Presentation entitled, “*Clean Out Congress 2010 – Americans Take **BACK** Your Country/Government – Come November 2010 Vote OUT The Incumbents Career Politicians*” – See **EXHIBIT “6”** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. With a TERRORIST/SUPREMACIST/RACIST Regime like the President Obama, his Administration and Respondents, it was time to take PRECISIVE ACTION and go PUBLIC with the CONSPIRACIES not only leveled against Newsome, but members of her class and Foreign MIDDLE EAST COUNTRIES/THEIR LEADERS/THEIR CITIZENS.

Scales v. U.S., 81 S.Ct. 1469 (1961) - Legal concepts of conspiracy and complicity manifest general principle that society, having power to punish dangerous behavior, cannot be powerless against those who work to bring about that behavior.

While Newsome may not understand the responses received – i.e. for instance see **EXHIBIT “30”** – Example of E-mail Responses attached hereto and incorporated as if set forth in full herein – what was important to her was knowing that her MESSAGE/INFORMATION may have reached FOREIGN COUNTRIES and their citizens abroad.

66. Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain that the gist of Respondents’ CONSPIRACIES was an agreement to commit criminal/civil offenses against Newsome, members of her class and Foreign Countries/Leaders/Citizens for purposes of keeping them in FEAR/SLAVERY/BONDAGE and OPPRESSED. Moreover, CONSPIRING for purposes of taking their property and possessions for FILTHY LUCRE/GAIN!

United States v. Falcone, 61 S.Ct. 204 (1940) - The gist of a “conspiracy” is an agreement among conspirators to commit an offense, attended by an act of one or more of the conspirators to effect the object of the conspiracy. Cr.Code, § 37, 18 U.S.C.A. § 88.

See for instance **EXHIBITS “31”** and **“32”** respectively – United States Indian Reservations and the MODERN day African-American/Black Plantations/Reservations. Isolating such groups and subjecting them to the “WILLIE LYNCH” practices for purposes of getting such class of people to resort to criminal behavior; moreover, TARGETING the African-American/Black male for purposes of destroying the family structure and COVERING UP the INSECURITIES that the White male has had towards the African-American/Black male – i.e. as in slavery, removing the black male, then leaving the Big House to come down and rape the black women, etc. In today’s society, it is a matter of locking the African-American/Black male in PRISON for purposes of breaking him down and destroying a culture/race of people. See **EXHIBIT “33”** – Prisoner Article attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

67. Newsome believes that based upon the EXTRAORDINARY, EXCEPTIONAL and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances addressed in this legal action, the record evidence may further sustain that Respondents engaged in the COVER-UP of CONSPIRACIES which involved criminal acts as that set out in the EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices.

Pettibone v. U.S., 13 S.Ct. 542 (1893) - A conspiracy is a combination of two or more persons by concerted action to accomplish a criminal or unlawful purpose, or some purpose not in itself criminal, by criminal or unlawful means.

Then, for instance, in an effort to COVER-UP crimes, Respondents CREATED FALSE CRIMINAL CHARGES against Newsome alleging for instance: (a) Resisting Arrest; and (b) Disorderly Conduct – Failure to Comply with Law Enforcement. See **EXHIBIT “34”** – Criminal Charges filed against Newsome which were dismissed See **EXHIBIT “35”**.

attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Criminal Charges/Malicious Prosecution:

- i) Which lower court dismissed.
- ii) Which engaged the role of government officials (i.e. judge(s), constable(s), officers of the court, etc.).
- iii) Which is a WELL-ESTABLISHED manner of practice used by Respondents, Government/Government Officials against Newsome and/or members of her class for purposes of *Terrorizing, Oppressing, Harassing, Threatening, Discriminating, Obstructing Justice, Depriving citizens of Protected Rights, Blackmail, Coercion, Intimidation, etc.* – i.e. implemented to BREAK African-Americans/Blacks down.
- iv) Which is a part of a WELL-ESTABLISHED manner used by a CORRUPT Government and its Officials and those who conspire with it – in fact, in the matter involving President Barack Obama’s former Professor (Louis Gates), the Police Officer (Crowley) in that matter ALSO COMPROMISED and filed a FALSE report to COVER-UP his criminal behavior. All that resulted of that appears to be a meeting at the White House with President Obama to have BEER!!

VI. WRIT OF COURSE

Newsome hereby request the Supreme Court of the United States’ intervention and execution of the applicable Writ(s) as a matter of course or granted as a matter of right to correct and deter the injustices/miscarriage of justice complained of. The facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain the timely filing of applicable complaints/pleadings/documents to PRESERVE rights of Newsome secured/guaranteed under the Constitution and other laws of the United States governing said matters.

Writ of Course: A writ issued as a matter of course or granted as a matter of right.

68. Newsome believes that there is sufficient facts, evidence and legal conclusions to sustain the Supreme Court of the United States – being a court of equity – knows and/or should know that it has the power to issue the applicable writs of assistance or possession for the purposes of enforcing its Orders and Decrees to correct the legal wrongs and miscarriage of justices addressed herein and that of EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices.

Gormley v. Clark, 10 S.Ct. 554 (1890) - A court of equity has power to issue writs of assistance or possession for the purpose of enforcing its orders and decrees.

69. Newsome believes that, as with the bringing of this instant legal action, there is SUFFICIENT evidence in the lower courts' records to SUSTAIN a PATTERN-OF-ABUSE and PATTERN-OF-JUDICIAL USURPATION OF POWER wherein courts knew and/or should have known that they were CLEARLY acting WITHOUT jurisdiction. Moreover, that Newsome TIMELY and PROPERLY filed the adequate pleadings and/or NOTICES advising of lack of JURISDICTION. To NO avail. Lower courts acted to their own peril/destruction/demise. While Respondents wanted to MOCK Newsome for the length of her pleadings, the evidence will sustain that such attacks were done with MALICIOUS intent and in efforts to OBSTRUCTING the administration of justice. Newsome PRESERVING her rights in a TIMELY manner by filing the applicable pleadings.

In re Chicago, R.I. & P. Ry. Co., 41 S.Ct. 288 (U.S.Ohio,1921) - Prohibition will issue if the lower court is clearly without jurisdiction over petitioner, who, at the outset, objected to the jurisdiction, had preserved his rights by appropriate procedure, and had no other remedy. . .

VII. WRIT OF DETINUE²⁴

Newsome hereby request the Supreme Court of the United States' intervention and execution of the applicable Writ(s) to recover personal property wrongfully taken from her by Respondents and to correct and deter the injustices/miscarriage of justice complained of. The facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain the timely filing of applicable complaints/pleadings/documents to PRESERVE rights of Newsome secured/guaranteed under the Constitution and other laws of the United States governing said matters.

Writ of Detinue: A common law action to recover personal property wrongfully taken by another.

—A claim in detinue lies at the suit of a person who has an immediate right to possession of the

²⁴ Defendant (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and ***any act done by one of the combination is regarded under the law as the act of both or all***. In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act of both or all of them, no matter which individual may have done it***. ***This is true as to each member of the conspiracy, even those whose involvement was limited to a minor role in the unlawful transaction, and it makes no difference whether or not such individual shared in the profits of the actions***. (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9).

goods against the person who is in actual possession of them, and who, upon proper demand, fails or refuses to deliver them, and who, upon proper demand, fails or refuses to deliver them up ***WITHOUT lawful excuse***. Detinue at the present day has two main uses. In the **FIRST** place, the plaintiff may desire the **SPECIFIC** restitution of his chattels and **NOT** damages for their conversion. He will then sue in detinue, NOT in trover. In the **SECOND** place, the plaintiff will have to sue in detinue if the defendant sets up no claim of ownership and has not been guilty of trespass. . .

70. The facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and supporting Exhibits/Appendices may further support that in ALL legal matters where Newsome's property and possessions were taken, ALL were done WITHOUT legal process and/or Court Order; moreover, ALL Respondents involved FALSIFIED and/or TAMPERED with PROCESS for purposes of OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE and fulfilling their ROLE in CONSPIRACIES. Then when criminal charges were filed, ALL relied upon other Respondents/Conspirators/Co-Conspirators to AID and ABET in the COVER-UP of criminal/civil wrongs. The legal action Newsome brings before the Supreme Court of the United States entitles her to the RESTORATION and status in quo as it was when the right(s) to be vindicated were invaded.

Poindexter v. Greenhow, 5 S.Ct. 903 (1885) - In cases of detinue the action is purely defensive on the part of the plaintiff. Its object is merely to resist an attempted wrong and to restore the status in quo as it was when the right to be vindicated was invaded. . . .

Ford Motor Credit Co. v. Howell Bros. Truck & Auto Repair Inc., 325 So.2d 562 (1975) - Where defendant's possession of property is wrongful, a demand is not necessary to recover damages for detention.

71. Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusion provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and the supporting Exhibits/Appendices may also sustain that Respondents REPEATEDLY ABUSED and/or FALSIFIED legal process and UNLAWFULLY/ILLEGALLY seized Newsome's property and possessions WITHOUT legal process and/or WITHOUT COURT ORDER. Then relied upon the SPECIAL TIES/RELATIONSHIPS to judges/justices to AID and ABET in the COVER-UP of CONSPIRACIES and criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome. CONSPIRACIES in such matters which involve SEVERAL states in diverse jurisdictions. Therefore, the Supreme Court of the United States jurisdiction is hereby invoked and requested to correct legal wrongs and issue the applicable INJUNCTIVE, DETINUE and other relief necessary to correct said wrongs. Moreover, provide Newsome with **IMMEDIATE relief as requested/demanded until the rest of legal matters may be resolved**.

Lowther v. Ohio Valley Oil & Gas Co., 108 S.E. 276 (1921) - It is not necessary in an action of detinue to make a formal demand for the delivery of property; but in order to convert a lawful possession into an unlawful detention a demand must be made, and from the date of the demand damages for the detention will begin to accrue. . . .

Chappell v. Falkner, 66 So. 890 (1914) - No demand is necessary to maintain detinue for property wrongfully taken by defendants under a bona fide claim of ownership.

72. Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions set forth herein as well as in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices may sustain her ENTITLEMENT to the IMMEDIATE relief sought as well as the RETURN/RESTORATION of her property and possessions. Therefore, the Supreme Court of the United States may issue the applicable Order(s)/Ruling(s) to correct the injustices and miscarriages of justice complained of herein.

Hodges v. Kyle, 63 So. 761 (1913) - Failure to demand property before bringing suit held not to defeat recovery in detinue, where defendant acquired possession wrongfully.

Marr v. Kubel, 4 Mackey 577 (1886) - In detinue, no demand is necessary, service of the writ being sufficient. *Carraway v. McNeice*, Walker 538 (Miss.,1832).

Robinson v. Keith, 25 Iowa 321 (1868) - In detinue, if the taking of the property was wrongful, no demand is necessary before commencing suit.

Gardner v. Boothe, 31 Ala. 186 (1857) - In detinue, plaintiff is entitled to recover damages for the unlawful detention, without proof of a demand.

Vaughn v. Wood, 5 Ala. 304 (1843) - In detinue, the writ is a sufficient demand of the thing detained; and a previous demand is not otherwise necessary than to enable the plaintiff to recover damages for the detention before suit brought. *Carraway v. McNeice*, Walker 538 (Miss.,1832). *Gentry's Adm'r v. McKehen*, 5 Dana 34 (Ky.,1837).

VIII. WRIT OF ENTRY²⁵

Newsome hereby request the Supreme Court of the United States' intervention and execution of the applicable Writ(s) to allow her to RETAKE property and possession of residences/property WRONGFULLY/ILLEGALLY/UNLAWFULLY taken from her to correct and deter the injustices/miscarriage of justice complained of. The facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain the timely filing of applicable complaints/pleadings/documents to PRESERVE rights of Newsome secured/guaranteed under the Constitution and other laws of the United States governing said matters.

Writ of Entry: A writ that allows a person ***WRONGFULLY*** disposed of real property to enter and RETAKE the property.

73. Newsome request, through this filing, that the Supreme Court of the United States grant any and all applicable relief to correct the injustices/miscarriages of justices complained of herein and/or KNOWN to it to remedy said wrongs.

IX. WRIT OF EXIGI FACIAS/SCIRE FACIAS²⁶

Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in this instant filing as well as the EM/ORS, PFEW and supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain that the Supreme Court of the United States has been provided with list (while not all) of those who may be deemed or become deemed as Respondents in instant legal matters before this Court. Furthermore, because of the EXTRAORDINARY, EXCEPTIONAL and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances

²⁵ Defendant (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and ***any act done by one of the combination is regarded under the law as the act of both or all.*** In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act of both or all of them, no matter which individual may have done it.*** **This is true as to each member of the conspiracy, even those whose involvement was limited to a minor role in the unlawful transaction, and it makes no difference whether or not such individual shared in the profits of the actions.** (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9).

²⁶ Defendant (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and ***any act done by one of the combination is regarded under the law as the act of both or all.*** In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act of both or all of them, no matter which individual may have done it.*** **This is true as to each member of the conspiracy, even those whose involvement was limited to a minor role in the unlawful transaction, and it makes no difference whether or not such individual shared in the profits of the actions.** (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9).

and the KEY/HIGH/POWERFUL players (*i.e. President Barack Obama, Ohio Supreme Court Justices, Baker Donelson, Liberty Mutual, etc.*) involved, this Court may have to issue the appropriate documents requiring the appearances of Respondents to show cause why the relief Newsome seeks of and against him/her should not be granted or why dormant judgment(s)/case(s) – if any – should not be revived.

Writ of Exigi Facias: That you cause to be demanded. ***Exigent:*** Requiring **IMMEDIATE** action or aid; **URGENT**.

Black's Law Dictionary - ***Scire Facias:*** A writ requiring the person against whom it is issued to appear and show cause why some matter of record should not be annulled or vacated, or why a dormant judgment against that person should not be revived.

74. Newsome request, through this filing, that the Supreme Court of the United States grant any and all applicable relief to correct the injustices/miscarriages of justices complained of herein and/or KNOWN to it to remedy said wrongs.

Wayman v. Southard, 23 U.S. 1 (U.S.Ky.,1825) - Under Judiciary Act . . . providing that court shall have power to issue writs of scire facias . . . and all other writs not specially provided by statute which may be necessary for the exercise of their jurisdiction, the general term —writs” is **NOT** restrained to original process or to process anterior to judgment.

Walden's Lessee v. Craig's Heirs, 39 U.S. 147 (U.S.Ky.,1840) - Demurrers to writs of scire facias raise only questions of law on facts stated in writ.

X. WRIT OF FORMEDON²⁷

Newsome hereby request the Supreme Court of the United States’ intervention and execution of the applicable Writ(s) for claiming entailed property/residences held by Respondent(s) to correct and deter the injustices/miscarriage of justice complained of. The facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain the

²⁷ Defendant (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and **any act done by one of the combination is regarded under the law as the act of both or all**. In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, **becomes the act of both or all of them, no matter which individual may have done it**. **This is true as to each member of the conspiracy, even those whose involvement was limited to a minor role in the unlawful transaction, and it makes no difference whether or not such individual shared in the profits of the actions**. (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9).

timely filing of applicable complaints/pleadings/documents to PRESERVE rights of Newsome secured/guaranteed under the Constitution and other laws of the United States governing said matters.

Writ of Formedon: A writ of right for claiming entailed property held by another. A writ of formedon was the highest remedy available to a tenant.

75. Newsome request, through this filing, that the Supreme Court of the United States grant any and all applicable relief to correct the injustices/miscarriages of justices complained of herein and/or KNOWN to it to remedy said wrongs.

Monagas v. Vidal, 170 F.2d 99 (1948) - An action of "revenge" is an action by which a man demands a thing of which he claims to be the owner, and action relates to immovables as well as movables, and to corporeal or incorporeal things.

Public Service Co. of New Hampshire v. Voudoumas, 151 A. 81 (1930) - Writ of entry is essentially possessory in character.

XI. WRIT OF MANDAMUS²⁸

Newsome hereby request the Supreme Court of the United States' intervention and execution of the applicable Writ(s) to COMPEL lower courts and government agencies to perform MANDATORY and MINISTERIAL duties owed her and to correct and deter the injustices/miscarriage of justice complained of. The facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain the timely filing of applicable complaints/pleadings/documents to PRESERVE rights of Newsome secured/guaranteed under the Constitution and other laws of the United States governing said matters.

Writ of Mandamus: A writ issued by a superior court to COMPEL a lower court or a government officer to PERFORM

²⁸ Defendant (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and ***any act done by one of the combination is regarded under the law as the act of both or all***. In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act of both or all of them, no matter which individual may have done it***. ***This is true as to each member of the conspiracy, even those whose involvement was limited to a minor role in the unlawful transaction, and it makes no difference whether or not such individual shared in the profits of the actions***. (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9).

MANDATORY and purely MINISTERIAL duties
CORRECTLY.

–Alternative Mandamus: A mandamus issued upon the FIRST application of relief, COMMANDING the defendant either to PERFORM the act DEMANDED or to APPEAR before the court at a specified time to SHOW CAUSE for not performing it.”

–Peremptory Mandamus: An ABSOLUTE and UNQUALIFIED command to the defendant to DO the act in question.”

76. Newsome believes based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided herein as well as EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices, that prior to her bringing this action before the Supreme Court of the United States she in GOOD FAITH exhausted the applicable avenues. To NO avail. Moreover, that from the PATTERN-OF-PRACTICE, PATTERN-OF-ABUSE, CONSPIRACIES, etc. leveled against Newsome that the bringing of legal actions within this Court’s ORIGINAL jurisdiction is appropriate in that criminal/civil wrongs by the Respondents, lower courts, government agencies, etc. will continue.

Heckler v. Ringer, 104 S.Ct. 2013 (1984) - Common-law writ of mandamus is intended to provide a remedy for a plaintiff only if he has exhausted all of the avenues of relief and only if the defendant owes him a clear nondiscretionary duty. 28 U.S.C.A. § 1361.

77. The facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided herein as well as in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain that that DUTIES Newsome requested to be performed were NOT discretionary but were MANDATORY ministerial duties and those in which Respondents were required to perform as a matter of law. Newsome has been irreparably harmed/injured by Respondents and without the Supreme Court of the United States’ intervention and supervision powers owed Newsome to correct the miscarriages of justice/injustices leveled against her, Respondents will not perform MANDATORY ministerial duties LEGALLY/LAWFULLY owed Newsome.

U.S. ex rel. McLennan v. Wilbur, 51 S.Ct. 502 (1931) - Writ of mandamus will issue only where duty to be performed is ministerial and obligation to act peremptory and plainly defined.

Supervisors v. U.S., 85 U.S. 71 (1873) - The office of a writ of mandamus is not to create duties but to compel the discharge of those already existing.

Reeside v. Walker, 52 U.S. 272 (1850) - A mandamus is only to compel performance of some ministerial, as well as legal duty.

Heckler v. Ringer, 104 S.Ct. 2013 (1984) - Common-law writ of mandamus is intended to provide a remedy for a plaintiff only if he has exhausted all of the avenues of relief and only if the defendant owes him a clear nondiscretionary duty. 28 U.S.C.A. § 1361.

U.S. ex rel. McLennan v. Wilbur, 51 S.Ct. 502 (1931) - Writ of mandamus will issue only where duty to be performed is ministerial and obligation to act preemptory and plainly defined.

Reeside v. Walker, 52 U.S. 272 (1850) - A mandamus is only to compel performance of some ministerial, as well as legal duty.

78. The record evidence will further support that in the PRESERVATION of her rights and for PURPOSES of showing GOOD FAITH and Department Head's KNOWLEDGE of criminal/civil wrongs leveled against her, Newsome took the time to provide Government Heads/Administrative Department Heads, etc. with complaints as well as the applicable subsequent pleadings so that he/she was FULLY aware of what was taking place under his/her WATCH/ADMINISTRATION/DEPARTMENT. Newsome taking what she believed to be the NECESSARY precautions so that IGNORANCE could not be claimed by such Department Leaders/Administrative Heads. Furthermore, when served with legal process they have been TIMELY, PROPERLY and ADEQUATELY informed of the legal wrongs complained of and the legal actions brought against them and/or their Agency/Business and them.

Noble v. Union River Logging R. Co., 13 S.Ct. 271 (1893) - While the head of a governmental department is not subject to mandamus in matters involving the exercise of discretion, yet such writ may be issued against the Secretary of the Interior, where he attempts, without authority of law, to annul the action of his predecessor in office, . . .

U.S. v. Boutwell, 84 U.S. 604 (1873) - A writ of mandamus directed to an officer to compel performance of an official duty is aimed exclusively against him as a person, and he only can be punished for disobedience.

Pittston Coal Group v. Sebben, 109 S.Ct. 414 (1988) - Extraordinary remedy of mandamus will issue only to compel performance of clear nondiscretionary duty. 28 U.S.C.A. § 1361

XII. WRIT OF POSSESSION²⁹

Newsome hereby request the Supreme Court of the United States' intervention and execution of the applicable Writ(s) to RECOVER possession of property/residence from Respondent(s) to correct and deter the injustices/miscarriage of justice complained of. The facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain the timely filing of applicable complaints/pleadings/documents to PRESERVE rights of Newsome secured/guaranteed under the Constitution and other laws of the United States governing said matters.

Writ of Possession: A writ issued to **RECOVER** the possession of land.

79. Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusion provided herein as well as EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain her ENTITLEMENT to the relief sought. Moreover, Newsome being a party to action, her RESTORATION of property and possessions WRONGFULLY/ILLEGALLY taken from her without legal process or lawful court order.

Lacassagne v. Chapuis, 12 S.Ct. 659 (1892) - Injunction, being merely a preventive remedy, will not lie for the purpose of restoring to possession one who claims to have been wrongfully evicted from lands under a writ of possession issued in a suit to which he was not a party.

80. The injunctive relief Newsome seeks is for purposes of deterring and preventing Respondents from engaging and continuing to engage in CONSPIRACIES and the COVER-UP of their criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome. Newsome further believes that the established PATTERNS-of-PRACTICE will sustain Respondents WILL NOT cease from their criminal and/or unlawful/illegal behavior without the Supreme Court of the United States' intervention and the correction action is taken to remedy miscarriages of justices complained of.

Hecht Co. v. Bowles, 64 S.Ct. 587 (1944) - The injunctive process is designed to deter, not to punish.

²⁹ Defendant (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and **any act done by one of the combination is regarded under the law as the act of both or all**. In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, **becomes the act of both or all of them, no matter which individual may have done it**. **This is true as to each member of the conspiracy, even those whose involvement was limited to a minor role in the unlawful transaction, and it makes no difference whether or not such individual shared in the profits of the actions**. (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9).

XIII. WRIT OF PRAECIPE³⁰

Newsome hereby request the Supreme Court of the United States' intervention and execution of the applicable Writ(s) ORDERING Respondent(s) to DO some act or EXPLAIN why in action is appropriate for purposes of correcting and deterring the injustices/miscarriage of justice complained of. The facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain the timely filing of applicable complaints/pleadings/documents to PRESERVE rights of Newsome secured/guaranteed under the Constitution and other laws of the United States governing said matters.

Writ of Praecipe: At common law, a writ **ORDERING** a defendant to **DO** some act or **EXPLAIN** why inaction is appropriate.

–Pracipe Quod Reddat – A writ directing the defendant to RETURN certain property – was the proper writ when the plaintiff's action was for a SPECIFIC thing; as for the RECOVERY of a debt certain, or for the RESTORATION of such a chattel, or for giving up such a house, or so much land . . .”

81. Newsome request, through this filing, that the Supreme Court of the United States grant any and all applicable relief to correct the injustices/miscarriages of justices complained of herein and/or KNOWN to it to remedy said wrongs.

³⁰ Defendant (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and ***any act done by one of the combination is regarded under the law as the act of both or all.*** In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act of both or all of them, no matter which individual may have done it.*** ***This is true as to each member of the conspiracy, even those whose involvement was limited to a minor role in the unlawful transaction, and it makes no difference whether or not such individual shared in the profits of the actions.*** (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9).

XIV. WRIT OF PROTECTION³¹

Newsome hereby request the Supreme Court of the United States' intervention and execution of the applicable Writ(s) to PROTECT Newsome from past and future threats and attacks on her life by Respondents and for purposes of correcting and deterring the injustices/miscarriage of justice complained of. The facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain the timely filing of applicable complaints/pleadings/documents to PRESERVE rights of Newsome secured/guaranteed under the Constitution and other laws of the United States governing said matters.

Writ of Protection: A writ to **PROTECT** a witness in a judicial proceeding *who is threatened with arrest.*

Record evidence which will support the KIDNAPPING of Newsome which County Official(s) and/or Respondents attempted to MASK/SHIELD as an arrest – i.e. which if that was what it was, it too was UNLAWFUL/ILLEGAL and CRIMINAL in that there was NO legal authority/power UNDER which such acts could be sustained. See Paragraphs iii-xii/pp. 71-80; i/pp. 100-102; vi/pp. 105-107; and 41/pp.132-133 and supporting Exhibits referenced therein of EM/ORS. A TERRORIFYING and HORRIFIC ordeal Newsome in which Newsome was SHACKLED and/or CHAINED as though she was a SLAVE. Newsome was **NOT** released from her KIDNAPPERS **until** *her parents paid the RANSOM* (i.e. that was MASKED as a bond).

IMPORTANT TO NOTE

The United States Government/Government Officials have a LONGSTANDING HISTORY for carrying out TERRORIST/SUPREMACIST/RACIST attacks on African-American/Blacks

³¹ Defendant (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and ***any act done by one of the combination is regarded under the law as the act of both or all.*** In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act of both or all of them, no matter which individual may have done it.*** ***This is true as to each member of the conspiracy, even those whose involvement was limited to a minor role in the unlawful transaction, and it makes no difference whether or not such individual shared in the profits of the actions.*** (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9).

and/or Citizens who stand up or speak out about CIVIL/HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS. See for instance **EXHIBIT “36”** – *Police Brutality* in the United States attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

Criminal acts carried out by Respondents which caused Newsome IRREPARABLE injuries/damages. Furthermore, record evidence will support/sustain the CONSPIRACIES of Respondents to COVER-UP their criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome. Record evidence will support that Newsome, TIMELY, PROPERLY and ADEQUATELY reported crimes to United States Department of Justice, United States Congress, provided Court(s) with information of such criminal acts through her pleadings filed. All to NO avail. Newsome during her RESEARCH has come across information to see where BAKER DONELSON (i.e. *the FOX GUARDING the Hen House*) has their attorneys/people (i.e. such as Bradley S. Clanton, etc.) even in the UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE for purposes of OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE and PROTECTING their and their CLIENTS’ interest in criminal/legal matters that were brought by Newsome and/or other citizens of the United States. See **EXHIBIT “37”** – *“Commission on Civil Rights Appointment;”* information posted on Baker Donelson’s website attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Information which provides in part:

(Jackson, MS/May 10, 2007) Bradley S. Clanton, of the law firm of Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC, *has been appointed by the United States Commission on Civil Rights (USCCR) to serve as Chairman of its Mississippi Advisory Committee.*

The *Committee assists* the USCCR with its *fact-finding, investigative and information dissemination* activities. The functions of the USCCR include investigating complaints alleging that citizens are being *deprived of their right* . . . *studying and collecting information relating to discrimination or a denial of equal protection of the laws under the Constitution; appraising federal laws and policies with respect to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws because of race, color, . . . or in the administration of justice; serving as a national clearinghouse for information in respect to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws; submitting reports, findings and recommendations to the President and Congress; and issuing public service announcements to discourage discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws.*

Mr. Clanton, a *shareholder* in Baker Donelson's *Jackson and Washington, D.C. offices, concentrates his practice in government litigation, securities and other fraud investigations, and litigation, election law and appeals. His appellate practice has included matters before the U.S. Supreme Court, U.S. Courts of Appeals, the Mississippi Supreme Court and Court of Appeals, and various other state appellate courts. His internal investigations and government litigation practice has included matters related to Securities and Exchange Commission investigations, health care fraud investigations, federal campaign finance investigations, and state and federal securities fraud class action litigation and arbitration proceedings. Previously, Mr. Clanton served as Chief Counsel to the U.S. House Judiciary Committee's Subcommittee on the Constitution, where his responsibilities included advising the Chairman and Republican Members of the Judiciary Committee on legislation and Congressional oversight implicating civil and constitutional rights, Congressional authority, separation of powers, proposed constitutional*

amendments and *oversight of the Civil Rights Division of the Department of Justice and the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.*

Information which was provided at **Exhibit “59” of EM/ORS and Appendix “13” of PFEW.** PERTINENT and RELEVANT information considering the LISTING of TOP/KEY positions Baker Donelson advertised on their website – See **EXHIBITS “10” and “27”** attached hereto and incorporated by reference. Listing that Baker Donelson moved SWIFTLY to have scrubbed once Newsome made known to the PUBLIC/WORLD where such TERRORIST/SUPREMACIST/RACIST groups as Baker Donelson and/or Respondents were hiding and lurking awaiting for the NEXT OPPORTUNITY to attack on their next victim(s) as Newsome, members of her class as well as Foreign Nations/Leaders/Citizens.

82. Newsome believes that the record evidence will support and/or sustain that she TIMELY, PROPERLY and ADEQUATELY preserved her rights in committal of criminal acts leveled against her. Newsome having filed CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS with the United States Department as well as civil action in a court of law to begin addressing said crimes. All to NO avail. Thus, leaving Newsome bring this legal action before the Supreme Court of the United States so that the PUBLIC/WORLD can see just how JUSTICE work in one of the MOST Powerful Countries in the World.

Levy v. Wallis, 4 U.S. 167 (1799) - The lien of a levy on personal property is not lost, though the goods are left in the hands of the defendant; unless there be fraud.

Furthermore, in the legal action out of which this matter comes, Respondents committed crimes through the abuse of process and then relied upon judicial officials to AID and ABET in their CONSPIRACIES and COVER-UP of criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome. Criminal/Civil wrongs which has ***NOT ONLY*** impacted/affected Newsome’s life, ***but also that of SOCIETY and/or the PUBLIC-AT-LARGE.*** Furthermore, those engaging in such criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome are still free and at large WITHIN the general PUBLIC and some may still be holding judicial and government positions at which the PUBLIC is AT RISK and in DANGER!! The record evidence in this legal matter will support/sustain the unlawful seizure of Newsome’s property and possession by Respondents as well as recent THREATS as recent as **February 2011** from State/County and/or their Officials threatening LIEN against Newsome, all Newsome’s real property, Levy against automobile or other personal property (See **EXHIBIT “38”** – Page 1 **Only** of January 2011: This Is A Billing – ***Bill is in APPENDIX of the Petition for***

Extraordinary Writ that Supreme Court of United States acknowledges it is retaining as it awaits response from Newsome - attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein) will be taken as **CRIMES of THEFT, BURGULARY, TRASSPASSING, etc.** Furthermore, CRIMINAL actions taken WITHOUT legal or lawful authority to do so – i.e. further supporting the IMMINENT DANGER and THREATS that have been made on Newsome by government agency(s)/official(s) in RETALIATION of her having engaged in PROTECTED activities and now seeking the RECOVERY for INJURIES/DAMAGES she sustained from criminal/civil violations.

83. Newsome believes that the record evidence will support and/or sustain that she has been DEPRIVED EQUAL protection of the laws, EQUAL privileges and immunities and DUE PROCESS of laws as secured/guaranteed under the Constitution and or laws of the United States. Moreover, that Newsome has been DENIED adequate opportunity to present her claims and defenses fairly before an UNBIAS tribunal and has been DEPRIVED her rights to have matter taken before a JURY. Instead, Newsome has been subjected to the CRIMINAL acts of TAINTED/CORRUPT/BIAS judges/justices who clearly have a PECUNIARY and PERSONAL interest in the OUTCOME of these legal matters.

U. S. v. MacCollom, 96 S.Ct. 2086 (1976) - Neither equal protection clause of Fourteenth Amendment nor equal protection requirement embodied in Fifth Amendment guarantees absolute equality or precisely equal advantages but, in context of criminal proceeding, require only adequate opportunity to present one's claim fairly. (Per Mr. Justice Rehnquist with the Chief Justice and two Justices concurring and one Justice concurring in the judgment.) U.S.C.A.Const. Amends. 5, 14.

XV. WRIT OF RECAPTION³²

Newsome hereby request the Supreme Court of the United States' intervention and execution of the applicable Writ(s) allowing her to RECOVER goods and damages from Respondents who continue to engage in CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs leveled against her and CONTINUE to

³² Defendant (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and any act done by one of the combination is regarded under the law as the act of both or all. In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, becomes the act of both or all of them, no matter which individual may have done it. This is true as to each member of the conspiracy, even those whose involvement was limited to a minor role in the unlawful transaction, and it makes no difference whether or not such individual shared in the profits of the actions. (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9).

THREATEN to bring and/or ENGAGE in MALICIOUS PROSECUTION against her. Thus, the applicable Writ(s) are EXTREMELY CRITICAL and IMPORTANT to correct and deter the injustices/miscarriage of justice complained of. The facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain the timely filing of applicable complaints/pleadings/documents to PRESERVE rights of Newsome secured/guaranteed under the Constitution and other laws of the United States governing said matters.

Writ of Recaption: A writ allowing a plaintiff to **RECOVER** goods and damages from a defendant who makes a second distress while a replevin action for a previous distress is pending.

-Replevin – A writ **OBTAINED** from a court **AUTHORIZING** the **RETAKING** of personal property wrongfully taken or detained. - -

The action of replevin lies, where specific **PERSONAL** property has been **WRONGFULLY** taken and is **WRONGFULLY** detained, to **RECOVER** possession of the property, **TOGETHER with DAMAGES for its detention**. To support the action it is **NECESSARY**: (a) That the property shall be personal. (b) That the Plaintiff **at the time of suit**, shall be entitled to the **IMMEDIATE** possession. (c) That (at common law) the defendant shall have **WRONGFULLY** taken the property (replevin in the cepit). But, by statute in most states, the action will now also lie where the property was **WRONGFULLY** detained, though it was lawfully obtained in the first instance (replevin in the detinet). (d) That the property shall be **WRONGFULLY** detained by the defendant at the time of suit. *Benjamin J. Shipman, Handbook of Common-Law Pleading* § 49, at 120 (Henry Winthrop Ballantine ed., 3d ed. 1923).”

84. Newsome believes that the record evidence will further support and sustain a PATTERN-OF-ABUSE, PATTERN-OF-CRIMINAL behavior, etc. to sustain that without the intervention of the Supreme Court of the United States Respondents will continue CONSPIRE to subject Newsome to distressful acts while this and/or legal proceedings are pending for purposes of OBSTRUCTING

JUSTICE, depriving Newsome of PROTECTED RIGHTS, and other criminal behavior known to them.

85. Newsome believes that the EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain that relief Newsome seeks under the “All Writs Act” and/or laws governing said matters. Moreover, Newsome’s ENTITLEMENT to the RETAKING of her property and possessions UNLAWFULLY/WRONGFULLY taken and/or detained by Respondents. Property and personal possession WRONFULLY/ILLEGALLY taken from Newsome through CRIMINAL behavior/practices. Thus, supporting the **IMMEDIATE** relief Newsome has demanded, will demand and/or the Supreme Court of the United States is aware she is entitled to as a matter of law. See “RELIEF SOUGHT” of EM/ORS at pages 279 thru 294. Furthermore the relief sought has been reiterated in PFEW at pages 30 thru 44 for such injuries/damages sustained.

XVI. WRIT OF PROHIBITION³³

Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will support and sustain the relief sought herein in that there is a PATTERN-OF-PRACTICE, PATTERN-OF-JUDICIAL ABUSE/USURPATION OF AUTHORITY/POWER, etc. by lower courts that have REPEATEDLY usurped authority/power over matters in which they KNEW – i.e. because Newsome TIMELY, PROPERLY and ADEQUATELY NOTIFIED of criminal/civil wrongs – they LACKED jurisdiction to act. Nevertheless, in proceeding to carry out their ROLE in CONSPIRACIES leveled against Newsome they ALL acted to their own peril and/or demise. Thus, now being subject to PENALTIES and PROSECUTION for their criminal/civil violations leveled against Newsome. Newsome believes from said PATTERN of behavior that Respondents and those with whom they conspire will CONTINUE to engage in criminal/civil wrongs which are unlawful/illegal against Newsome if the Supreme Court of the

³³ Defendant (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and ***any act done by one of the combination is regarded under the law as the act of both or all***. In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act of both or all of them, no matter which individual may have done it***. ***This is true as to each member of the conspiracy, even those whose involvement was limited to a minor role in the unlawful transaction, and it makes no difference whether or not such individual shared in the profits of the actions.*** (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9).

United States does not act to DETER and PREVENT crimes reported to it - See also 42 USC § 1986: *Action for neglect to prevent.*³⁴

Writ of Prohibition: (1) A law or order that **FORBIDS** a certain action. (2) An extraordinary writ issued by an appellate court to prevent a lower court from exceeding its jurisdiction or to prevent a nonjudicial officer or entity from exercising a power.

–Prohibition is a kind of common-law injunction to prevent an unlawful assumption of jurisdiction . . . It is a common-law injunction against governmental usurpation, as where one is called *coram non judice* (before a judge unauthorized to take cognizance of the affair), to answer in a tribunal that has no legal cognizance of the cause. It arrests the proceedings of any tribunal, board, or person exercising judicial functions in a manner or by means not within its jurisdiction or discretion. *Benjamin J. Shipman, Handbook of Common-Law Pleading* § 341, at 542 (Henry Winthrop Ballantine ed., 3d ed. 1923).”

PERTINENT and RELEVANT information as it goes to support the KEY/HIGH positions Respondents may hold and/or RELATIONSHIP to said KEY/HIGH positions such as that LISTED in EXHIBITS “10” and “27” respectively – Baker Donelson info attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Again, information in which Baker Donelson had SCRUBBED in efforts of COVERING UP their ROLE and CONNECTION in the CONSPIRACIES and criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome. Evidence which clearly supports and sustains that Baker Donelson may have had information posted for approximately a DECADE (if not longer) UNTIL Newsome went PUBLIC to let other CITIZENS and FOREIGN COUNTRIES/LEADERS, media, etc. know where such criminals have ROOTED themselves and how they have INFILTRATED the Government for purposes of carrying out their

³⁴ Every person who, having knowledge that any of the wrongs conspired to be done, and mentioned in section 1985 of this title, are about to be committed, and having power to prevent or aid in preventing the commission of the same, neglects or refuses so to do, if such wrongful act be committed, shall be liable to the party injured, or his legal representatives, for all damages caused by such wrongful act, which such person by reasonable diligence could have prevented; and such damages may be recovered in an action on the case; and any number of persons guilty of such wrongful neglect or refusal may be joined as defendants in the action; . . .

TERRORIST/SUPREMACIST/RACIST acts against Newsome as well as other citizens and Foreign Countries/Leaders/Citizens.

86. Newsome believes that the record evidence will sustain that without the Supreme Court of the United States intervention and exercise of supervisory powers, etc. Without this Court's intervention, Newsome will continue to suffer irreparable injury harm. Therefore, relief Newsome seeks will support that Respondents will continue to engage in CONSPIRACIES and criminal acts with KNOWLEDGE that jurisdiction is lacking and/or fail to PREVENT judicial/non-judicial officials from USURPING/ASSUMING jurisdiction with KNOWLEDGE they lack for purposes of fulfilling their ROLE in the ONGOING and PATTERN-OF-CRIMINAL behavior leveled against Newsome.

U.S. v. Hoffman, 71 U.S. 158 (1866) - The "writ of prohibition" is one which commands person to whom it is directed not to do something which by relator's suggestion, court is informed he is about to do; and if thing be already done, writ of prohibition could not undo it, for such would require affirmative act; and only effect of writ of prohibition is to suspend all action, and to prevent any further proceeding in prohibited direction.

87. Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices further sustain that while the relief sought through the bringing of legal action(s), that EXTRAORDINARY, EXCEPTIONAL and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances exist to sustain the drastic remedies sought. Moreover, is SOCIAL, ECONOMIC and PUBLIC/WORLDWIDE INTEREST.

Ex parte Collett, 69 S.Ct. 944 (1949) - Mandamus, prohibition and injunction against judges are drastic and extraordinary remedies and as extraordinary remedies, they are reserved for really extraordinary cases.

88. Newsome believes that the record evidence will further support and/or sustain that relief sought is directed towards the UNWARRANTED assumption of jurisdiction, URSURPATION of jurisdiction and EXCESS of jurisdiction by Respondents who engage in CONSPIRACIES leveled against Newsome.

Petition of U.S., 44 S.Ct. 130 (1923) - "Prohibition" is a remedy of exigency, in exclusion of other process of relief, and is directed against unwarranted assumptions of jurisdiction or excesses of jurisdiction.

XVII. WRIT OF REVIEW³⁵

Newsome believes that the fact, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices may further sustain the need for the Supreme Court of the United States to bring up for REVIEW the records of the lower courts and government agencies which will evidence the CONSPIRACIES of government officials and those with whom they conspired to COVER-UP the criminal/civil wrongs reported. Moreover, how the records of the courts and government agencies may have been COMPROMISED to MASK/SHIELD an ILLEGAL ANIMUS. Record evidence which may support courts and government agencies depriving Newsome EQUAL protection of the laws, EQUAL privileges and immunities and DUE PROCESS of laws; as well as other laws secured/guaranteed under the Constitution and/or laws of the United States.

Writ of Review: A general form of process issuing from an appellate court to **BRING UP FOR REVIEW** the RECORD of the proceedings in the court below.

89. Newsome is CONFIDENT that the records of the courts and government agencies to which CRIMES were reported may have been COMPROMISED for purposes of ROLE played in CONSPIRACIES to COVER-UP criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome. Thus, the relief Newsome seeks through this legal action is also for purposes of PRESERVING what most likely are DAMAGED and COMPROMISING of evidence in the record of courts and government agencies which will support her claims and defenses. Moreover, why the courts and government agencies have DEPRIVED Newsome of MANDATORY Ministerial duties owed her and are REFUSING to provide her with the Findings of Fact/Conclusions of Law that it has afforded to other citizens in the handling of their cases. However, when Newsome came with her legal actions, courts and government agencies took a FAR DEPARTURE from the laws for purposes of fulfilling their ROLES in CONSPIRACIES and COVER-UP of criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome.

Zuber v. Allen, 90 S.Ct. 314 (1969) - When action is taken on a record administrative department cannot then present testimony in court to

³⁵ Defendant (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and **any act done by one of the combination is regarded under the law as the act of both or all.** In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, **becomes the act of both or all of them, no matter which individual may have done it.** **This is true as to each member of the conspiracy, even those whose involvement was limited to a minor role in the unlawful transaction, and it makes no difference whether or not such individual shared in the profits of the actions.** (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9).

remedy the gaps in the record, any more than arguments of counsel on review can substitute for an agency's failure to make findings or give reasons.

90. Newsome further believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions will sustain the relief sought may be governed under the "All Writs Act" as well as other statutes/laws KNOWN to the Supreme Court of the United States to DETER and PREVENT the legal wrongs complained of and the CONTINUANCE of such crimes that have been leveled against Newsome. Therefore, based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in the EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices this Court may conclude that this matter is to move forward and because of the EXTRAORDINARY, EXCEPTIONAL and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances that the relief sought by Newsome may be brought under the ORIGINAL JURISDICTION of this Court and UNDER whichever statutes/laws are APPLICABLE to the crimes and civil wrongs complained of and/or KNOWN to this Court to have occurred or is about to occur.

La Buy v. Howes Leather Company, 77 S.Ct. 309 (U.S.,1957) - Where subject concerns enforcement of rules which by law it is duty of Supreme Court to formulate and put in force, mandamus should issue to prevent such action thereunder as is so palpably improper as to place it beyond the scope of the rule invoked.

91. The record evidence will further support that Newsome TIMELY, PROPERLY and ADEQUATELY notified Respondents through the APPROPRIATE procedure that they LACKED jurisdiction – to NO avail. Therefore, supporting from the OUTSET knowledge that Respondents knew they were acting WITHOUT jurisdiction; nevertheless, acted to their own peril/demise. Furthermore, that regardless of what legal recourse Newsome took to recover from injuries/damages/harm sustained, Respondents because of their RELATIONSHIPS to courts and government relied upon officials to AID and ABET in the CONSPIRACIES and COVER-UP the criminal/civil wrong reported. Therefore, as matters of law, any other remedies that Newsome may have had, have been PROVEN would be FRUITLESS because of the criminal behavior of Respondents and reliance upon SPECIAL RELATIONSHIP/FAVORS to INFLUENCE the outcome of matters.

In re Chicago, R.I. & P. Ry. Co., 41 S.Ct. 288 (U.S.Ohio,1921) - Prohibition will issue if the lower court is clearly without jurisdiction over petitioner, who, at the outset, objected to the jurisdiction, had preserved his rights by appropriate procedure, and had no other remedy, but will ordinarily be denied, if the jurisdiction of the lower court is doubtful, depends on a finding of fact from evidence not in record, or if the petitioner has an adequate remedy by appeal or otherwise.

92. The record evidence will further evidence that Newsome REPEATEDLY notified Respondents of their LACK of jurisdiction and the fact they were engaging in CRIMINAL behavior. To NO avail. Respondents proceeded to

their own peril/demise. Therefore, warranting the Supreme Court of the United States intervention, exercise of supervisory power and jurisdiction.

Morrow v. District of Columbia, 417 F.2d 728 (1969) - The clearer the lower court's lack of jurisdiction the more appropriate will be the issuance of a prerogative writ, but the writ will issue where the question of jurisdiction is undecided.

XVIII. WRIT OF SUPERSEDEAS³⁶

Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices will sustain the issuance of the applicable writ under the –All Writs Act” is further warranted to SUSPEND judgments that have been obtained through CONSPIRACIES and/or CRIMINAL acts. Furthermore, that the judgments and/or decisions that come into question through this legal proceeding was obtained with KNOWLEDGE of LACK of jurisdiction and/or that Judgments/Rulings are NULL/VOID and could NOT be upheld as a matter of law. Furthermore, Newsome having filed the APPLICABLE and APPROPRIATE pleadings to preserve her rights and stay proceedings pending and APPEAL and/or ORIGINAL action to be sought in the Supreme Court of the United States. Claims in which the record evidence have been brought in GOOD FAITH by Newsome and may support/sustain she is not subject to any bond(s) and is entitled to the IMMEDIATE relief to which the statutes/laws state she is to recover and/or be awarded.

Writ of Supersedeas: A writ that SUSPENDS a judgment creditor’s power to levy execution, usu. pending appeal.

93. The record evidence will support, for instance, how Respondent as recent as February 2011, served Newsome with “*This Is A Billing*” **THREATENING** her with additional injury/harm with KNOWLEDGE that she was bringing this matter before the Supreme Court of the United States and that

³⁶ Defendant (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and ***any act done by one of the combination is regarded under the law as the act of both or all***. In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, ***becomes the act of both or all of them, no matter which individual may have done it***. **This is true as to each member of the conspiracy, even those whose involvement was limited to a minor role in the unlawful transaction, and it makes no difference whether or not such individual shared in the profits of the actions.** (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9).

Respondent was WITHOUT authority to act. Nevertheless, is determined to subject Newsome to FURTHER unlawful/illegal LEVY(s), SEIZURE(s), etc.

XIX. WRIT OF SUPERVISORY CONTROL³⁷

Writ of SUPERVISORY CONTROL: A writ issued to **CORRECT** an **ERRONEOUS** ruling made by a lower court **EITHER** when there is **NO** appeal or when **an appeal CANNOT provide adequate relief and the ruling WILL RESULT in GROSS INJUSTICE.**

94. Newsome believes that the statutes/laws may sustain that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices requires the Supreme Court of the United States under the “All Writs Act” to issue the applicable writ exercising its SUPERVISORY control over this matter. Furthermore, that legal action meets the pleading requirements to have matter brought before this Court in its ORIGINAL jurisdiction in that Respondent(s) involved include States, Counties, Municipalities and/or Cities and the government officials therein.

Fisher v. District Court of Sixteenth Judicial Dist. of Montana, in and for Rosebud County, 96 S.Ct. 943 (1976) - Writ of supervisory control is available only in original proceeding in . . . Supreme Court and, although it may issue in broad range of circumstances, it is not equivalent to an appeal. 28 U.S.C.A. § 1257(3).

95. Newsome seeks the Supreme Court of the United States’ intervention and supervisor powers which MANDATORY ministerial duties are required under the laws to TAKE CHARGE of third person and/or third-part Respondent(s) and control said party(s) to PREVENT he/she/it from causing further injury/harm/damages to Newsome as well as other citizens or the PUBLIC-AT-LARGE.

U.S. v. Comstock, 130 S.Ct. 1949 (U.S., 2010) - At common law, one who takes charge of a third person is under a duty to exercise reasonable care to control that person to prevent him from causing reasonably foreseeable bodily harm to others.

96. The facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices may further support that Newsome took the NECESSARY steps and PRECAUTIONARY measures to assure that the PROPER Respondents/Parties, courts and governments along with their officials/employees/representatives are brought before the Supreme Court of the

³⁷ Defendant (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and **any act done by one of the combination is regarded under the law as the act of both or all.** In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, **becomes the act of both or all of them, no matter which individual may have done it.** This is true as to **each member of the conspiracy, even those whose involvement was limited to a minor role in the unlawful transaction, and it makes no difference whether or not such individual shared in the profits of the actions.** (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9).

United States in their PROPER capacity. Moreover, that Department Heads – i.e. such as President of the United States (Barack H. Obama), United States Attorney General (Eric H. Holder, Jr.), Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas Judge John Andrew West, etc.) – may be reached under the JURISDICTION over said person and the subject-matter. Newsome believes that the record facts, evidence and supporting legal conclusions may sustain that Department Heads, Supervisors, Attorneys, etc. through his/her OWN actions violated the Constitution and KNOWINGLY/WILLINGLY with MALICIOUS intent engaged in CONSPIRACIES and COVER-UP of criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome, members of her class and/or other citizens who sought to EXPOSE courts’/agencies’ unlawful/illegal/unethical practices.

Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 129 S.Ct. 1373 (U.S., 2009) - Government officials may not be held liable, under Bivens or § 1983, for unconstitutional conduct of their subordinates under theory of respondeat superior; because vicarious liability is inapplicable, plaintiff must plead that each government official-defendant, through his or her own actions, has violated Constitution.

97. Newsome further believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices may further sustain the existence of EXCEPTIONAL, EXTRAORDINARY and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances amounting and PROVING usurpation of power AND clear ABUSE of discretion warranting any and all relief sought under the “All Writs Act” as well as other statutes/laws governing said matters to correct the miscarriage of justice and/or wrongs complained in this legal action.

Cheney v. U.S. Dist. Court for Dist. of Columbia, 124 S.Ct. 2576 (2004) - Only exceptional circumstances amounting to a judicial usurpation of power or a clear abuse of discretion will justify the invocation of writ of mandamus. 28 U.S.C.A. § 1651(a).

98. Clearly the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in Newsome’s EM/ORS, PFEW and supporting Exhibits/Appendices may require mandamus relief; however, as a matter of law, this is not the ONLY extraordinary writ under which the relief Newsome seeks is to be granted. The Supreme Court of the United States is to apply the laws which will correct, deter, prevent, etc. the legal wrongs and miscarriages of justice that has been brought its attention.

Schlagenhauf v. Holder, 85 S.Ct. 234 (1964) - The writ of mandamus is appropriately issued when there is usurpation of judicial power or a clear abuse of discretion.

99. The facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in the EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices may also sustain that the duties Newsome seeks from the lower courts and government agencies ARE NOT discretionary but are MANDATORY MINISTERIAL duties owed her under the Constitution and other statutes/laws of the United States.

Hudson v. Parker, 15 S.Ct. 450 (1895) - Though the discretion of a judge in a matter entrusted to his judicial discretion cannot be controlled by mandamus, yet, if he declines to exercise his discretion, or to act at all, mandamus will lie to compel him to act.

100. As the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided herein and in the EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices may sustain, NOT ALL of the legal wrongs rendered Newsome can be corrected through mandamus actions; therefore, the Supreme Court of the United States is to render justice under the “All Writs Act” and other statutes/laws to correct the miscarriage of justice, unlawful/illegal/criminal acts of Respondents. Mandamus may not be available for the NUMEROUS CONSPIRACIES and lower courts who acted WITHOUT jurisdiction. As a DIRECT and PROXIMATE result of CONSPIRACIES and Respondents’ COVER-UP of criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome, she has SUFFERED irreparable/indisputable injuries/damages/harm.

Ex parte Bradley, 74 U.S. 364 (1868) - As respects whether mandamus would lie, no amount of judicial discretion of a court can supply a defect or want of jurisdiction.

101. The facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in Newsome’s EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices may also sustain the Supreme Court of the United States’ exercising its superintending control in that Respondents FAILED to perform clear MANDATORY ministerial duties as well as CLEAR legal duties owed Newsome. Moreover, the list of Respondents may include States, their Counties, Cities and employees thereof who engaged in the CONSPIRACIES and COVER-UP of criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome and because of the NEXUS and CONNECTION between the OVERT acts and the DIVERSITY JURISDICTION of Respondents - i.e. residing in different/various states – the Supreme Court of the United States is the ONLY court having jurisdiction OVER ALL Respondents, regardless of what state, city, etc. they live in; as well as subject-matter jurisdiction; wherein the lower courts and or administrative agencies are LIMITED and REQUIRED to stay within the boundaries and jurisdiction wherein they reside – i.e. for instance Texas Courts do not have jurisdiction over residents in the State of Louisiana and vice versa, Louisiana Courts do not have jurisdiction over residents in the State of Mississippi and vice versa; and because there may be several STATES involved as Respondents in this matter when the dust settle, it appears the ONLY adequate legal remedy may be before the Supreme Court of the United States because of its DIVERSITY and ability to retain JURISDICTION under the statutes/laws governing said matters.

Tindall v. Wayne County Friend of Court, by: *Schewe*, 269 F.3d 533 (2001) - . . . superintending control is an extraordinary power that may be exercised when a petitioner demonstrates both the respondent’s failure to perform a clear legal duty and the absence of an adequate legal remedy.

XX. WRIT OF SECURITATE PACIS³⁸

Under the “All Writs Act” Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions in her EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices may also sustain that Respondents have REPEATEDLY made THREATS to Newsome and HAVE REPEATEDLY carried out threats which have caused Newsome bodily harm, mental/physical harm and irreparable harm/damages in RETALIATION as well as for purposes of *DISCRIMINATING, TERRORIZING, OPPRESSING, HARASSING, THREATENING, OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE, DEPRIVING CITIZENS OF PROTECTED RIGHTS, BLACKMAIL, COERCION, INTIMIDATION, ETC.* Furthermore, the evidence will sustain that Newsome REPEATEDLY receives THREATS of violence against to get her to abandon rights secured/guaranteed under the Constitution and other statutes/laws of the United States. Moreover, that Newsome has had to endure many HORRIFIC ordeals (i.e. such as her KIDNAPPING, being SHACKLED/CHAINED by her Kidnappers, etc.), RECENT threats to Newsome’s person and property further warrants due to the EXTRAORDINARY, EXCEPTIONAL and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances the relief she seeks herein as well as in the EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices.

Writ of Securitate Pacis: A writ for someone **FEARING bodily harm from another, as when the person has been THREATENED with VIOLENCE.**

XXI. EXTRATERRITORIAL WRITS

Newsome believes that the facts, laws, and legal conclusions may support that it is ONLY that Supreme Court of the United States that has JURISDICTION over ALL Respondents and the

³⁸ Defendant (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and **any act done by one of the combination is regarded under the law as the act of both or all.** In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, **becomes the act of both or all of them, no matter which individual may have done it.** This is true as to **each member of the conspiracy, even those whose involvement was limited to a minor role in the unlawful transaction, and it makes no difference whether or not such individual shared in the profits of the actions.** (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9).

subject-matter in this legal action because of the DIVERSITY of jurisdiction and the residency of Newsome and some Respondents reside in several other states – i.e. for instance, while Newsome is a resident of the Commonwealth of Kentucky, Respondents may be residents of the State of Ohio, Kentucky, Mississippi, Louisiana, Texas, District of Columbia, etc. Furthermore, some of the Respondents may be States, Counties, Municipalities, Cities, etc. in which Newsome does NOT reside. ALL within the reach and JURISDICTION of the Supreme Court of the United States.

Corporation created by a state is citizen of that state within meaning of Constitution and United States statute investing Supreme Court with **original jurisdiction of controversies between state and citizens of other states.** *Wisconsin v. Pelican Ins. Co.*, 127 US 265, 32 L Ed 239, 8 S Ct. 1370 (1888) (ovrld in part on other grounds by *Milwaukee County v M.E. White Co.* (1935) 296 US 268, 80 L Ed 220, 56 S. Ct. 229)).

Extraterritorial Writs: Beyond the geographic limits of a particular jurisdiction.

102. The lower courts and government agencies addressed in Newsome’s EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices are established in various states (i.e. Texas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Kentucky and Ohio) and therefore CONFINED to their TERRITORIAL LIMITS in their respect states and their process CANNOT be executed beyond those limits. However, the Supreme Court of the United States is invested with power and jurisdiction that reaches all states within the United States boundaries/limits.

Galpin v. Page, 85 U.S. 350 (1873) - Courts of a state however general may be their jurisdiction, are confined to the territorial limits of the state and their process cannot be executed beyond those limits.

Ableman v. Booth, 62 U.S. 506 (1858) - A judicial process does not have any lawful authority outside of the limits of the jurisdiction of the court or judge by whom it is issued.

XXII. OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE³⁹

Newsome believes that inquiries/investigations into the merits of her claims as well as the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting

³⁹ Defendant (conspirator) becomes the agent of the other conspirator (s), and **any act done by one of the combination is regarded under the law as the act of both or all.** In other words, what one does, if there is this combination, **becomes the act of both or all of them, no matter which individual may have done it.** **This is true as to each member of the conspiracy, even those whose involvement was limited to a minor role in the unlawful transaction, and it makes no difference whether or not such individual shared in the profits of the actions.** (Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Conspiracy § 9).

Exhibits/Appendices may sustain that Respondents did KNOWINGLY, WILLFULLY, MALICIOUSLY corruptly persuade another person to destroy records, property, evidence, etc. to be used in official proceedings. Moreover, PRIOR to the committal of obstructing justice, Respondents were TIMELY, PROPERLY and ADEQUATELY NOTIFIED of the criminal/civil wrongs they were about to commit; nevertheless proceeded to their own peril/demise. For instance, in the KIDNAPPING of Newsome, Respondents DESTROYED, TAMPERED and WITHHELD evidence that was known to be used in official proceedings. See Paragraphs iii-xii/pp. 71-80; i/pp. 100-102; vi/pp. 105-107; and 41/pp.132-133 and supporting Exhibits referenced therein of EM/ORS addressing criminal/civil violations. Then rather than file a TIMELY Answer and/or Responsive Pleading to Newsome's Civil Lawsuit, Respondent(s) elected to file MALICIOUS, VICIOUS and FRIVOLOUS criminal charges against her which the lower court dismissed.

Arthur Andersen LLP v. U.S., 125 S.Ct. 2129 (U.S.,2005) - Federal conviction for obstruction of justice based on defendant's "~~knowing~~ly ... corruptly persuad[ing] another person" to withhold testimony or destroy records to be used in official proceeding requires proof of consciousness of wrongdoing; term "~~knowing~~ly" modifies "~~corruptly~~ persuades," and thus imposes mens rea requirement. 18 U.S.C.A. § 1512(b)(2)(A, B).

Federal conviction for obstruction of justice based on defendant's "~~knowing~~ly ... corruptly persuad[ing] another person" to withhold testimony or destroy records to be used in official proceeding requires proof of nexus between corrupt persuasion and particular proceeding. *Id.*

Respondents then relied upon corruptly persuading judge/magistrate to get involved in CONSPIRACIES and COVER-UP the criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome. When Newsome filed the appropriate/applicable pleadings to determine whether a "~~Conflict of Interest~~" existed, judges/magistrates remained silent and the lower court proceeded to TAMPER and COMPROMISE the court records for purposes of OBSTRUCTING the administration of justice that the records are now HEAVILY BREACHED and/or COMPROMISED that Newsome believes that the clerk of lower court CANNOT certify the record. Therefore, it was necessary for them to call on the KEY/HIGH POWER CRIMINALS - i.e. like Baker Donelson - to AID in the COVER-UP of

criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome as well as other citizens that may have surfaced during an investigation.

The record evidence will support, for instance, that Judge Tom S. Lee (~~–Judge Lee~~) and Magistrate Linda R. Anderson of the United States District Court (Southern District Mississippi – Jackson Division) KNEW there was a ~~–CONFLICT OF INTEREST~~ with this assignment; nevertheless, remained on the lawsuit although pleadings were filed by Newsome challenging and/or addressing such concerns. See **Exhibit “42”** of EM/ORS – Docket Sheet incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. Newsome believes that research, inquiries and investigation will yield that the Insurance Company involved being LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE which is a BIG CLIENT of Baker Donelson – i.e. which it appears may be legal counsel/advisor for United States President Barack Obama, his Administration and Members of Congress and who knows what other LARGE SLIMY FISH are in their net.

NEXUS and/or CONNECTION between Baker Donelson, Liberty Mutual and its insureds can be established with the CONSPIRACIES and COVER-UP of criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome. Moreover, Newsome provided evidence supporting how Judge Lee executed and filed ~~–Recusal Orders~~ in legal actions because of his RELATIONSHIP with Baker Donelson (See **Appendix “11”** of PFEW) – **EXHIBIT “39”** of this instant filing attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein. However, when presented with Newsome’s lawsuits took a FAR DEPARTURE from the statutes/laws governing said matters and CONSPIRED to keep the role Baker Donelson, its insured, and others had in the unlawful/illegal/unethical practices in the handling of lawsuit from Newsome by using a FRONTING law firm (DunbarMonroe) to keep Newsome off of their trail and Baker Donelson’s INFLUENCE and role it had in the THREATS leveled against Newsome’s attorney (Wanda Abioto) and its role in the KIDNAPPING of Newsome, etc.

Then when Newsome filed her Criminal Complaint with the United States Department of Justice, Respondents relied upon their KEY ROLES/POSITIONS/RELATIONSHIPS within said

government agency to engage in CONSPIRACIES and COVER-UP the criminal/civil wrongs reported. In so doing, Newsome was deprived of EQUAL protection of the laws, EQUAL privileges and immunities of the laws and DUE PROCESS of laws secured/guaranteed under the Constitution and other laws of the United States.

XXIII. RECUSAL

Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices may further support a PATTERN-OF-PRACTICE, PATTERN-OF-ABUSE, PATTERN-OF-USURPATION OF POWER, etc. Moreover, how lower courts' judges/justices REPEATEDLY failed to recuse themselves with KNOWLEDGE recusal was warranted and remained on the case to PROTECT their PERSONAL, PECUNARY and SUBSTANTIAL interest and AID and ABET in the furtherance of CONSPIRACIES and COVER-UP of criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome, members of her class and/or other citizens that an investigation may reveal had been victimized.

103. Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions provided in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices may sustain that judges/justices in the lower court actions biases and interest in lawsuit was WAY TOO high to be CONSTITUTIONALLY tolerable. In fact, for instance:

- a) In Mississippi matter, judge, constable and others orchestrated the KIDNAPPING and other crimes against Newsome, and subjected her to hours of torture, threats, abuse, etc. until her parents paid the RANSOM that was demanded for her release. Said RANSOM was masked as a Bond and Newsome's KIDNAPPING masked/disguised as an arrest; however, there was no legal authority and/or legal right to arrest Newsome; therefore, as a matter of law, the crime being KIDNAPPING amongst other crimes she was subjected to. In said matter, legal process was FALSIFIED. Furthermore, lack of jurisdiction is evidenced.
- b) In Kentucky matter, judge, county officials, etc. who orchestrated and carried out the criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome, had NO legal authority to act. Legal process was FALSIFIED, jurisdiction was lacking,

monies entrusted to the court EMBEZZLED amongst many other crimes committed.

c) In Ohio matter you had the majority of the Supreme Court of Ohio Justices who engaged in such crimes as:

- i. Conspiracy (**18 USC§ 371**);
- ii. Conspiracy Against Rights (**18 USC§ 241**);
- iii. Conspiracy to Defraud (statutes provided)
- iv. Conspiracy to Interfere with Civil Rights (**42 USC§ 1985**);
- v. Public Corruption (provided information taken from **FBI's**
- vi. *website*);
- vii. Bribery (statutes cited);
- viii. Complicity (statutes cited);
- ix. Aiding and Abetting (statutes cited);
- x. Coercion (statutes cited);
- xi. Deprivation of Rights Under **COLOR OF LAW (18 USC§**
- xii. **242)**;
- xiii. Conspiracy to Commit Offense to Defraud United States (**18**
- xiv. **USC§ 371)**;
- xv. Conspiracy to Impede (**18 USC§ 372**);
- xvi. Frauds and Swindles (**18 USC§ 1341 and 1346**);
- xvii. Obstruction of Court Orders (**18 USC§ 1509**);
- xviii. Tampering with a Witness (**18 USC§ 1512**);
- xix. Retaliating Against A Witness (**18 USC§ 1513**);
- xx. Destruction, Alteration, or Falsification of Records (**18 USC§**
- xxi. **1519**);
- xxii. Obstruction of Mail (**18 USC§ 1701**);
- xxiii. Obstruction of Correspondence (**18 USC§ 1702**);
- xxiv. **Delay of Mail (18 USC§ 1703)**;
- xxv. Theft or Receipt of Stolen Mail (**18 USC§ 1708**);
- xxvi. Avoidance of Postage by Using Lower Class (**18 USC§**
- xxvii. **1723**);
- xxviii. Postage Collected Unlawfully (**18 USC§ 1726**);

- xxix. Power/Failure to Prevent (**42 USC§ 1986**);
- xxx. Obstruction of Justice

for purposes of AIDING, ABETTING and COVERING UP the crimes of one of their BIG MONEY INTEREST GROUPS/DONORS – Liberty Mutual Insurance Company. Liberty Mutual Insurance’s insured is Stor-All Alfred LLC. Therefore, Justices engaged in CRIMINAL acts and fulfilled their ROLE in the CONSPIRACIES leveled against Newsome.

Given the facts, evidence and legal conclusions surrounding these criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome, the OBSTRUCTION of Justice, etc., a reasonable and/or average judge in the justices’ position familiar with the laws and the CONSEQUENCES of their actions would have engaged in such criminal behavior as the Supreme Court of Ohio Justices did; because said criminal acts and biases are TOO HIGH to be CONSTITUTIONALLY TOLERABLE!!

Caperton v. A.T. Massey Coal Co., Inc., 129 S.Ct. 2252 (2009) - Even when judge does not have any direct, personal, substantial, pecuniary interest in case, of kind requiring his or her disqualification at common law, there are circumstances in which probability of actual bias on part of judge is too high to be constitutionally tolerable.

In deciding whether probability of actual bias on part of judge is too high to be constitutionally tolerable, court's inquiry is objective one, that asks not whether judge is actually, subjectively biased, but whether average judge in judge's position is likely to be neutral, or whether there is unconstitutional potential for bias. *Id.*

104. Newsome believes that given the facts, evidence and legal conclusions as well as the PATTERN-OF-CONSPIRACIES leveled against her and the CONSPIRATORS/PERPETRATORS involved, that judges/justices in lower court matters are BIAS/PREJUDICE towards Newsome; moreover, that the statutes/laws required RECUSAL; however judges/justices remained in legal actions OVER Newsome’s OBJECTIONS.

Furthermore, judges (i.e. such as Judge Bobby DeLaughter) who have been INDICTED and pled guilty to crime(s) as well as Judge G. Thomas Porteous who was IMPEACHED and removed from the bench engaged in CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs to provide Respondents opposing Newsome with and UNDUE/ILLEGAL/UNLAWFUL advantage in lawsuit. The record evidence will SUPPORT that Newsome reported CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs to the United States Department of Justice and/or appropriate Government Agency. To NO avail. Because of the RELATIONSHIPS of Respondents and TIES to Government Agencies/Officials, CONSPIRACIES continued and CRIMES/CIVIL wrongs were COVERED UP.

Cheney v. U.S. Dist. Court for Dist. of Columbia, 124 S.Ct. 1391 (2004) - The recusal inquiry for a judge based upon perceived lack of impartiality must be made from the perspective of a reasonable observer who is informed of all the surrounding facts and

circumstances . (Per Justice Scalia, as single Justice). 28 U.S.C.A. § 455(a).

Sao Paulo State of Federative Republic of Brazil v. American Tobacco Co., Inc., 122 S.Ct. 1290 (U.S.,2002) - Statute requires judicial recusal if a reasonable person, knowing all the circumstances, would expect that the judge would have actual knowledge of his interest or bias in the case. 28 U.S.C.A. § 455(a).

Harrison v. U.S., 88 S.Ct. 2008 (1968) - A defendant's testimony at a former trial is admissible in evidence against him in later proceedings.

105. EXTRAORDINARY, EXCEPTIONAL and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances exists because the FACTS and EVIDENCE will support that if not in ALL, the MAJORITY of lawsuits filed by Newsome, Baker Donelson's and its client – LIBERTY MUTUAL – were involved in some way or another in the CONSPIRACIES and COVER-UP of same and INFLUENCED the outcome of matters. Moreover, that Baker Donelson and/or Liberty Mutual had SPECIAL RELATIONSHIPS to Judges/Magistrates assigned; however, failed to make this information known to Newsome.

Furthermore, in approximately a ONE-YEAR period THREE Judges and/or their aides (involved with legal matters and/or judges involving Newsome) have been involved in CRIMINAL PROSECUTIONS in which they were ALL FOUND guilty:

- a) The **INDICTMENT** of Judge West's Bailiff (Damon Ridley) and a Jury finding Ridley "**GUILTY**" of *–Attempted Bribery;*"
- b) The January 6, 2009 **INDICTMENT** of Judge Bobby B. DeLaughter, to which he pled **–GUILTY**" to "**LYING** to FBI Agent. . ./ **OBSTRUCTION** of Justice;"
- c) The *recent* **IMPEACHMENT** proceedings of Judge G. Thomas Porteous on or about December 8, 2010.

Withrow v. Larkin, 95 S.Ct. 1456 (1975) - Among cases in which experience teaches that probability of actual bias on part of judge or decisionmaker is too high to be constitutionally tolerable are those in which adjudicator has pecuniary interest in outcome and in which he has been target of personal abuse or criticism from party before him.

XXIV. ADDITIONAL AND PERTINENT INFORMATION RELEVANT TO PETITION FOR EXTRAORDINARY WRIT

106. Newsome believes this matter lies within this Supreme Court of the United States original jurisdiction for bringing her **–PFEW**" pursuant to Rule 20 – ***Procedure on a Petition for an Extraordinary Writ*** – issuance by the Court of an extraordinary writ is authorized by 28 USC § 1651(a).

107. Newsome believes that the jurisdiction of this Court can be invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1257(a).

108. Newsome believes that that the jurisdiction of this Court if further invoked pursuant to **Article III, § 2, United States Constitution** - - Section 2: *The judicial Power shall extend to all Cases, in Law and Equity, arising under this Constitution, the Laws of the United States, and Treaties made, or which shall be made, under their Authority . . .*

Newsome further relies upon legal resources as the following to sustain said argument regarding jurisdiction:

- (a) Vol. 22 Moore's Federal Practice, § 400.03 ***Relationship of Supreme Court to State Courts:***

[1] STATE COURT MUST PROTECT FEDERAL RIGHTS:

The state courts existed before Congress created the federal courts. Their existence was not disturbed by the adoption of the Constitution. State courts ***are required to protect federal***, as well as state-created, rights. *See Testa v. Katt*, 330 U.S. 386, 390-394, 67 S.Ct. 810, 91 L.Ed. 967 (1947) (state court ***could not refuse to enforce federal claim***).

[2] SUPREME COURT MAY REVIEW DECISION OF HIGHEST STATE COURT IF SUBSTANTIAL FEDERAL QUESTION IS INVOLVED:

If a party elects to litigate in state court, **the Supreme Court may review a final judgment or decree of the highest state court in which a decision can be had if it turns on a substantial federal question.** More specifically, the decision must:

- (1) raise a question as to the validity of the federal statute or treaty;
- (2) raise a question as to whether a state statute is repugnant to the Constitution, laws or treaties of the United States; or
- (3) address the contention that a title, right, privilege or immunity is ~~set~~ up or claimed under the Constitution or the treaties or statutes of, or any commission held or authority exercised under, the United States." (See 28 USC § 1257(a)).

The constitutionality of this scheme was upheld early in the Court's history.

(*See Cohens v. Virginia*, 19 U.S. (6 Wheat.) 264, 421, 5 L.Ed. 257 (1821) (Court has supervising power over judgments of state courts that conflict with Constitution of federal laws or treaties); *Martin v. Hunter's Lessee*, 14 U.S. (1 Wheat.) 304, 342, 14 U.S.

304, 4 L.Ed. 97(1816)(“the appellate power of the United States must . . . extend to state tribunals”).

The qualifying phrase “highest court of a state in which a decision could be had” means the highest court in the state with appellate power over the judgment.

See Flynt v. Ohio, 451 U.S. 619, 620, 101 S.Ct. 1958, 68 L.Ed 2d 489 (1981) (per curiam) (jurisdiction to review only final judgment of highest state court); *Nash v. Florida Indus. Comm’n*, 389 U.S. 235, 237 n.1, 88 S.Ct. 362, 19 L.Ed.2d 438 (1967) (decision of intermediate appellate court reviewed because Court was “unable to say” that court was not highest one in which decision could be had).

- (b) Vol. 22 Moore’s Federal Practice, § 400.04 ***Supervisory Authority of Supreme Court Over Inferior Federal Courts***

[1] SUPREME COURT HAS EXTENSIVE RULEMAKING POWER: The Supreme Court ***has powers beyond its duty to entertain cases within its original and appellate jurisdiction.*** The Court ***has extensive power to prescribe rules of practice and procedure for civil actions.*** . . . The Supreme Court, of course, ***has the power to promulgate rules governing practice and procedure before itself, and has done so.***

109. This lawsuit involves EXTRAORDINARY and EXCEPTIONAL circumstances warranting the intervention of the Supreme Court of the United States’ intervention and supervisory powers under its ***original jurisdiction.***

110. Newsome is not aware whether or not the Supreme Court has dealt with a case of such MAGNITUDE and levels of CONSPIRACIES and CORRUPTION. However, through time, dedication and determination, Newsome was able to obtain the evidence to sustain the allegations made in EM/ORS, PFEW and their supporting Exhibits/Appendices.

111. **The legal action Newsome seeks to bring before this Court involves a sitting United States President (Barack H. Obama)/his Administration and their SPECIAL Interest Groups who all have an interest (i.e. financial/personal) in the outcome of this legal action. This is a matter of EXTRAORDINARY and EXCEPTIONAL circumstances in which Newsome is not aware whether the United States Supreme Court has ever seen anything like it.**

112. United States President Barack Obama wanted his Administration to be one of TRANSPARANCY and one in which the citizens/public could come. Well, the record evidence exposes the CRIMINAL/CIVIL violations of the Obama Administration to COVER-UP criminal/civil wrongs brought to him as well as his Administration’s attention. Moreover, ***how President Obama and his Administration RETALIATED against Newsome for exercising her rights under the Constitution and going PUBLIC in exposing legal wrongs and***

CONSPIRACIES leveled against her regardless whether or not the culprits are famous or anonymous:

Rosenbloom v. Metromedia, Inc., 91 S.Ct. 1811(1971) - First Amendment protects all discussion and communication involving **matters of public or general concern without regard to whether persons involved are famous or anonymous.** (Per Mr. Justice Brennan with the Chief Justice and one Justice joining in the opinion and two Justices concurring in the judgment.) U.S.C.A.Const. Amend. 1.

Kush v. Rutledge, 460 U.S. 719, 103 S.Ct. 1483 (1983) - Although § 2 contained only one long paragraph when it was originally enacted, that single paragraph outlawed five broad classes of conspiratorial activity. In general terms, § 2 proscribed **conspiracies that interfere** with (a) **the performance of official duties by federal officers;** (b) **the administration of justice in federal courts;** (c) **the administration of justice in state courts;** (d) **the private enjoyment of “equal protection of the laws” and “equal privileges and **1487 immunities under the laws”;** and (e) the right to support candidates in federal elections. As now codified in § 1985, the long paragraph is divided into three subsections. One of the five classes of prohibited conspiracy is proscribed by § 1985(1), two by § 1985(2), and two by § 1985(3). **The civil remedy for a violation of any of the subsections is found at the end of § 1985(3).** The reclassification was not intended to change the substantive meaning of the 1871 Act.

113. Newsome believes the record evidence will support that this is a classic example of “*DAVID vs. GOLIATH*” battle in which she (indigent litigant and member of the African-American race) has been pitted against GIANT(S) of vast financial/legal resources as well as deep-rooted political/judicial ties for purposes of providing them with an undue/unlawful/illegal advantage over matters involving Newsome:

By including this provision in the bill, the **committee emphasizes that the nature of . . . actions more often than not pits parties of unequal strength and resources against each other. The complainant, who is usually a member of the disadvantaged class, is opposed by an employer who . . . has at his**

disposal a vast of resources and legal talent.

H.R. Rep. No. 238, 92nd Cong., 2d Sess., reprinted in 1972 U.S.C.C.A.N. 2137, 2148. *Therefore, it is of **PUBLIC/WORLDWIDE interest** for citizens to see just how **sophisticated and elaborate** the United States Government is and the **PRIVATE corporations** they **CONSPIRE** with to destroy citizens' lives. Moreover, **how PRIVATE corporate giants INFILTRATES** the United States Government and retains positions/jobs for purposes of carrying out and committing Human/Civil Rights violations, **COVERING UP** corruption and other criminal/civil wrongs of white employers leveled against Newsome and/or citizens of the United States.*

Such CRIMINAL/CIVIL wrongs in which CHINA has **PUBLICLY** criticized the United States for. See **EXHIBIT "5"** attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

114. Newsome believes that the record evidence will support how attorneys that represent clients (i.e. such as Respondent Stor-All Alfred LLC) **are not required to practice the laws**; however, are **allowed to rely upon SPECIAL relationships, FINANCIAL/PERSONAL interests and ties to GOVERNMENT and COURT officials** to obtain an undue/unlawful/illegal advantage in lawsuit(s).

115. Newsome believes that the record evidence, **facts and legal conclusions will sustain the DISHONESTY in Respondents, United States President Obama/his Administration, Judicial Officials, Government Officials as well as opposing counsel and their clients' along with their roles in the CONSPIRACIES leveled against Newsome and COVER-UP of the CORRUPTION and unlawful/illegal acts complained of.** Therefore, in the interest of justice, **they have NO place in PUBLIC life and should NOT be allowed to continue to abide in the general population.** Newsome believes that the record evidence will sustain **they are a threat to the PUBLIC-AT-LARGE:**

Teddy Roosevelt: "**Unless a man is honest we have no right to keep him in public life, it matters not how brilliant his capacity, it hardly matters how great his power of doing good service on certain lines may be... No man who is corrupt, no man who condones corruption in others, can possibly do his duty by the community.**

As with President Richard Nixon – What did he know and when did he know it? – President Nixon was prosecuted (–Watergate” matter) for his crimes. **Therefore, if the evidence warrants it, President Barack Obama is to be prosecuted and the fact that he is the first alleged African-American President should have nothing to do with the laws being EQUALLY applied to him and President Obama and others involved and Conspiracies prosecuted.**

116. Newsome believes that the record evidence will support that she has REPEATEDLY reported criminal/civil wrongs to the proper Government Agencies/Officials of those she believed were acting in violation of the laws – i.e. **reporting criminals regardless of the color of their skin.** The record evidence will support that Newsome has reported criminal/civil wrongs of Judges; however, Government Officials failed to notify her and/or prosecute matters on her behalf. Moreover, WITHHELD information from Newsome that Judges/Justices reported were being investigated, prosecuted and/or indicted.

117. Newsome believes that the record evidence will further support that *United States President Barack Obama and/or Judge John Andrew West (i.e. other alleged African-Americans) will **NOT** be able to launch a defense as the “RACE” card. For they are attorneys by PROFESSION and thus, persons schooled in the laws; however, elected to take a far departure from the laws to –satisfy their OWN bellies.”* It is important to note that the laws have no color and are to be equally applied. Therefore, those found in violations of the criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome are to be prosecuted according to the laws of the United States regardless of their brilliancy, position, titles, political ties, etc.

118. Newsome believes that the facts, evidence and legal conclusions will support that it is a LONGSTANDING fact that the laws are **not EQUALLY applied** when African-Americans/Blacks are involved. Moreover, that white citizens are REPEATEDLY allowed to prevail (i.e. or receive lesser punishment – PURCHASE through bribery/extortion and/or other criminal acts rulings from CORRUPT/TAINTED judges to obtain an undue/unlawful/illegal advantage) through the discriminatory application of the laws of the United States.

119. Newsome believes this legal action is IMPARATIVE and provides EXTRAORDINARY circumstances which address longstanding prejudices and discrimination leveled against her as well as members of her class. Moreover, how the United States Government engage in criminal/civil wrongs for purposes of destroying a person’s life and for purposes of depriving them life, liberties and the pursuit of happiness – i.e. as in Newsome’s case:

- a) Mississippi State Champion in Track & Field;
- b) Who’s Who Among American High School Students;
- c) All-American; and
- d) Olympic Trials Qualifier/Participant, etc.

that with a —**STROKE OF A PEN**” [i.e. as the Jena-Six African-American young men were threatened with by Government Official and in keeping with conspiracies to LOCK-UP the African-American male population] and through the **POSTING of false and malicious information on the INTERNET a citizen’s life can be ruined/destroyed;** moreover, CONSPIRACIES formed to deprive them EQUAL protection of the laws, EQUAL privileges and immunities and DUE

PROCESS of laws secured/guaranteed under the United States Constitution and other laws of the United States. *Then when it is time to compensate African-Americans for the injuries/harms/injustices sustained, attempts are taken to deprive them of liabilities sustained from such unlawful/illegal acts – RACIAL INJUSTICES!*

Milkovich v. Lorain Journal Co., 110 S.Ct. 2695 (1990) - Where statement of “opinion” **on matter of public concern** reasonably implies **false and defamatory facts** involving private figure, plaintiff **must** show that **false implications** were made with some level of fault to support recovery. U.S.C.A. Const.Amend. 1.

Paul proclaimed his innocence to . . . leaders. **When is it wise to make a public response to false accusations**, and when should we just let them go?

In the case of Paul, the gospel would have been discredited if he had not spoken up. His circumstances made him look like a criminal, and he had no history with these leaders to expect them to assume otherwise without a proper defense.

If we have been publicly slandered by credible sources, we should probably make a public response. Otherwise our own witness will be compromised. . . Jesus warned us that some people will **say all manner of evil against us falsely**, so we should not be surprised when it happens. But **we do need to exercise wisdom when we become aware of it.**⁴⁰

120. Newsome believes that in order for our Nation to heal, that these RACIAL Injustices (i.e. in employment, false imprisonment, etc.) leveled against her as well as members of her class is of PUBLIC/WORLDWIDE importance and, therefore, brings this matter to the HIGHEST and most SUPERIOR Court in the United States under which jurisdiction lies.

121. This legal action involve CONSPIRATORS and CO-CONSPIRATORS across the country/United States (i.e. **in multiple states**) - which is addressed in the “EM/ORS” filed with this Court along with supporting facts, evidence and legal conclusions. Respondents which may include STATES, COUNTIES, MUNICIPALITIES, CITIES and/or their officials.

122. Newsome believes that the record evidence will support that the object of CONSPIRACIES leveled against her are RACIALLY motivated. Moreover, done to deprive her rights secured under the United States Constitution and other laws of the United States.

⁴⁰ 2009-2010 Standard Lesson Commentary (King James Version) - August 29, 2010 Lesson Entitled: *“Upheld By God”* - Subtitle: *“Let’s Talk It Over.”*

123. This matter is of PUBLIC/WORLDWIDE importance and interest. Moreover, has an impact on the lives of not only Newsome but other citizens of the United States.

124. Newsome believes that this Court's intervention is IMPARATIVE in that this matter involves matters that affect the **PUBLIC-AT-LARGE** in that there are criminals who have been allowed to be a part of the GENERAL POPULATION and because they have not been stopped, continue to commit criminal/civil wrongs against other citizens – i.e. allowed to become CAREER CRIMINALS:

U.S. v. Jimenez Recio, 123 S.Ct. 819 (2003) - Essence of a conspiracy is an agreement to commit an unlawful act.

Agreement to commit an unlawful act, which constitutes the essence of a conspiracy, is a **distinct evil** that may exist and be punished whether or not the substantive crime ensues. *Id.*

Conspiracy poses a threat to the public over and above the threat of the commission of the relevant substantive crime, both because the combination in crime makes more likely the commission of other crimes and because it **decreases the probability** that the individuals involved will depart from their path of criminality. *Id.*

*Criminals who may have been allowed to let their **RACIST/DISCRIMINATORY/SUPREMACIST** ideology to **TARGET** Middle East countries and destroy the lives of citizens in Foreign lands – i.e. through the **USE** and **ABUSE** of the United States Military and others who may **OR** may not have known of these CRIMINALS' intent.*

125. Newsome believes this legal action meets the prerequisites in that:

- (a) the writ will be in aid of the Court's appellate jurisdiction – [28 U.S.C. § 1651(a)] ~~The U.S. Supreme Court has a continuing power to issue extraordinary writs in aid of either its original jurisdiction⁴¹ including as a part of jurisdiction(s) the exercise of general supervisory control over the court system – state or federal.~~⁴²

⁴¹ See *Ex parte Hung Hang*, 108 U.S. 552, 553, 2 S.Ct. 863, 27 L.Ed. 811 (1883) (Court has authority to issue writ); *Pennsylvania v. Wheeling Belmont Bridge Co.*, 59 U.S. 421, 431, 15 L.Ed. 435 (1885) (act of congress cannot have the effect and operation to annul the decision of the court already rendered); *Ex parte Siebold*, 100 U.S. 371, 374, 25 L.Ed. 717 (1879) (Having this general power to issue the writ, the court may issue it in the exercise of **original** jurisdiction where it has **original** jurisdiction. . . .); see also Wagner, *Original Jurisdiction of National Supreme Courts*, 33 St. John's L. Rev. 217 (1959); cf. *Marbury v. Madison*, 5 U.S. (1 Cranch) 137, 147, 2 L.Ed. 60 (1803) (The term 'appellate jurisdiction' is to be taken in its larger sense, and implies in its nature the right of superintending the inferior tribunals.).

⁴² See e.g., *Connor v. Coleman*, 440 U.S. 612, 624, 99 S.Ct. 1523, 59 L.Ed. 2d 619 (1979) (~~When a lower. . . court refuses to give effect to, or misconstrues our mandate, its actions are controlled by this Court. . .~~); *McCullough v. Cosgrave*, 309 U.S. 634,

- (b) exceptional circumstances warrant the exercise of the Court's discretionary powers - **While there *need NOT* be a laundry list of "exceptional circumstances," the U.S. Supreme Court has repeatedly asserted that the peremptory writs are drastic and extraordinary remedies that must be reserved for only truly extraordinary cases (as the extraordinary circumstances in this instant lawsuit).**⁴³
- (c) adequate relief cannot be had in any other form - Newsome seeks to bring, the writ sought in that it is permissible and warranted as a matter of law - *Ex parte Harding*, 219 U.S. 363, 374; 31 S.Ct. 324, 55 L.Ed. 252 (1911) (writ only applicable to exceptional cases) – and is sustained by facts, evidence and legal conclusions of the good-faith acts of Newsome to seek adequate relief through appropriate legal recourse – i.e. due to no avail because of the conspiracy(s) leveled against her.
- (d) adequate relief cannot be had in any other court below – the record evidence, facts and legal conclusions *will support a PATTERN of unlawful/illegal acts leveled against Newsome* (i.e. moreover, CONSPIRACIES). The record evidence will further support efforts by lower courts to –CLOSE DOORS OF COURT(S) to Newsome.” Thus, warranting and supporting the relief Newsome seeks through bringing Extraordinary Writ. [*Ex parte Young*, 209 U.S. 123, 165, 28 S.Ct. 441, 52 L.Ed. 714 (1908) (remedies at law not inadequate)]

as well as for reasons known to this Court to deter/prevent the criminal/civil wrongs addressed herein and in –PFEW” and –EM/ORS.”

126. Neither this Court nor Respondents – i.e. Stor-All Alfred LLC (–Stor-All”) and Judge John Andrew West/Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas

635, 60 S.Ct. 703, 84 L.Ed. 992 (1940) (*Court directed . . . Court judge to vacate order and retry cases expeditiously*); *Ex parte United States*, 242 U.S. 27, 52, 37 S.Ct. 72, 61 L.Ed. 129 (1916) (mandamus proper remedy for enforcing . . . when . . . Court that passed it has defeated its execution). - - Vol. 23 Moore's Federal Practice, § 520.02[2] (Matthew Bender 3d ed.).

⁴³ See *Bagley v. Byrd*, 534 U.S. 1301, 122 S.Ct. 419, 419-420, 151 L.Ed. 2d 370 (2001) (Stevens, J., in chambers) (Court will deny applications for stay of lower-court proceedings pending Court's disposition of . . . petition unless application demonstrates that denial of stay will either cause irreparable harm or affect Supreme Court's jurisdiction to act on . . . petition); *In re Michael Sindram*, 498 U.S. 177, 179, 111 S.Ct. 596, 112 L.Ed. 2d 599 (1991) (petitioner –identifies no 'drastic' circumstances to justify extraordinary relief" as required by Sup. Ct. R. 20.1); *Will v. United States*, 389 U.S. 90, 95, 88 S.Ct. 269, 19 L.Ed. 305 (1967) (–*only exceptional circumstances amounting to a judicial usurpation of power' will justify the invocation of this extraordinary remedy*"); *Ex parte Fahey*, 332 U.S. 258, 260, 67 S.Ct. 1558, 91 L.Ed. 2041 (1947) (–These remedies should be resorted to only where appeal is a clearly inadequate remedy.”).

(~~Judge West~~” and/or ~~HCCCP~~” respectively) - have been prejudiced by Petitioner Newsome’s PFEW.

127. In support of this instant *RT031711SCL* Newsome incorporates herein by reference as if set forth in full herein the March 12, 2011, “*Petition for Extraordinary Writ*,” the facts, evidence and supporting legal conclusions provided therein as well as the supporting APPENDICES (i.e. approximately 16 – *Newsome inadvertently left off Appendix “16” – which is copy of the January 6, 2011 Cover Letter which all parties to this action has and/or should have in their possession - however, reserves the right to make the necessary amendment, should the court deem necessary*).

128. In support of this instant *RT031711SCL* Newsome incorporates (because of ***voluminous*** pleading) herein by reference as if set forth in full herein the October 9, 2010 “*Emergency Motion to Stay; Emergency Motion for Enlargement of Time and Other Relief The United States Supreme Court Deems Appropriate To Correct The Legal Wrongs/Injustices Reported Herein*,” the facts, evidence and supporting legal conclusions provided therein as well as the supporting EXHIBITS (i.e. approximately 169 – ~~4~~” thru ~~169~~)).

129. Newsome further preserves the Arguments/Defenses raised in ~~EM/ORS~~” which include (i.e. however, this should not be taken as an exhaustive list in that the Supreme Court of the United States may deem it necessary to address additional arguments/defenses based upon the facts, evidence and legal conclusion addressed herein and/or in its knowledge):

- I. AFFIDAVIT OF ISQUALIFICATION
- II. SUPREMACIST/TERRORIST/KU KLUX KLAN ACT
- III. IRREPARABLE INJURY/HARM**
- IV. THREATS TO COUNSEL/ APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
- V. UNFIT FOR OFFICE
- VI. FINDING OF FACT/CONCLUSION OF LAW
- VII. DUE PROCESS OF FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT TO U.S. CONSTITUTION**
- VIII. EQUAL PROTECTION OF FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT TO U.S. CONSTITUTION**
- IX. U.S. OFFICE OF PRESIDENT/ EXECUTIVE OFFICE; UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE/DEPARTMENT OF LABOR ROLE IN CONSPIRACY**
- X. SELECTIVE PROSECUTION
- XI. ~~SERIAL LITIGATOR~~” ISSUE
- XII. CONGRESSIONAL INVESTIGATION(S)
- XIII. PROHIBITION/MANDAMUS ACTION(S)
- XIV. PATTERN-OF-PRACTICE
 - A. Entergy Services Inc./Entergy New Orleans Matter
 - B. Other Former Employers Of Newsome Baria Fyke Hawkins & Stracener

Brunini Grantham Grower & Hewes
Mitchell McNutt & Sams
Page Kruger & Holland
Wood & Lamping LLC

XV. MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME

XVI. RELIEF SOUGHT

130. Newsome believes that while the following ~~Questions Presented For Review: in PFEW~~

- (1) Whether Newsome's "Emergency Motion to Stay; Emergency Motion for Enlargement of Time and Other Relief The United States Supreme Court Deems Appropriate To Correct The Legal Wrongs/Injustices Reported Herein" was a timely pleading in accordance with United States Supreme Court Rules 22, 23 and/or 33. Whether the Clerk of the United States Supreme Court forward Newsome's ~~EM/ORS~~" to individual justice (Chief Justice John G. Roberts) to which it was addressed. Whether Newsome was deprived equal protection of the laws, equal privileges and immunities and due process of laws in the United States Supreme Court's handling of ~~EM/ORS~~."
- (2) Whether ~~EM/ORS~~" is within the jurisdiction of the United States Supreme Court. Whether the United States Supreme Court is attempting to deprive Newsome rights secured under the Constitution, other laws of the United States, equal protection of the laws, equal privileges and immunities, and due process of laws in the handling of ~~EM/ORS~~."
- (3) Whether Newsome is entitled to the ~~Emergency Relief~~" sought in ~~EM/ORS~~" and pleadings filed with the United States Supreme Court.
- (4) Whether Newsome is entitled to **IMMEDIATE** temporary injunctive relief and emergency relief sought in ~~EM/ORS~~" **prior** to disposition of PFEW – i.e. for instance as set forth in: Section 706(f)(2) of Title VII authorizes the Commission to seek temporary injunctive relief before final disposition of a charge when a preliminary investigation indicates that prompt judicial action is necessary to carry out the purposes of Title VII.
Temporary or preliminary relief allows a court to stop retaliation before it occurs or continues. Such relief is appropriate if there is a substantial likelihood that the challenged action will be found to constitute unlawful retaliation, and if the charging party and/or EEOC will likely suffer irreparable harm because of retaliation. Although courts have ruled that financial hardships are not irreparable, other **harms that accompany loss of a job may be irreparable.**
- - For example, in one case forced retirees showed irreparable harm and qualified for a preliminary injunction where they lost work and future prospects for work consequently suffering emotional distress, depression, a contracted social life, and other related harms.

- (5) Whether the United States Supreme Court in handling of this lawsuit, is attempting to obstruct justice and provide Respondent(s) with an unlawful/illegal and undue advantage in lawsuit due to bias and prejudice towards Newsome.
- (6) Whether the laws of the United States are equally applied to African-Americans/Black as those similarly situated. Whether the United States has a “*longstanding*” *history of knowingly discriminating against African-Americans/Blacks in the application of the laws.* Whether Newsome has been discriminated against in the application of the laws of the United States.
- (7) Whether the United States Supreme Court Justices/Administration have bias, prejudices and/or discriminatory animus towards Newsome. Whether Newsome is required to know of any bias, prejudices or discriminatory animus that Judges/Justices may have against her.
- (8) Whether the United States Supreme Court Justices/Administration is attempting to COVER UP the criminal/civil wrongs leveled against Newsome. Whether a “*Conflict of Interest*” exist in the United States Supreme Court’s handling of this matter. Whether the United States Supreme Court has advised Newsome and parties to this action of any potential “*Conflict of Interest.*”
- (9) What relationship (if any) the United States Supreme Court, its justices and/or employees have with the law firm of *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, its employees and clients (i.e. such as Liberty Mutual Insurance Company).
- (10) What relationship (if any) the United States Government and/or Government Agencies and employees have with the law firm of *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, its employees and clients (i.e. such as Liberty Mutual Insurance Company).
- (11) Whether the United States Supreme Court is engaging in “*dilatory*” *practices for purposes of financially devastating Newsome for purposes of preventing her from litigating this matter and purposes of providing opposing parties with an undue/unlawful/illegal advantage in lawsuit.*
- (12) Whether the United States Supreme Court has an obligation to correct the legal wrongs made known to it and/or that it has knowledge of. *Whether the United States Supreme Court is required to report criminal/civil wrongs reported to it and/or made known through pleadings (i.e. as “PFEW”) filed with it.*
- (13) Whether attorneys are governed by the Code of Professional Conduct and/or similar statutes/laws governing practice before the court(s) and representation of clients. Whether Judges/Justices have a duty to report and/or initiate the applicable proceedings against attorneys/lawyers who violate the

Code of Professional Conduct and/or similar statutes/laws governing the practice of law.

- (14) Whether Judges/Justices are governed by the Code of Judicial Conduct and/or similar statutes/laws governing practice of the laws. Whether Judges/Justices have a duty to report and/or initiate the applicable proceedings against judges/justices who violate the Code of Judicial Conduct and/or similar statutes/laws governing the practice of law.
- (15) Whether Judges/Justices have usurped authority and/or abused power in the handling of legal matters to which Newsome is a party.
- (16) Whether Judge(s) presiding over legal matters to which Newsome is a party have been **INDICTED** and/or **IMPEACHED** as a direct and proximate result of unlawful/illegal practices. Whether Newsome timely, properly and adequately addressed concerns of unlawful/illegal and unethical practices of judges/justices before the appropriate government entity (i.e. court(s) and/or agency).
- (17) Whether the INDICTMENT and/or IMPEACHMENT of judges/justices or attorneys/lawyers affect legal matters in which they are involved.
- (18) Whether judges/justices have subjected Newsome to discriminatory treatment in the handling of legal matters to which she is a party.
- (19) Whether Newsome is entitled to ~~–emergency~~” injunctive relief and/or emergency relief pending the resolution of Petition for Extraordinary Writ. *Whether United States Supreme Court has a duty to mitigate damages and to protect Newsome from further irreparable injury/harm she has sustained.*
- (20) Whether Newsome is entitled to have ~~–ISSUES~~” raised addressed upon request(s).
- (21) Whether Newsome is entitled to ~~–Findings of Fact~~” and ~~–Conclusion of Law~~” upon request(s).
- (22) Whether lower courts’ decisions are ~~–arbitrary~~” and/or ~~–capricious~~” – i.e. can be sustained by facts, evidence and legal conclusions. Moreover, contrary to laws governing said matters. Contrary to rulings of this Court on similar matters.
- (23) Whether Judge John Andrews West has jurisdiction/legal authority to preside over lower court action where “*Affidavit of Disqualification*” and Criminal “*FBI Complaint*” have been filed against him.
- (24) Whether Judge John Andrews West owe a specific duty to Newsome to recuse himself from Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas action.

- (25) Whether Newsome is entitled to know of “Conflict of Interest” that exist between factfinder(s)/judges/justices and/or opposing parties/counsel.
- (26) Whether Judges/Justices owe a specific duty to Newsome to recuse themselves when “conflict of interest” exists. Whether Judges/Justices remained on the bench in legal actions where Newsome is a party with knowledge there was a “conflict of interest” due to their relationship with opposing parties and/or their counsel/counsel’s law firm.
- (27) Whether judges/justices assigned cases involving Newsome and having relationships to opposing parties (i.e. such as opposing law firms as *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, their employees and/or clients) had a duty to recuse themselves from lawsuits – i.e. such as Judge Tom S. Lee [see **APPENDIX “11”** – Recusal Orders executed because of relationship to *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz* - provided and incorporated herein by reference] – in which **knowledge** of CONFLICT OF INTEREST EXISTED. Whether judges/justices are allowed to discriminate in their compliance with laws governing recusal [see **APPENDIX “12”** – Docket Sheet (*Newsome v. Entergy* - wherein *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz* appears as counsel of record - provided and incorporated herein by reference)]. Whether judges/justices should be IMMEDIATELY removed from the bench and/or the applicable legal actions initiated against judges/justices for removal when record evidence supports judges/justices failure to recuse. How does said failure of judges/judges to recuse themselves affect the public and/or Constitutional rights of citizen(s).
- (28) Whether Newsome, as a matter of Constitutional right, is entitled to JURY trial(s) when requested. Whether Newsome has been deprived of Constitutional right to jury trial(s).
- (29) Whether lower courts are required to protect “federal” rights of Newsome in the handling of lawsuit. Whether lower courts failed to protect Newsome’s federally protected rights.
- (30) Whether the Supreme Court of Ohio entered a decision in conflict with the decision of another state supreme court on the same important matter; has decided in important federal question in a way that conflicts with a decision by a state court of last resort; and/or has so far departed from the accepted and usual course of judicial proceedings, or sanctioned such a departure by a lower court, as to call for an exercise of the United States Supreme Court’s supervisory power and/or original jurisdiction.
- (31) Whether the Supreme Court of Ohio has decided an important federal question in a way that conflicts with the decision of another state court of last resort or of a United States court of appeals.
- (32) Whether Supreme Court of Ohio has decided an important question of federal law that has not been, but should be, settled

by this Court; and/or has decided an important federal question in a way that conflicts with relevant decisions of the United States Supreme Court.

- (33) Whether the lower courts entered a decision in conflict with the decision of another state supreme court on the same important matter; has decided in important federal question in a way that conflicts with a decision by a state court of last resort; and/or has *so far departed from the accepted and usual course of judicial proceedings, or sanctioned such a departure*, as to call for an exercise of the United States Supreme Court's supervisory power and/or original jurisdiction.
- (34) Whether the lower courts have decided an important federal question in a way that conflicts with the decision of another state court of last resort or of a United States court of appeals.
- (35) Whether lower court decision(s) raise question(s) as to the validity of the federal statute or treaty; raise a question statute statute/law relied upon is repugnant to the Constitution, laws or treaties of the United States; or address the contention that a right, privilege or immunity is —setup or claimed under the Constitution or statutes of, or any commission held or authority exercised under, the United States.”
- (36) Whether the United States Supreme Court's recent decision in ***Citizens United v Federal Election Commission***, 558 U.S. 50 (2010), have provide courts with a license and/or defense to engage in criminal acts – i.e. provide arbitrary/capricious decisions for purposes of covering up criminal/civil wrongs leveled against citizens/litigants – for purposes of protecting TOP/BIG/KEY Financial Campaign Contributors.
- (37) Whether Newsome has been deprived equal protection of the laws, equal privileges and immunities of the laws, and due process of laws secured under the United States Constitution.
- (38) Whether Newsome is a victim of ~~Pattern-of-Practices~~,” ~~Pattern-of-Abuse~~,” ~~Pattern-of-Injustices~~” and/or ~~PATTERN~~” of unlawful/illegal practices as a direct and proximate result of her engagement in protected activities.
- (39) Whether Newsome is a victim of ~~Criminal Stalking~~.”
- (40) Whether Newsome is a victim of Government ~~BULLYING~~.” Whether the United States Government/Courts allow parties opposing Newsome in legal matters (judicial and administrative) to use their ~~political~~” and ~~financial wealth~~” for purposes of BULLYING Newsome. Whether said BULLYING is for purposes of intimidation, coercion, threats, bribery, blackmail, etc. to force Newsome to abandon protected rights and/or deprive Newsome equal protection of the laws, equal privileges and immunities of the laws and due process of laws.
- (41) Whether United States Government and Newsome's former employer(s) have engaged in criminal/civil wrongs leveled

against her for purposes of **BLACKLISTING**. Whether the United States Government/Courts have placed information on the INTERNET regarding Newsome that it knew and/or should have known was false, misleading and/or malicious.

- (42) Whether Government agencies, their employees and others have engaged in TERRORIST ACTS.
- (43) Whether the United States citizens/public and/or Foreign Nations, their leaders and citizens are entitled to know of the crimes and civil injustices of the United States Government, its officials/employees and co-conspirators leveled against African-Americans and/or people of color.
- (44) Whether extraordinary circumstances exist to warrant granting of *Petition of Extraordinary Writ*.
- (45) Whether conspiracy(s) leveled against Newsome exist. Whether United States Government's/Court(s)' *failure and "neglect to prevent" has created a "threat to the public" in the allowing criminal(s) to remain at large in the general population.*
- (46) Whether Newsome is being subjected to further criminal/civil violations by the United States Government and its subsidiaries (i.e. such as the Ohio Attorney General's – Richard Cordray's – Office) in RETALIATION for engagement in protected activities. Whether the United States Government and its subsidiaries are engaging in criminal acts of HARASSMENT, THREATS, COERCION, BLACKMAIL, INTIMIDATION, etc. in the providing of false/frivolous/sham legal process – i.e. such as 2005 Personal Income Tax claims wherein Newsome was **NOT** a resident of the State of Ohio in 2005 [see **APPENDIX "10"** – December 27, 2010 correspondence from Ohio *Attorney General*] – with knowledge that said actions are **NOT** applicable to Newsome and are PROHIBITED by law. Whether Government *records reflect documentation* to support/sustain timely, proper and adequate notification as to Newsome's defenses to claims asserted.
- (47) Whether Newsome is required to pay the fees alleged in the Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas' December 20, 2010, —CASE COST BILLING" [see **APPENDIX "14"** incorporated herein by reference]. Whether Newsome's submittal of —EM/ORS" stays proceeding in the Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas. Whether Newsome's filing of "*Opposition/Objection to November 8, 2010 Entry; Request for Findings of Fact, Conclusion of Law; and Vacating of Entry*" and filing of this instant —PEW" with the United States Supreme Court stays and preserves the rights of Newsome – i.e. preclude the CRIMINAL/CIVIL violations of the Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas.
- (48) Whether Government Agencies (i.e. its employees) have violated Newsome's Constitutional rights and other rights secured under the laws of the United States. Whether the Government has engaged in criminal/civil violations in

demanding monies from citizens to which it is **NOT** entitled. Whether it is lawful for Government agency(s) to demand monetary relief from citizen(s) under certain time restraints when it, itself owes citizens monies. Whether Government is required to compensate citizen(s) for monies owed when citizen(s) make timely demands – i.e. it has knowledge that citizen(s) are owed monies.

- (49) Whether citizens of the United States have the right to exercise First Amendment Rights and Rights secured/guaranteed under the United States Constitution and/or Rights secured under the laws of the United States *without fear of reprisal*.
- (50) Whether Courts and Judges/Justices have legal authority to interfere in matters where Newsome has requested the United States Congress' and/or United States Legislature's intervention. Whether said interference deprives Newsome equal protection of the laws, equal privileges and immunities of the laws and due process of laws – rights secured under the United States Constitution and/or laws of the United States.
- (51) Whether United States Government Agencies and their Officials/Employees have the right to retaliate against Newsome for exercising rights protected and secured under the laws of the United States and United States Constitution.
- (52) Whether opposing parties', their insurance providers, special interest groups, lobbyists, and their representatives have legal authority to retaliate against Newsome for her engagement in protected activities. Whether opposing parties and their conspirators/co-conspirators are allowed to stalk Newsome from job-to-job/employer-to-employer and state-to-state for purposes of terminating her employment, blacklisting, etc. in retaliation for Newsome having exercised and/or or engaged in protected activities.
- (53) What role (if any) has the law firm *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, its employees, clients and others have played in the criminal/civil wrongs and conspiracies leveled against Newsome?
- (54) What relationship (if any) does the law firm *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, its employees and clients have to United States President Barack Obama and his Administration?
- (55) What relationship (if any) does the law firm *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, its employees and clients have to past Presidents of the United States and their Administration?
- (56) What relationship (if any) does the law firm *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, its employees and clients have to officials/employees in the United States Senate and United States House of Representatives?

- (57) What relationship (if any) does the law firm *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, its employees and clients have in the appointment of judges/justices to the courts?
- (58) What role (if any) did the law firm *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, its employees and clients have in the handling of criminal/civil complaints Newsome filed with the *United States Department of Justice* – i.e. based on relationship and KEY position(s) held with the Commission on Civil Rights [Chairman, etc.] which serve as a national clearinghouse for information in respect to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws; submitting reports, findings and recommendations *to the President and Congress*; and issuing public service announcements to discourage discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws . . . served as **Chief Counsel** to the *U.S. House Judiciary Committee's Subcommittee on the Constitution*, which responsibilities included advising the Chairman and Republican Members of the Judiciary Committee on legislation and Congressional **oversight** implicating civil and constitutional rights, Congressional authority, separation of powers, proposed constitutional amendments and *oversight of the Civil Rights Division of the Department of Justice and the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights* [see for instance APPENDIX “13” – Baker Doneslon information regarding Bradley S. Clanton]?
- (59) What role (if any) did *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, its employees, its clients and the United States Department of Justice play in the COVER-UP of criminal/civil violations leveled against Newsome reported on or about September 17, 2004 in “**Petitioner's Petition Seeking Intervention/Participation of the United States Department of Justice**” - i.e. styled “*VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME vs. ENTERGY SERVICES, INC.*” [see EXHIBIT “34” of –EM/ORS”] in which Newsome timely, properly and adequately reported the criminal/civil violations of *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, Judge G. Thomas Porteous Jr. and others – to no avail.
- (60) Whether the recent IMPEACHMENT of Judge G. Thomas Porteous, Jr. (i.e. having role as presiding judge in lawsuit involving Newsome) on or about December 8, 2010 [see APPENDIX “15” of PFEW – Article “**Senate Removes Federal Judge in Impeachment Conviction**” and EXHIBIT “12” of –EM/ORS” incorporated herein by reference], is pertinent/relevant to this instant lawsuit.
- (61) What role (if any) did *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, its employees, its clients, others and the United States Department of Justice play in the COVER-UP of criminal/civil violations leveled against Newsome reported on or about September 24, 2004 in “**Request for Department of Justice's Intervention/Participation in this Case**” - i.e. referencing “*Newsome v. Mitchell McNutt & Sams P.A.*” [see EXHIBIT “169” of –EM/ORS”] in which Newsome timely, properly and

adequately reported the criminal/civil violations of Mitchell McNutt & Sams – to no avail.

- (62) Whether the INDICTMENT of Judge Bobby DeLaughter [i.e. having a role as presiding judge in lawsuit involving Newsome] on or about January 6, 2009, and his pleading GUILTY on or about July 30, 2009, is pertinent to this instant lawsuit.
- (63) Whether *Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz*, its employees and clients have an interest in the outcome of this lawsuit. If so, whether the United States Supreme Court is aware of said knowledge and/or information.
- (64) Whether lower court lawsuit in Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas was filed as a direct and proximate result of Respondent Stor-All's, its insurance provider's and/or representatives' knowledge of Newsome's engagement in protected activities.
- (65) Whether attorneys and their client(s) are allowed to engage in criminal and civil wrongs for purposes of obstructing the administration of justice.
- (66) Whether the EXTRAORDINARY and EXCEPTIONAL circumstances surrounding this lawsuit supports the establishment of special court(s) to litigate matters. Whether the SPECIAL relationships of Judges/Justices to opposing party(s) in litigation involving Newsome warrant the creation of special court(s) to afford Newsome rights secured and guaranteed under the United States Constitution and laws of the United States – i.e. equal protection of the laws, equal privileges and immunities of the laws and due process of laws.

this Court is to apply any/all other laws known to it to deter/prevent the criminal and civil wrongs brought to its attention. Furthermore, the Supreme Court of the United States has a duty to enforce any and all statutes/laws known to it governing said matters to deter/prevent as well as correct the injustices complained of and/or brought to its attention.

131. Newsome believes that the record evidence, facts and legal conclusions will support efforts taken by Respondents to deprive Newsome life, liberties and the pursuit of happiness. Rights secured under the United States Constitution and other laws of the United States.

132. Newsome believes that the record evidence, facts and legal conclusions will support deprivation of equal protection of the laws, equal privileges and immunities of the laws and due process of laws. Rights secured/guaranteed under the United States Constitution and other laws of the United States.

133. Newsome believes that without the Supreme Court of the United States' intervention and exercise of jurisdiction and supervisory powers that she will continue to


sustain irreparable injury/harm. Newsome to date continues to be threatened by those who are a part of the CONSPIRACIES leveled against her.

CONCLUSION and RELIEF SOUGHT

Newsome hereby REITERATES her defenses and PRESERVATION of rights set forth herein and demands the relief sought above in this instant *Response To March 17, 2011 Supreme Court of the United States' Letter* as well as that set forth in her October 9, 2010 "*Emergency Motion to Stay; Emergency Motion for Enlargement of Time and Other Relief The United States Supreme Court Deems Appropriate To Correct The Legal Wrongs/Injustices Reported Herein*" and March 12, 2011 Petition for Extraordinary Writ. Newsome prays that the Supreme Court of the United States can understand based upon EXTRAORDINARY, EXCEPTIONAL and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances involved, this RT031711SCL was PERTINENT and CRUCIAL then a mere "letter" as requested. Newsome hopes that there is SUFFICIENT information to aid this Court in the filing of her Petition for Extraordinary Writ and provide it with the direction she intends to take due to the COMPLEXITY and nature of this case.

Newsome further REITERATES that she seeks any and all applicable relief known to this Court as well as those MANDATED under the statutes/laws governing said matters. Newsome further RESERVES the right to amend and/or make the necessary corrections as may be deemed necessary considering the EXTRAORDINARY, EXCEPTIONAL and CRITICAL/EXIGENT circumstances involved in this case; moreover due to the COMPLEXITY and MAGNITUDE of the criminal/civil wrong complained of in this legal action.

Respectfully submitted this 22nd day of **April, 2011**.



Vogel Denise Newsome - *Petitioner Pro Se*
Post Office Box 14731
Cincinnati, Ohio 45250
Phone: (513) 680-2922

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the forgoing pleading was MAILED via U.S. Mail first-class to:

Honorable John Andrew West – *Judge (and)*
Patricia M. Clancy – *Clerk of Court*
Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas
1000 Main Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

David Meranus, Esq.
Schwartz Manes Ruby & Slovin, LPA
2900 Carew Tower
441 Vine Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

Michael E. Lively, Esq.
Markesbery & Richardson Co., LPA
Post Office Box 6491
Cincinnati, Ohio 45206

Solicitor General of the United States⁴⁴
United States Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. – Room 5614
Washington, D.C. 20530

Barack H. Obama – U.S. President⁴⁵
Executive Office of the President
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20500-0005

Respectfully submitted this 22nd day of April, 2011.



Vogel Denise Newsome

⁴⁴ USPS Delivery Confirmation No. 0309288000010572313

⁴⁵ USPS Delivery Confirmation No. 23061570000105806954

**SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WASHINGTON, DC 20543-0001**

March 17, 2011

Vogel D. Newsome
P.O. Box 14731
Cincinnati, OH 45250

RE: In Re Vogel Denise Newsome

Dear Ms. Newsome:

The above-entitled petition for an extraordinary writ seeking unspecified relief was received on March 17, 2011.

Please inform this office by letter, as soon as possible, what type of extraordinary writ you are seeking to file, i.e. extraordinary writ of mandamus, mandamus/prohibition, habeas corpus.

This office will retain all of the copies of the petition.

Sincerely,
William K. Suter, Clerk

By:



Ruth Jones
(202) 479-3022

Enclosures

EXHIBIT
"1"

Egypt unrest: Obama increases pressure on Mubarak

05 February 11 02:55 ET



Barack Obama has urged Egyptian President Hosni Mubarak "to make the right decision" to end weeks of unrest, and reiterated a call for an orderly transition of power "that begins now".

However the US president stopped short of telling Mr Mubarak to step down immediately.

He spoke as huge crowds demonstrated across Egypt for an 11th day, demanding that Mr Mubarak resign.

But PM Ahmed Shafiq said it would not be practical for the president to go.

He told the BBC Mr Mubarak's declaration on Tuesday that he would not seek re-election in September was tantamount to him standing down.

"In effect, the president has stepped down already," Mr Shafiq said. "We need him during these nine months."

He separately told al-Arabiya TV that it was unlikely Mr Mubarak would hand over power to his new Vice-President, Omar Suleiman, because the president was needed "for legislative reasons".

Meanwhile, there were suggestions that the protesters would reduce their presence in central Cairo, holding big demonstrations only on Fridays, with smaller numbers there at other times.

On Saturday, there were also reports of a massive explosion at a pipeline that supplies gas to Israel. The blast caused a fire near the town of el-Arish, Egyptian state television reported.

'World is watching'

More than 100,000 people - including large numbers of women and children - gathered in Tahrir Square in the centre of Cairo on Friday for what was being called the "day of departure".

At noon, thousands paused for Friday prayers with one cleric declaring: "We want the head of the regime removed."

As the prayers finished, demonstrators renewed their chants of "Leave! Leave! Leave!", singing patriotic songs and waving flags.

Some people left as darkness fell, but thousands remained the square.

There were also demonstrations in Egypt's second city, Alexandria, and in the towns of Suez, Port Said, Rafah, Ismailiya, Zagazig, al-Mahalla al-Kubra, Aswan and Asyut.

In Washington Mr Obama told reporters: "The whole world is watching."

He said he had been encouraged by the restraint shown by both the authorities and the

EXHIBIT
"2"

protesters after two days of clashes which have left eight people dead and more than 800 injured.

The UN believes more than 300 have died across Egypt since the protests began on 25 January, with about 4,000 hurt.

Mr Obama did not insist that Mr Mubarak step down immediately, but repeated his call for a "transition period that begins now".

"He needs to listen to what is voiced by the people and make a judgment about a pathway forward that is orderly, that is meaningful and serious," he said.

"The key question he should be asking himself is: how do I leave a legacy behind in which Egypt is able to get through this transformative period? My hope is he will end up making the right decision."

BBC North America editor Mark Mardell says Mr Obama went further than before in suggesting that the Egyptian president should go, but could not quite bring himself - no doubt for very good diplomatic reasons - to say the words.

The Obama administration is relieved that Friday's huge protests did not turn nasty, because violence is the biggest threat to the change it wants, our correspondent says.

There were real nerves in Washington that the army would be forced to choose between their commander-in-chief and the people, he adds. Instead they remained neutral, keeping the rival groups of demonstrators apart.

Opposition talks

Egyptian Finance Minister Samir Radwan told the BBC on Saturday there "certainly will be a meeting" between opposition groups and Vice-President Omar Suleiman, although he did not say when or which opposition groups would attend.

Mr Suleiman has invited the leading opposition group the Muslim Brotherhood, but it has indicated it will talk only when Mr Mubarak has stepped down.

A senior member of the Brotherhood, Issam al-Aryan, denied Mr Mubarak's assertions that the movement would exploit the chaos if he stood down to seize power, saying it would prefer the opposition to nominate a consensus candidate.

"We want a civil state, based on Islamic principles. A democratic state, with a parliamentary system, with freedom to form parties, press freedom, and an independent and fair judiciary," he told the BBC.

Opposition leader Mohamed ElBaradei also took issue with the president's fear of the Brotherhood, saying such an attitude was "symptomatic of a dictatorship".

One of the leaders of the protesters, George Ishaq of the Kifaya (Enough) movement, told the BBC they intend reduce their presence in Tahrir Square, holding big demonstrations on Tuesdays and Fridays.

"Protesters will remain in Tahrir Square on all days of the week," he said on Friday. "But each Friday, there will be a demonstration like today."

Mr Ishaq said the new arrangement would remain in place until the president stepped down - he said it was time to let people go back to work and get on with their lives.

[HOME](#) [OPINION](#) [WASHINGTON WHISPERS](#) [CONGRESS TRACKER](#) [WHITE HOUSE TRACKER](#)

Obama Administration Urges Mubarak to Step Down

By U.S. News Staff
Posted: February 4, 2011

The [White House](#) is working with the Egyptian government on several options to address the uprising that started in Cairo over a week ago. One of the options involves Mubarak stepping down immediately and relinquishing power to a transitional government. U.S. Secretary of State [Hillary Clinton](#) urged the Egyptian government and "a broad and credible representation of Egypt's opposition, civil society and political factions to begin immediately serious negotiations on a peaceful and orderly transition." Since the protests broke out in Cairo 10 day ago, the Obama administration has moved from embracing Mubarak to urging him to go. [[See a slide show of 15 post-Cold War uprisings.](#)]



- [See a roundup of editorial cartoons about the Egypt uprisings.](#)
- [See photos of the Egypt protests.](#)
- [See a slide show of 15 post-Cold War uprisings.](#)

You are here: [Home](#) » [World](#)»

Services: [E-learning](#) [Classified](#) [Local Search](#) [Insurance](#) [Books](#) [More](#) »

Article

Comments

Obama suggests Mubarak should step down now

Anthony Shadid, *The New York Times*, Updated: February 02, 2011 14:38 IST

Ads by Google

[Cincinnati Coupons](#) – 1 ridiculously huge coupon a day. It's like doing Cincy at 90% off!
www.Groupon.com/Cincinnati



Cairo: Just hours after President Hosni Mubarak declared Tuesday night that he would step down in September as modern Egypt's longest-serving leader, President Obama strongly suggested that Mr. Mubarak's concession was not enough, declaring that an "orderly transition must be meaningful, it must be peaceful, and it must begin now."

While the meaning of the last phrase was deliberately vague, it appeared to be a signal that Mr. Mubarak might not be able to delay the shift to a new leadership.

In a 30-minute phone call to Mr. Mubarak just before his public remarks, Mr. Obama was more forceful in insisting on a rapid transition, according to officials familiar with the discussion.

Mr. Mubarak's 10-minute speech announcing he would step down came after his support from the powerful Egyptian military began to crumble and after American officials urged him not to run again for president.

 [Rediff.com](#)

 [Twitter](#)

 [NDTV Social](#)

 [Live Messenger](#)

 [Gmail Buzz](#)

 [Print](#)

Recommend  13

But Mr. Mubarak's offer fell short of the protestors' demands for him to step down immediately and even face trial, and it could well inflame passions in an uprising that has rivaled some of the most epic moments in Egypt's contemporary history. The protests have captivated a broader Arab world that has already seen a leader fall in Tunisia this month and growing protests against other American-backed governments.

Mr. Mubarak, 82, said he would remain in office until a presidential election in September and, in emotional terms, declared that he would never leave Egypt.

"The Hosni Mubarak who speaks to you today is proud of his achievements over the years in serving Egypt and its people," he said, wearing a dark suit and seeming vigorous in the speech broadcast on state television. "This is my country. This is where I lived, I fought and defended its land, sovereignty and interests, and I will die on its soil."

In Tahrir Square, crowds waved flags as the speech was televised on a screen in the square. "Leave!" they chanted, in what has become a refrain of the demonstrations.

"There is nothing now the president can do except step down and let go of power," said Mohammed el-Beltagui, a leader of the Muslim Brotherhood, Egypt's most powerful opposition group, which has entered into the fray with Mr. Mubarak. Those sentiments were echoed by other voices of the opposition, including Mohamed ElBaradei, a Nobel laureate, and Ayman Nour, a longtime dissident.

The speech and the demonstration, whose sheer numbers represented a scene rarely witnessed in the Arab world, illustrated the deep, perhaps unbridgeable, divide that exists between ruler and ruled in Egypt, the most populous Arab country and once the axis on which the Arab world revolved.

The events here have reverberated across a region captivated by an uprising that in some ways has brought a new prestige to Egypt in an Arab world it once

dominated culturally and politically. King Abdullah II of Jordan fired his cabinet after protests there on Tuesday, and the Palestinian cabinet in the West Bank said it would hold long-promised municipal elections "as soon as possible." Organizers in Yemen and Syria, countries with their own authoritarian rulers, have called for protests this week.

In his speech, Mr. Mubarak was pugnacious, accusing protesters of sowing chaos and political forces here of adding "fuel to the fire." He fell back to the refrain that has underlined his three decades in power -- security and stability -- and vowed that he would spend his remaining months restoring calm.

"The events of the past few days impose on us, both citizens and leadership, the choice between chaos and stability," he said. "I am now absolutely determined to finish my work for the nation in a way that ensures its safekeeping."

American officials were clearly disappointed by Mr. Mubarak's effort to stay in office for the next eight months, but Mr. Obama, saying, "It is not the role of any other country to determine Egypt's leaders," stopped short of demanding that Mr. Mubarak leave office immediately.

But if Mr. Obama pushed Mr. Mubarak, he did not shove him, at least in his public remarks. He commended the Egyptian military for its "professionalism and patriotism" in refusing to use force against the protesters, comments that clearly undercut Mr. Mubarak's efforts to maintain control. He praised the protesters for their peaceful action, and he reinforced that "the status quo is not sustainable."

Mr. Obama was clearly hopeful that Mr. Mubarak would decide to leave office sooner. But he warned there would be "difficult days ahead," a clear signal that he expected the transition period to be lengthy, and messy.

The uprising, though, seems to have brought a new dynamic to political life here, on display in the scenes of jubilation and protest in Tahrir Square. The government suffered what could prove a fatal blow to its credibility as police authority collapsed Saturday and Mr. Mubarak's officials met the early protests with half-hearted measures. On Monday, the army said it would not fire on protesters, calling their demands legitimate and leaving Mr. Mubarak with few options.

Protesters defied a curfew that has become a joke to residents and overcame attempts by the government to keep them at bay by suspending train service, closing roads and shutting down public transportation to Cairo. Peasants from the south joined Islamists from the Nile Delta, businessmen and street-smart youths from gritty Bulaq to join in the bluntest of calls at the protest: that Mr. Mubarak leave immediately.

"Welcome to a free Egypt," went one cry.

"No one would have imagined a week before that this would happen in Egypt," said Basel Ramsis, 37, a film director who returned from Spain for the uprising. "I had to be here. We all have to be here. The Egyptian people can change Egypt now."

As the uprising has spread, thousands of foreigners have sought to flee the country in chaotic scenes at the Cairo airport. The United States ordered all nonemergency embassy staff members and other American government personnel to leave the country, fearing unrest as the protests build toward Friday, when organizers hope for even bigger crowds in what they portray as a last push.

But most of Cairo slumbered, its streets free of chronic traffic jams and its shops shuttered out of anxiety or respect for a strike called to coincide with the protest. Crowds walked miles to the rendezvous. Others woke up in the square's muddy patches, where they have slept for days.

Ayman Ahmed ventured alone, carrying a cardboard placard with the lyrics of a song by Abdel Halim Hafez, an Egyptian icon.

"And we won when the army rose and revolted," it went, a song he knew by heart, "when we ignited a revolution and fire, when we fought corruption, when we liberated the country, when we realized independence, and we won, we won, we won."

He passed slogans scrawled on bridges, lampposts and the statues of lions before the Kasr al-Nil Bridge. "Mubarak is a thief," one read. "Mubarak is a coward."

But, perhaps most poignantly, one declared, "Egypt is mine."

In the long years of Mr. Mubarak's rule, Egypt was spared the brutality of Saddam Hussein's Iraq and the delusions of the Baath Party in Syria. But his brand of despotism produced an authoritarianism that suffocated his people, a bureaucracy that corrupted the most mundane transaction and a malaise that saw Egypt turn inward.

"I've always said that my age is 60, but I haven't lived for 30 years," said Leila Abu Nasr, walking with her husband, Sharif. "We could have done so much more."

Tens of thousands of people also took to the streets of Alexandria, Egypt's second-largest city, and other protests gathered in the Nile Delta, in the south and along the Suez Canal.

In an ominous sign that the unrest had not ended, about 250 pro-Mubarak demonstrators attacked the crowd of several thousand in Alexandria with knives and sticks, witnesses said. A dozen people were injured in the melee that followed, medical officials on the scene said. The army fired warning shots to separate the groups.

The very desire for sweeping change on the part of the protesters may present the greatest challenge in the transition period Mr. Mubarak declared Tuesday night. Mr. Mubarak promised changes, but the Parliament responsible for them is completely dominated by his party.

The opposition may similarly be at a disadvantage. Organized by young people and driven by the poor and dispossessed in the country of 80 million, the uprising has stunned even the most critical of his government. The Muslim Brotherhood has so far stayed in the background, and other opposition leaders, like Mr. ElBaradei and Mr. Nour, have struggled to cultivate support.

Several activists said Mr. Mubarak's gesture might have been enough had it been made a week ago. But each day in the square, new cries have rung out -- a new constitution, the removal of the ruling party and a trial of Mr. Mubarak and his cronies.

"It's not just about President Mubarak," said Mustafa Mohammed, 32, a laborer. "Of course, he has to go. But the whole regime has to go with him."

The accumulated miseries of all his years in power seemed to underline the anger on Tuesday. Naser Muftah, a factory worker, said he had to go by the name Nader because bureaucrats fouled up his identity card, and he could not change it. Walid Kamel, a lawyer, said his clients were treated like dogs anytime they entered a police station.

Share

Each seemed to bathe in the sense of empowerment represented by the square. From those kneeling in the mud for noon prayers and the couples walking by, with no fear of harassment, the message was the same: They would prove to the government that they were better than it had so long portrayed them.

"You see all these people, with no stealing, no girls being bothered, and no violence," said Omar Saleh. "He's trying to tell us that without me, without the regime, you will fall into anarchy, but we have all told him, 'No.' "

For NDTV Updates, follow us on [Twitter](#) or join us on [Facebook](#)

Story first published: February 02, 2011 14:21 IST

Obama demands Lybia's Qaddafi step down now, instructs Pentagon to prepare for full range of options

Fri, 03/04/2011 - 10:21am — J. Noedel-Publisher

[Share](#)

0

On Thursday, President Barack Obama publicly demanded that Lybian leader Col. Muammar el-Qaddafi "step down from power and leave" immediately. Obama said Qaddafi has "lost the legitimacy to lead."

The president also disclosed that he has authorized the Pentagon to develop a full range of military options to respond to the Lybian crisis, particularly a potential military response if Qaddafi were to begin killing his own people in large numbers, as Qaddafi has threatened to do.

However, many analysts and media pundits observe that the U.S. is highly unlikely to act alone against the embattled leader. Any U.S. military action greater than humanitarian efforts would apparently require the approval if not participation of other major nations, a possibly the U.N. Security Council (U.S., China, Russia, England and France).

Said one analyst, "The U.S. does not want to *own* the Lybian problem."

EXHIBIT
"3"

[Click here to print](#)

MailOnline

'Gaddafi MUST leave the country now': Obama calls on Libyan leader to step down for the first time since violence broke out

By [Daily Mail Reporter](#)

Last updated at 11:39 AM on 28th February 2011

- **The President voiced his opinions to German Chancellor Angela Merkel in a telephone conversation today**
- **UN Security Council currently debating an arms embargo and financial sanctions on the Libyan leader**
- **Obama administration freezes all Libyan assets in the U.S. held by Colonel Gaddafi**
- **U.S. embassy in Libya suspended as remaining staff airlifted out**
- **U.S. urges citizens to 'get out now'**
- **Libya's UN ambassador, Abdurrahman Shalgham, turns against Gaddafi as he pleads with the U.N to 'please help Libya'**
- **But Gaddafi remains defiant as the country braces itself for more blood shed**

President Obama has called for Libyan leader Muammar Gaddafi to step down for the first time since violence broke out.

In a private telephone conversation with Germany Chancellor Angela Merkel today he said Colonel Gaddafi must leave the country now.

The White House says President Obama told Merkel that when a leader's only means of holding power is to use violence against his people, then he has lost the legitimacy to rule and needs to do what's right for his country by 'leaving now.'



'Leave now': President Obama, right, is calling for Libyan leader Muammar Gaddafi to step down for the first time since violence broke out

The President's comments come as the UN Security Council was today locked in urgent talks concerning what sanctions to impose on Libyan leader Gaddafi's regime.

And last night the Obama administration froze all Libyan assets in the U.S. held by Gaddafi, his government and four of his children.

But tonight the Libyan leader remained defiant and vowed a bloody fight to the end.



Defiant: Gaddafi's son Saif al-Islam Gaddafi took to Al-Arabiya television to once again blame foreigners for the unrest as his father said he would fight until the bloody end

Gaddafi's son, Saif al-Islam Gaddafi, went on Al-Arabiya television earlier today to once again blame foreigners for the unrest.

'Now we are here, we are in a hotel in Tripoli,' said Saif. 'Life is normal. Go out and see who is ruling there. Thousands of people are doing their jobs to maintain security in the city. They are not security police or armed forces.'

Libyan prime minister, Baghdadi Mahmudi, meanwhile, announced on state television that every family would receive 500 Libyan dinars (\$406) from the government in a bid to shore up support.



Pro Regime: Gaddafi supporters chant at a rally of around 100 supporters in Green Square today as the leader's son says 'life is normal' in Tripoli

In New York the UN was discussing a sanctions proposal which includes an arms embargo, travel ban, financial sanctions and a request to the International Criminal Court (ICC) to indict Libyan leaders for crimes against humanity.

But not all countries are happy to vote in favour of the latter.

'Of course there are some nuances,' said French ambassador Gerard Araud.



Revolution: Libyan army paratroopers who defected and joined the popular uprising against Gaddafi celebrate in the eastern Libyan port city of Benghazi today

'But on the arms embargo no problem, on the sanctions no problem, the only question which is still on the table is the way we are going to reference to the ICC,' he told reporters.

The formal negotiations come just a day after UN Secretary General Ban Ki-moon warned a delay in taking 'concrete action' would cost more lives in the North African nation.

He cited reports from security forces shooting civilians at homes and inside hospitals in Tripoli. Human rights groups and witnesses have also reported the shooting of peaceful demonstrators, torture of the opposition and use of foreign mercenaries, Ban said.



Protest: Libyans demand the removal of Gaddafi following prayers yesterday. Residents were preparing for more bloodshed tonight as the Libyan leader vowed to stay in power

He plans to meet President Obama on Monday.

Meanwhile in a letter to congress the President stated the actions of the Libyan leader and his associates constituted an 'unusual and extraordinary threat to the national security and foreign policy of the United States'.

The U.S. government took action to freeze assets after officials announced the U.S. embassy in Libya had been suspended following the departure of the remaining diplomats who were safely airlifted out of the country via a chartered airliner yesterday.



U-turn: Libya's United Nations ambassador, Abdurrahman Mohamed Shalgam, second right, denounced Gaddafi and pleaded with the UN to please 'save Libya'

However White House Press Secretary Jay Carney told CNN that relations between the two nations were not broken.

'The flag is still flying. The embassy is not closed. Operations are suspended,' said Under Secretary of State for Management Patrick Kennedy.

The U.S. Government all remaining citizens to get out of Libya now and have not ruled out the use of military force if Gaddafi does not cease the bloodshed of opposition protesters.



Global support: A child holds up a poster as demonstrators in Malta stage a protest against the Libyan leader

'By any measure, Muammar Gaddafi's government has violated international norms and common decency and must be held accountable,' President Obama said in a statement announcing the penalties.

He said they were designed to target Gaddafi's government and protect the assets of Libya's people from being looted.

The actions struck directly at Gaddafi's family, which is believed to have amassed great wealth during his 42 years in control of the oil-rich nation.





Contrast: A protester waves a graphic image of Gaddafi outside the Libyan Embassy in London. It mirrored that of Obama's 'hope' posters which became iconic during his election campaign but had one crucial difference

The president condemned 'the Libyan government's continued violation of human rights, brutalisation of its people and outrageous threats.'

The administration faced increasing pressure to join more forcefully in condemning Gaddafi, who is arming civilian supporters to set up checkpoints and roving patrols around the Libyan capital, Tripoli residents said today.

More than 1,000 people have been killed during the violence, the United Nations estimated.

And tonight Tripoli's Green Square - the scene of so many protests over the past days - was empty according to reports as residents braced themselves for the possibility of more bloodshed.

Libya's UN ambassador, Abdurrahman Shalgham, turned against the regime and pleaded yesterday for the council to act against the 'atrocities' being carried out by Gaddafi - a one-time childhood friend.

In an emotional speech Shalgham said: 'Please, the United Nations, save Libya. Let there be no bloodshed, no killing of innocents. We want a decisive, rapid and courageous resolution from you.'

In Malta and London people took to the streets to protest against the Libyan leader.

Outside the Libyan Embassy in London demonstrators waved graphic images of Gaddafi that mirrored those of Barack Obama's 'hope' posters during his election campaign.

CLEAN OUT CONGRESS 2010



EXHIBIT "4"

AMERICANS

Take BACK Your
Country/Government
Come

November 2010

Vote OUT

The INCUMBENTS
CAREER Politicians



EXHIBIT "J"



Don't

Be DECEIVED

Obama's "CAMPAIGN WRITER'S" pulled OFF his 2008 "*Infamous RACE Speech*" - - QUIT riding off of the backs of those *who were willing to LOSE their LIVES for Civil Rights and EQUALITY* - - Rights Obama and his Administration want to **ABOLISH** and **DEPRIVE** citizens!



VOICES

of CIVIL RIGHTS



EXPOSING

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT'S

OPPRESSION

OF

African-Americans / Blacks



UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT'S

ENFORCMENT of Willie Lynch -
DESTROY THE AFRICAN-AMERICAN MALE



The FACES OF:

STRONG African-American/

Black Men

Who Would **NOT** Be Bought

And **REFUSED**

To Be **ENSLAVED!**



Medgar Wiley Evers



Malcolm X




Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.



In all our deeds, the proper value and respect for time determines success or failure.

Malcolm X



WRITE GREAT STUFF HERE



"Blank Slate"

The FACES OF:
The United States'
"Willie Lynch/Uncle Tom"
Prodigies!



President of United States


Barack



U.S. Attorney General

Eric

Holder



NAACP President/CBO

Benjamin

Jealous




President of
United States

Obama



U.S. Attorney
General

Holder



NAACP
President/CEO

Jealous

PRODUCTS OF WILLIE LYNCH PRACTICES:

http://www.itsabouttimebpp.com/BPP_Books/pdf/The_Willie_Lynch_Letter_The_Making_Of_A_Slave!.pdf

slaves. I guarantee every one of you that if installed correctly it will control the slaves for at least 300 years [2012]. My method is simple. Any member of your family or your overseer can use it. I have outlined a number of differences among the slaves and make the differences bigger. I use fear, distrust and envy for control.

These methods have worked on my modest plantation in the West Indies and it will work throughout the South. Take this simple little list of differences and think about them. On top of my list is "age" but it's there only because it starts with an "A." The second is "COLOR" or shade, there is intelligence, size, sex, size of plantations and status on plantations, attitude of owners, whether the slaves live in the valley, on a hill, East, West, North, South, have fine hair, coarse hair, or is tall or short. Now that you have a list of differences, I shall give you an outline of action, but before that, I shall assure you that distrust is stronger than trust and envy stronger than adulation, respect or admiration. The Black slaves after receiving this indoctrination shall carry on and will become self refueling and self generating for hundreds of years, maybe thousands. Don't forget you must pitch the old black Male vs. the young black Male, and the young black Male against the old black male. You must use the dark skin slaves vs. the light skin slaves, and the light skin slaves vs. the dark skin slaves. You must use the female vs. the male. And the male vs. the female. You must also have you white servants and overseers distrust all Blacks. It is necessary that your slaves trust and depend on us. They must love, respect and trust only us. Gentlemen, these kits are your keys to control. Use them. Have your wives and children use them, never miss an opportunity. If used intensely for one year, the slaves themselves will remain perpetually distrustful of each other.

Thank you gentlemen

OBAMA was born . . . at Kapi'olani Maternity & Gynecological Hospital in Honolulu, Hawaii. . . His mother, Stanley Ann Dunham, was born in Wichita, Kansas, of mostly English, some German, . . . and Irish descent. His great-great-great grandfather hailed from County Offaly. . . His father, Barack Obama, Sr., was a Luo from Nyang'oma Kogelo, Nyanza Province, Kenya. - - http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Barack_Obama

ERIC H. HOLDER, JR. was born in the Bronx, New York, to parents with roots in Barbados. . . Holder's father, Eric Hippton Holder, Sr. . . was born in Saint Joseph, Barbados and arrived in the United States at the age of 11. . . He later became a real estate broker. His mother, Miriam, was born in New Jersey, while his maternal grandparents were immigrants from Saint Philip, Barbados. - - http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eric_Holder

JEALOUS was born in Pacific Grove, California and grew up in Monterey Peninsula, California. His mother, who is black, met his father, who is white, while teaching junior high school in Baltimore. - - http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Benjamin_Jealous



PRODUCTS OF WILLIE LYNCH PRACTICES:

http://www.itsabouttimebpp.com/BPP_Books/pdf/The_Willie_Lynch_Letter_The_Making_Of_A_Slave!.pdf

The Breaking Process of the African Woman

Take the female and run a series of tests on her to see if she will submit to your desires willingly. Test her in every way, because she is the most important factor for good economics. If she shows any sign of resistance in submitting completely to your will, do not hesitate to use the bull whip on her to extract that last bit of resistance out of her. Take care not to kill her, for in doing so, you spoil good economic. When in complete submission, she will train her offspring in the early years to submit to labor when she becomes of age. Understanding is the best thing. Therefore, we shall go deeper into this area of the subject matter concerning what we have produced here in this breaking process of the female nigger. We have reversed the relationship in her natural uncivilized state she would have a strong dependency on the uncivilized nigger male, and she would have a limited protective tendency toward her independent male offspring and would raise male offspring to be dependent like her. Nature had provided for this type of balance. We reversed nature by burning and pulling a civilized nigger apart and bull whipping the other to the point of death, all in her presence. By her being left alone, unprotected, with the male image destroyed, the ordeal caused her to move from her psychological dependent state to a frozen independent state. In this frozen psychological state of independence, she will raise her male and female offspring in reversed roles.

For fear of the young males life she will psychologically train him to be mentally weak and dependent, but physically strong. Because she has become psychologically independent, she will train her female offspring to be psychologically independent. What have you got? You've got the nigger women out front and the nigger man behind and scared. This is a perfect situation of sound sleep and economic. Before the breaking process, we had to be alertly on guard at all times.



The NAACP
receives
GOVERNMENT
Funding! Do
you think it's
going to BITE
the hands that
FEED it?



CLEAN OUT CONGRESS 2010



AMERICANS

Take BACK Your
Country/Government
Come

November 2010

Vote OUT

The **INCUMBENTS**
CAREER Politicians



Take Back America

[Print](#) [Close](#)

China Report Criticizes U.S. Human Rights Record

Published April 11, 2011 | Associated Press

China accused the U.S. on Monday of pushing for Internet freedom around the world as a way to undermine other nations, while noting that Washington's campaign against secret-spilling website WikiLeaks showed its own sensitivity to the free flow of information.

The charges appeared in China's annual report on Washington's human rights record, which lambasted the U.S. over issues ranging from homelessness and violent crime to the influence of money on politics and the negative effects of its foreign policy on civilians.

The lengthy document published in official newspapers is a rebuttal to the U.S. State Department's annual assessment of human rights around the world that said China stepped up restrictions on critics and tightened control of civil society in 2010 by limiting freedom of speech and Internet access.

The U.S. has also protested the detention of government critics including artist Ai Weiwei as part of a recent Chinese crackdown on dissent.

"We hereby advise the U.S. government to take concrete actions to improve its human rights conditions, check and rectify its acts in the human rights field, and stop the hegemonistic deeds of using human rights issues to interfere in other countries' internal affairs," the report said.

WikiLeaks deeply angered U.S. officials by publishing tens of thousands of secret U.S. military documents on the wars in Afghanistan and Iraq and secret U.S. diplomatic cables from around the world.

The U.S. Army private suspected of supplying thousands of sensitive files to WikiLeaks, 23-year-old Bradley Manning, is being held in military detention in solitary confinement for all but an hour every day. He was charged with mishandling and leaking classified data, and in early March the Army filed 22 new charges against him, including aiding the enemy.

The Chinese report said that action by U.S. government comes while it also calls for the free flow of electronic information elsewhere.

It said Washington "wants to practice diplomacy by other means, including the Internet, particularly the social networks."

The Chinese report cited figures showing high crime, child poverty and racial discrimination in the U.S., and accused Washington of causing "huge civilian casualties" in Iraq and Afghanistan.

The report pointed to the huge amount of money poured into last year's midterm congressional elections as a perversion of democracy, blasted Arizona's legislation on illegal immigration, and pointed to a women's bias lawsuit against Wal-Mart as evidence of continuing gender discrimination.



ADVERTISEMENT

EXHIBIT
"5"

CLEAN OUT CONGRESS 2010



AMERICANS

Take BACK Your
Country/Government
Come

November 2010

Vote OUT

The INCUMBENTS

CAREER Politicians



EXHIBIT
"6"

The DOWNFALL Of OBAMA Administration

Obampty Dumpty sat on the wall, Obampty and his Administration had a **GREAT FALL**. All of the PRESIDENT'S SPECIAL Interest Groups/Advisors and ALL the Government's men, **COULD NOT** put Obampty's Administration together again!




A **HIGH** Price to pay for **LOW** Standards, **GREED, PRIDE** and **INCOMPETENCE**

AMERICA take back YOUR GOVERNMENT



Here's to STAYING the COURSE

and Going DOWN
with
the BURNING SHIP!





SUMMONING

Obama's **SECRET**
DAMAGE CONTROL
WEAPON!



CHEERS!



Remember,
we're joined at
the hip - WE go
DOWN together!


Presidential Job Approval



Obama's POLL Ratings


How do YOU feel President Obama is doing as President of the United States?

Presidential Approval Index



POLL RESULTS

We think with your **PLUNGING** ratings in the polls, *we want out NOW while we can get employment elsewhere.* PETE ROUSE will pick up from where we're leaving!



Remember,
we're joined at
the hip – WE go
DOWN together!



**A President Who Knows Just
How To PIMP The United States
– CHANGE That Works
and BENEFITS HIM!!**

**PRESIDENT BARACK
HUSSEIN OBAMA:
THE PIMP YOU
CAN BELIEVE IN!!**



OBAMA CHEATING SCANDAL: SHOCKING NEW REPORTS



UPDATED: Reports out Washington, DC: **PRESIDENT OBAMA** in shocking cheating scandal after being caught in a Washington, DC Hotel with a former campaign aide.

A confidential investigati has learned that Obama first became close to gorgeous 35 year-old **VERA BAKER** in 2004 when she worked tirelessly to get him elected to the US Senate, raising millic in campaign contribution

While Baker has insister

Whatever you do DENY, DENY, DENY!

A Play Right Out Of The CLINTON PLAYAbook!!




Can the United States Afford Another SCANDAL In The White House?





A Play Right Out Of The CLINTON PLAYAbook!!



SPECIAL REPORT



Clinton

THE SORDID TALE THAT IMPERILS THE PRESIDENT

Published by Chronicle, 2001
Photo: Eric Lipton/ABC News
Photo: Newsweek/ABC News

BREAKING NEWS

OBAMA CHEATING SCANDAL: SHOCKING NEW REPORTS



UPDATED: Reports out Washington, DC: PRESIDENT OBAMA in shocking cheating scandal after being caught in a Washington, DC Hotel w a former campaign aide.

A confidential investigati has learned that Obama first became close to gorgeous 35 year-old VERA BAKER in 2004 when she worked tirelessly to get him elected to the US Senate, raising millic in campaign contribution

While Baker has insisted

ENQUIRER EXCLUSIVE

SEN. JOHN EDWARDS CAUGHT WITH MISTRESS AND LOVE CHILD!



Vice Presidential candidate Sen. John Edwards was caught visiting his mistress and secret love child at 2:40 this morning in a Los Angeles hotel by the NATIONAL ENQUIRER.

The married ex-senator from North Carolina - whose wife Elizabeth continues to battle cancer -- met with his mistress, blonde divorcee Rielle Hunter, at the Beverly Hilton on Monday night, July 21 - and the NATIONAL ENQUIRER was there! He didn't leave until early the next morning.

Can the United States Afford Another SCANDAL In The White House?

A Play Right Out Of The CLINTON PLAYAbook!!





Can the
United States
Afford
Another
SCANDAL In
The White
House?



A Play Right
Out Of The
CLINTON
PLAYAbook!!




To Mexico - Happy Birthday! Bill Clinton
7-23-97

STANDING BY YOUR MAN!



**THROUGH
The
SCANDAL -
Call on the
CLOSERS!**



**What do you mean -
STAND BY YOUR
MAN!**



WHO IS WILLING TO STOP THE United States'

Terrorists and Supremacists Acts

When United States
Citizens Won't?



TELL OBAMA



NO

More

BULLYING!





9/11

Was **PLANNED** and **TRAINED** for
under President **“Bill CLINTON’s”**
WATCH!



EXECUTED under President
“George W. BUSH’s” WATCH!



What’s in
STORE under
President
**“Barack
Obama’s”**
WATCH!





This is the BEST COVER-
UP carried out!

We DON'T care about The
BLOOD SHED. Just
think how **RICH** we will be
when we go in after the
resources – **oil, gold,**
currency...!!

9/11





A HIGH Price
to PAY for
GREED!





Just as long as it's **NOT** OUR
HUSBAND, WIFE, MOTHER,
FATHER, SISTER, BROTHER or
CHILD(ren) – Who CARES? We'll be
RICH when it's all over!!

**A HIGH Price
To Pay For
GOVERNMENT
CORRUPTION and
COVER-UP!**



A HIGH PRICE TO PAY FOR GOVERNMENT CORRUPTION AND COVER-UP!



Just as long as it's **NOT** OUR HUSBAND, WIFE,
MOTHER, FATHER, SISTER, BROTHER or CHILD(ren) –
Who CARES? We'll be RICH when it's all over!!

**This is NO Laughing
Matter!!**





WEAPONS



OF



MASS

DESTRUCTION

Sounds GOOD. Let's use this LIE!
We'll be "FILTHY RICH" before the American
People and Foreign Citizens/Leaders know
what HIT them!



OF MASS



WEAPONS



And we LAUGHED when Saddam Hussein was prosecuted for Crimes!

DESTRUCTION

America, help bring these criminals to justice for their WAR CRIMES!



I got the **WHOLE** World eating out of my hands!



OBAMA is just an EXTENSION Of the BUSH Administration, PRACTICES and POLICIES!



BLACKWATER

I'm sorry, I can't hear you over the sound of how awesome I am

WARS OF THE COLOSSAL BEAST





DRINK UP America.
Obama has
MORE than
Coffee to serve you!

OBAMA'S
WARS





**The United States’
CITIZENS
Can NEVER know the
TRUE
reasons behind the Iran,
Iraq and Afghanistan
WARS!**



Big smile Mr. Cheney... say "Halliburton War Profits"



What a MOCKERY!

Goliath/United States

Taken DOWN -

Fighting A LOSING Battle!

What a PRICE to Pay for GREED!



Construction Workers...
BUILD AND FIGHT FOR PROFIT-

Join

HALLIBURTON



Confession Video: US Soldier Describes Thrill Kill of Innocent Afghans

Corporal, 22, Tells How His 'Crazy' Sergeant Allegedly Murdered For Kicks, Collected Body Parts

By MATTHEW COLE and BRIAN ROSS

Sept. 27, 2010—



THE PENTAGON, May 20, 2010

U.S. Soldiers Accused in Afghan Civilian Murders

Squad of 10 Soldiers Under Investigation in Deaths of 3 Villagers Who Angered Troops; Charges Could be Filed Next Week



THE PENTAGON, Sept. 8, 2010

U.S. Soldiers Charged in Afghan Civilian Murders

Five Soldiers Accused of Murdering Afghan Civilians Just Because They Could; Seven More Involved in Cover-Up



**SHHHHH - - KEEPING the
PROFITs All in the
FAMILY!
BLOOD is “Thicker” and
BINDING!**


**We can always get another
ship - There's enough for
the BOTH of us!!**





Have you told Barack
Obama that he is
**BLOOD Related – our
RELATIVE?**

**Birther, GROOMED and
HANDPICKED for such a
TIME as this – He'll stick
with HERITAGE!**





Obama Was HANDPICKED To Be The First "Alleged"

African-American President Because Of His ROOTS/HERITAGE!

All in the family: Cheney and Obama related
By:



Obama is sworn into the Senate by his eighth cousin, Vice President Cheney.

WASHINGTON (CNN) – Go back far enough, the saying goes, and everyone's related.

But could it be possible that Democratic presidential candidate Barack Obama and Vice President Dick Cheney share a common ancestor?

Cheney's wife Lynne says yes.

In an interview on MSNBC Tuesday afternoon, Mrs. Cheney said that in the course of researching her husband's genealogy for her new book, "Blue Skies, No Fences," she discovered that the two public figures share an ancestor eight generations ago.

"Think about this," Mrs. Cheney said. "This is such an amazing American story that one ancestor, a man that came to Maryland, could be responsible down the family line for lives that have taken such different and varied paths: as Dick's and Barack Obama's."

Mrs. Cheney's spokeswoman Ginny Justice gave CNN's Ed Henry more details, saying the two unlikely relatives are related through a French Huguenot named Mareen Duvall. Cheney and Obama are both descendants of her.

According to Justice, the vice president is related to her through "the first" Richard Cheney, who came to America in the 1650's. His granddaughter married Samuel Duvall, son of Mareen Duvall.

But is blood thicker than the partisan waters of Washington? Apparently not. Even though Obama is related to her husband, Mrs. Cheney said she is not supporting the Illinois Democrat's White House bid.

Reacting to the news, Obama's campaign spokesman Bill Burton told CNN's Dana Bash with tongue in cheek, "Obviously, Dick Cheney is sort of the black sheep of the family."

But Obama's family ties to the Bush administration don't stop with Cheney. According to an article in the Chicago Sun-Times last September, Obama is also an 11th cousin of the president himself. The two share a 17th century Massachusetts relative.



Transcript: U.S. has 'hostility against our people,' Ahmadinejad says

Andrea Mitchell: --the constructs of the United States Constitution. But what would you like to hear from President Obama? And what would you like to say to him?

President Ahmadinejad: The Constitution is already on the [unintel]. What about the political scene? The reality on the ground? Is he able to do everything he wishes to? Personally, it's not true. There are different political group, there are a lo-- different lobbyist pressure groups, and more important, there are Zionists there. We say, if he wants to do something, there are certain groups who do not allow him to do so. We think-- President Obama had a lot of good opportunities. For example, including the nuclear swap. Last year, during my visit to New York, I said I am ready to have a debate with President Obama-- in the presence of the media. That was another opportunity. We said





Rahm Emanuel Leaves

*Baker Donelson Bearman
Caldwell & Berkowitz
Replaces Rahm with Pete
Rouse*

01 October 2010

Asian American Named Obama's Top Aide

By Bridget Hunter
Staff Writer



Interim White House Chief of Staff Pete Rouse

Washington — An Asian American is President Obama's choice to fill — at least temporarily — a post that has been described as the second most powerful job in U.S. government.

The president announced October 1 that longtime counselor Pete Rouse will serve as interim chief of staff following the departure of Rahm Emanuel, whom Obama laughingly described as "leaving his post today to explore other opportunities." Media outlets across the country have reported Emanuel will be a mayoral candidate in the city of Chicago in 2011.

"As difficult as it is to leave, I do so with the great comfort of knowing that Pete Rouse will be there to lead the operation forward," Emanuel said. "From the moment I arrived, and the moment he arrived,

A veteran aide to former Senate Majority Leader Tom Daschle (D-S.D.), Rouse joined the Senate office of Barack Obama in 2004, shortly after Daschle lost his reelection bid. Rouse continued advising Obama through the first two years of his presidency, and in 2010, he was named temporary White House chief of staff as Rahm Emanuel departed to run for Chicago mayor.

Occasionally called the 101st senator during his time on Capitol Hill, Rouse began working in the House in 1973, and has a large network of friends in high places, including Daschle and Senate Majority Whip Dick Durbin (D-Ill.), for whom Rouse worked as chief of staff.

But most of Rouse's career was spent working for Daschle, who was leader of Senate Democrats for 10 of his 18 years in the Senate — all 18 of which he spent with Rouse at his side. When Daschle lost his seat in 2004, Rouse moved into the office of Obama, who had just been elected senator.

SHUT UP and listen to me! I have to **BAIL** out now while I can still get a job. *Thanks for fronting me on the CHICAGO Mayor excuse* – It's been nice **CALLING THE SHOTS** and **RUNNING the WHITE HOUSE!** Hopefully, Baker Donelson can use me! Nah Nah Nah Nah – I got the LAST LAUGH!!





Who's RUNNING The White House U.S. Government INTO the GROUND?

*Baker Donelson
Bearman Caldwell &
Berkowitz*

Current and former Baker Donelson attorneys and advisors include, among many other highly distinguished individuals, people who have served as: *Chief of Staff to the President of the United States*; U.S. Senate Majority Leader, U.S. Secretary of State; Members of the United States Senate; Members of the United States House of Representatives; Acting Administrator and Deputy Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration; Director of the Office of Foreign Assets Control for the U.S. Department of the Treasury; Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts; Chief Counsel, Acting Director, and Acting Deputy Director of U.S. Citizenship & Immigration Services within the United States Department of Homeland Security; Majority and Minority Staff Director of the Senate Committee on Appropriations; a member of President's Domestic Policy Council; Counselor to the Deputy Secretary for the United States Department of HHS; *Chief of Staff of the Supreme Court of the United States*; Administrative Assistant to the Chief Justice of the United States; Deputy Under Secretary for International Trade for the U.S. Department of Commerce; Ambassador to Japan; Ambassador to Turkey; Ambassador to Saudi Arabia; Ambassador to the Sultanate of Oman; Governor of Tennessee; Governor of Mississippi; Deputy Governor and Chief of Staff for the Governor of Tennessee; Commissioner of Finance & Administration (Chief Operating Officer), State of Tennessee; Special Counselor to the Governor of Virginia; United States Circuit Court of Appeals Judge; United States District Court Judges; United States Attorneys; and *Presidents of State and Local Bar Associations.*





Tom Daschle

Current Position: Policy Adviser, DLA Piper (since December 2009)



Why He Matters


On Feb. 3, 2009, Daschle withdrew his name from consideration as Barack Obama's nominee for secretary of the Health and Human Services Department after he revealed that he owed until recently \$140,000 in back taxes for use of a limousine and driver provided by a business associate. Obama had tasked Daschle to spearhead a massive effort to reform health care in the United States and, as such, head the new White House Office of Health Reform.


Credit: Washington Post

Table of Contents

1. Why He Matters
2. Air 2 Obama

Daschle's wife, Linda Daschle, who worked for the Federal Aviation Administration under President Clinton, is a prominent lobbyist for Baker Donelson. Her 2008 clients included Boeing Co., Lockheed Martin and Norfolk Southern.





Tom Daschle

Current Position: Policy Adviser, DLA Piper (since December 2009)



Why He Matters

On Feb. 3, 2009, Daschle withdrew his name from consideration as Barack Obama's nominee for secretary of the Health and Human Services Department after he revealed that he owed until recently \$140,000 in back taxes for use of a limousine and driver provided by a business associate. Obama had asked Daschle to spearhead a massive effort to reform health care in the United States and, as such, head the new White House Office of Health Reform.

Credit: Washington Post

Table of Contents

1. Why He Matters
2. At a Glance

was the third of Obama's high-profile nominees to disclose tax problems. Treasury Secretary Timothy Geithner was confirmed despite owing \$43,000 in back taxes from work at the IMF, and Nancy Killefer, Obama's nominee for chief performance officer, withdrew her nomination because of her failure to pay unemployment compensation for a household employee.

Daschle's wife, Linda Daschle, who worked for the Federal Aviation Administration under President Clinton, is a prominent lobbyist for Baker Donelson. Her 2008 clients included Boeing Co., Lockheed Martin and Norfolk Southern.



Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC, is ranked by The National Law Journal as one of the 100 largest law firms in the country. Through strategic acquisitions and mergers over the past century, the Firm has grown to include more than 550 attorneys and public policy and international advisors. Baker Donelson has offices located in five states in the southern U.S. as well as Washington, D.C., plus a representative office in London, England.

Current and former Baker Donelson attorneys and advisors include, among many other highly distinguished individuals, people who have served as: **Chief of Staff to the President of the United States; U.S. Senate Majority Leader; U.S. Secretary of State; Members of the United States Senate; Members of the United States House of Representatives; Acting Administrator and Deputy Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration; Director of the Office of Foreign Assets Control for the U.S. Department of the Treasury; Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts; Chief Counsel, Acting Director, and Acting Deputy Director of U.S. Citizenship & Immigration Services within the United States Department of Homeland Security; Majority and Minority Staff Director of the Senate Committee on Appropriations; a member of President's Domestic Policy Council; Counselor to the Deputy Secretary for the United States Department of HHS; Chief of Staff of the Supreme Court of the United States; Administrative Assistant to the Chief Justice of the United States; Deputy Under Secretary for International Trade for the U.S. Department of Commerce; Ambassador to Japan; Ambassador to Turkey; Ambassador to Saudi Arabia; Ambassador to the Sultanate of Oman; Governor of Tennessee; Governor of Mississippi; Deputy Governor and Chief of Staff for the Governor of Tennessee; Commissioner of Finance & Administration (Chief Operating Officer), State of Tennessee; Special Counselor to the Governor of Virginia; United States Circuit Court of Appeals Judge; United**



THE THREE STOOGES



MOE



LARRY



CURLEY

Who's RUNNING The White House U.S. Government INTO the GROUND?

Baker Donelson

Bearman Caldwell &

Berkowitz's and its

STOOGES!



Current and former Baker Donelson attorneys and advisors include, among many other highly distinguished individuals, people who have served as: *Chief of Staff to the President of the United States; U.S. Senate Majority Leader; U.S. Secretary of State; Members of the United States Senate; Members of the United States House of Representatives; Acting Administrator and Deputy Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration; Director of the Office of Foreign Assets Control for the U.S. Department of the Treasury; Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts; Chief Counsel, Acting Director, and Acting Deputy Director of U.S. Citizenship & Immigration Services within the United States Department of Homeland Security; Majority and Minority Staff Director of the Senate Committee on Appropriations; a member of President's Domestic Policy Council; Counselor to the Deputy Secretary for the United States Department of HHS; Chief of Staff of the Supreme Court of the United States; Administrative Assistant to the Chief Justice of the United States; Deputy Under Secretary for International Trade for the U.S. Department of Commerce; Ambassador to Japan; Ambassador to Turkey; Ambassador to Saudi Arabia; Ambassador to the Sultanate of Oman; Governor of Tennessee; Governor of Mississippi; Deputy Governor and Chief of Staff for the Governor of Tennessee; Commissioner of Finance & Administration (Chief Operating Officer), State of Tennessee; Special Counselor to the Governor of Virginia; United States Circuit Court of Appeals Judge; United States District Court Judges; United States Attorneys; and Presidents of State and Local Bar Associations.*

WHO'S RUNNING our Government?



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

HOME / CAMPAIGN FINANCE REPORTS AND DATA / PRESIDENTIAL REPORTS / 2007 OCTOBER
 QUARTERLY / REPORT FOR C00431445 / CONTRIBUTIONS BY EMPLOYER

WHITE HOUSE and GOVERNMENT "For SALE"

CONTRIBUTIONS BY EMPLOYER

OBAMA FOR AMERICA

BAKER, DONELSON	1,000.00
LIBERTY MUTUAL	50.00
LIBERTY MUTUAL GROUP	500.00
LIBERTY MUTUAL SURETY	100.00

\$6,600 from 9 Baker Donelson employees (4.2%) to Barack Obama

\$6,000 from 13 Baker Donelson employees (6.1%) to Roger Wicker

\$5,000 from 1 Baker Donelson employee (0.5%) to Thomas Daschle

\$4,000 from 7 Baker Donelson employees (3.3%) to Patty Murray

\$2,750 from 5 Baker Donelson employees (2.3%) to Jo Ann Emerson

\$2,500 from 3 Baker Donelson employees (1.4%) to Mitch McConnell

\$2,500 from 3 Baker Donelson employees (1.4%) to Jim Cooper

\$2,500 from 4 Baker Donelson employees (1.9%) to Tim Johnson

\$2,250 from 4 Baker Donelson employees (1.9%) to Artur Davis

\$2,000 from 2 Baker Donelson employees (0.9%) to Maria Cantwell



\$1,250 from 2 Baker Donelson employees (0.9%) to Hillary Clinton

\$1,250 from 2 Baker Donelson employees (0.9%) to Bob Corker

\$1,000 from 1 Baker Donelson employee (0.5%) to Byron Dorgan

\$1,000 from 2 Baker Donelson employees (0.9%) to Rahm Emanuel

\$1,000 from 1 Baker Donelson employee (0.5%) to Claire McCaskill

\$1,000 from 1 Baker Donelson employee (0.5%) to Mary Landrieu

WHO'S RUNNING our Government?

BAKER DONELSON BEARMAN, CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, PC

Commission on Civil Rights Appointment

Bradley S. Clanton

May 10, 2007

(Jackson, MS May 10, 2007) Bradley S. Clanton, of the law firm of Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC, has been appointed by the United States Commission on Civil Rights (USCCR) to serve as Chairman of its Mississippi Advisory Committee.

The Committee assists the USCCR with its fact-finding, investigative and information dissemination activities. The functions of the USCCR include investigating complaints alleging that citizens are being deprived of their right to vote by reason of their race, color, religion, sex, age, disability or national origin, or by reason of fraudulent practices; studying and collecting information relating to discrimination or a denial of equal protection of the laws under the Constitution; appraising federal laws and policies with respect to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws because of race, color, religion, sex, age, disability or national origin, or in the administration of justice; serving as a national clearinghouse for information in respect to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws; submitting reports, findings and recommendations to the President and Congress; and issuing public service announcements to discourage discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws.

Mr. Clanton, a shareholder in Baker Donelson's Jackson and Washington, D.C. offices, concentrates his practice in government litigation, securities and other fraud investigations, and litigation, election law and appeals. His appellate practice has included matters before the U.S. Supreme Court, U.S. Courts of Appeals, the Mississippi Supreme Court and Court of Appeals, and various other state appellate courts. His internal investigations and government litigation practice has included matters related to Securities and Exchange Commission investigations, health care fraud investigations, federal campaign finance investigations, and state and federal securities fraud class action litigation and arbitration proceedings. Previously, Mr. Clanton served as Chief Counsel to the U.S. House Judiciary Committee's Subcommittee on the Constitution, where his responsibilities included advising the Chairman and Republican Members of the Judiciary Committee on legislation and Congressional oversight implicating civil and constitutional rights, Congressional authority, separation of powers, proposed constitutional amendments and oversight of the Civil Rights Division of the Department of Justice and the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.




Current and former Baker Donelson attorneys and advisors include, among many other highly distinguished individuals, people who have served as: Chief of Staff to the President of the United States; U.S. Senate Majority Leader; U.S. Secretary of State; Members of the United States Senate; Members of the United States House of Representatives; Acting Administrator and Deputy Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration; Director of the Office of Foreign Assets Control for the U.S. Department of the Treasury; Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts; Chief Counsel, Acting Director, and Acting Deputy Director of U.S. Citizenship & Immigration Services within the United States Department of Homeland Security; Majority and Minority Staff Director of the Senate Committee on Appropriations; a member of President's Domestic Policy Council; Counselor to the Deputy Secretary for the United States Department of HHS; Chief of Staff of the Supreme Court of the United States; Administrative Assistant to the Chief Justice of the United States; Deputy Under Secretary for International Trade for the U.S. Department of Commerce; Ambassador to Japan; Ambassador to Turkey; Ambassador to Saudi Arabia; Ambassador to the Sultanate of Oman; Governor of Tennessee; Governor of Mississippi; Deputy Governor and Chief of Staff for the Governor of Tennessee; Commissioner of Finance & Administration (Chief Operating Officer), State of Tennessee; Special Counselor to the Governor of Virginia; United States Circuit Court of Appeals Judge; United States District Court Judges; United States Attorneys; and Presidents of State and Local Bar Associations.

WHO'S RUNNING our Government?

Winter 2008 Volume 14, Number 1

Government Affairs YELLOW BOOK

who's who in
government affairs



LEADERSHIP DIRECTORIES, INC.

Web Site: www.leadershipdirectories.com
E-mail: info@leadershipdirectories.com

New York Office
104 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10011
(212) 627-4140
Fax (212) 643-0931

Washington, DC Office
1001 G Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20001
(202) 347-7757
Fax (202) 628-3430

Key Personnel Changes - continued

Government Affairs Representatives - continued

Arnold & Porter LLP

James W. Cooper

Partner

(Formerly Deputy Chief, Criminal Division, District of Columbia District, United States Department of Justice)

Baker & McKenzie

Paul J. McNulty

Partner

(Formerly Deputy Attorney General, Office of the Attorney General, United States Department of Justice)

Baker, Donelson, Bearman,
Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC

Lance B. Leggett

Shareholder and Chair, Federal Health Policy

(Formerly Senior White House Advisor to the President, Executive Office of the President)

Brown Rudnick Berlick Israels LLP

Henry W. Mann III

Principal

(Formerly Director, Government Affairs and Public Policy, Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.)

Casidy & Associates

Ambassador Robin L. Rappold

Senior Vice President

(Formerly Deputy Inspector General, Office of the Special Inspector General for Iraq Reconstruction, United States Department of State)

Clark & Weststock

Peg McClintock

Director

(Formerly Chief of Staff to U.S. Representative Timothy J. Wiltz (D-MN), United States House of Representatives)

DLA Piper US LLP

Thomas M. Boyd

Partner and Co-Chair, Government Affairs Practice Group (Formerly Partner and Group Leader, Legislative and Public Policy Group, Alston & Bird LLP)

William P. Cook

Partner

(Formerly Partner, Alston & Bird LLP)

Peter R. Zandenberg

Partner

(Formerly Trial Attorney, Public Integrity Section, Criminal Division, United States Department of Justice)

Dew Loehne Government
Strategies LLC

Jessica Leonard

Vice President

(Formerly Aide to Assistant Majority Leader Richard J. Durbin (D-IL), United States Senate)

Edeleman

Anthony D. Blankley

Executive Vice President, Global Public Affairs

(Formerly Editorial Page Editor, The Washington Times)

WHO'S RUNNING our Government?

Obama's BIG \$MONEY Interest GROUPS -

WHITE HOUSE and
GOVERNMENT
"For Sale"

BAKER DONELSON BEARMAN, CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, PC

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC 
Size of Organization: 550
Year Established: 1888
Main Office: Memphis, Tennessee
Web Site: <http://www.bakerdonelson.com>

Telephone: 901-526-2000
Telex: 901-577-2303



Law Firm Snapshot

HarvardLAWHubbels has augmented a firm's provided information with third-party sourced data to present a more comprehensive overview of the firm's expertise.

Profile Visibility

#10 in weekly profile views out of 202,750 total law firms Overall



[See Detailed Analytics About Us](#)



Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC, is ranked by The National Law Journal as one of the 100 largest law firms in the country. Through strategic acquisitions and mergers over the past century, the Firm has grown to include more than 550 attorneys and public policy and international advisors. Baker Donelson has offices located in five states in the southern U.S., as well as Washington, D.C., plus a representative office in London, England.

Current and former Baker Donelson attorneys and advisors include, among many other highly distinguished individuals, people who have served as: Chief of Staff to the President of the United States; U.S. Senate Majority Leader; U.S. Secretary of State; Members of the United States Senate; Members of the United States House of Representatives; Acting Administrator and Deputy Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration; Director of the Office of Foreign Assets Control for the U.S. Department of the Treasury; Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts; Chief Counsel, Acting Director, and Acting Deputy Director of U.S. Citizenship & Immigration Services within the United States Department of Homeland Security; Majority and Minority Staff Director of the Senate Committee on Appropriations; a member of President's Domestic Policy Council; Counselor to the Deputy Secretary for the United States Department of HHS; Chief of Staff of the Supreme Court of the United States; Administrative Assistant to the Chief Justice of the United States; Deputy Under Secretary for International Trade for the U.S. Department of Commerce; Ambassador to Japan; Ambassador to Turkey; Ambassador to Saudi Arabia; Ambassador to the Sultanate of Oman; Governor of Tennessee; Governor of Mississippi; Deputy Governor and Chief of Staff for the Governor of Tennessee; Commissioner of Finance & Administration (Chief Operating Officer), State of Tennessee; Special Counselor to the Governor of Virginia; United States Circuit Court of Appeals Judge; United States District Court Judge; United States Attorneys; and Presidents of State and Local Bar Associations.

WHO'S RUNNING our Courts?

State Supreme Court Clerks

- Jonathan Geisler, Alabama Supreme Court, Honorable Harold F. See
- Steven Griffin Jr., Louisiana Supreme Court, Honorable Pascal Calogero, Chief Justice
- Mary Ann Jackson, Arkansas Supreme Court, Honorable Robert Brown
- George Lewis, Tennessee Supreme Court, Honorable Frank Drowatz
- Stacy Thomas, Mississippi Supreme Court, Honorable Dan M. Lee
- Wendy Thompson, Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, Honorable Ilsema H. Bonardola
- Sandi S. Varnado, Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, Honorable James L. Dennis
- Michael F. Welner, Louisiana Supreme Court, Honorable James L. Dennis
- Anne Winter, Mississippi Supreme Court, Honorable Neville Patterson
- Adam Zuckerman, Louisiana Supreme Court, Honorable Pascal Calogero, Chief Justice



Federal Court Clerks

- Gerardo R. Barrros, Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, Judge Robert R. Beeler
- Amy Champagne, Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, Honorable W. Eugene Davis
- Bradley Chilton, Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals Appellate Clerk, Honorable David A. Nelson
- Spencer Cliff, U.S. Bankruptcy Court, Western District of Tennessee, Honorable David S. Kennedy
- Warria Cravenew, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, Honorable Stanwood B. Duval, Jr.
- Angie Davis, First Court of Appeals, Houston, Texas, Honorable Sam Nudchia
- James Delanis, Sixth Circuit Court, Davidson County, Tennessee, Honorable James M. Swiggart
- William Fones, Court of Appeals for Federal Circuit, Honorable Marion T. Bennett
- Jonathan Green, Court of appeals for Eleventh Circuit
- W. Patton Hahn, U.S. Court of Federal Claims, Honorable Eric G. Bruggink
- Thomas Helton, Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals, Honorable Paul C. Weick, Chief Judge
- Aubrey "Copper" Hirsch, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, Chief Judge Frederick Hasbe
- Steven W. King, Tennessee Court of Criminal Appeals, Judge Wade Meyer
- Lynn Landau, Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals, Honorable James C. Hill
- Ronald Range, Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals, Honorable H. Emory Wadener, Jr.
- William Reed, Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, Honorable Elbert P. Tuttle

State Court of Appeals Clerks

- Allisa J. Allison, U.S. District Court, Northern District of Mississippi, Judge L. T. Senter
- Adam Baldridge, U.S. District Court, Western District of Tennessee, Honorable I. Daniel Bress
- Brian M. Bailly, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, Judge Carl J. Bamler
- Sam Blair, Tennessee Court of Appeals, Western Section, Honorable W. Frank Crawford
- John Burns, Tennessee Court of Appeals (Staff Attorney)
- Laura Clark, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, Judge Morry L. Skar
- Laura Clark, U.S. District Court, Middle District of North Carolina, Judge P. Trevor Shapiro
- Craig Conley, Tennessee Court of Appeals, Honorable W. Frank Crawford
- Jay Ebehar, Tennessee Court of Appeals, Honorable Holly M. Kirby
- Doreen Edelman, Circuit Court of Prince Georges County, Maryland, Honorable William McCullough, Chief Judge
- William Fones, U.S. District Court, Honorable Judith Yannaio
- Deziree Franklin, Tennessee Court of Appeals, Honorable Charles E. Neam
- Russell Gray, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Tennessee, Honorable Allan Edgar
- Russell Headrick, U.S. District Court, Western District of Tennessee, Honorable Harry W. Wellford
- Thomas Helton, U.S. District Court, Middle District Court, Honorable Frank Gray, Jr.
- John Hicks, Tennessee Chancery Court, Shelby County, Honorable George T. Lewis, Jr.
- Camron Hill, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Tennessee, Honorable Curtis L. Collier

Obama's BIG \$MONEY Interest GROUPS -
JUDGES and JUSTICES
"For SALE"

Baker Donelson - Supreme Court Clerks

- J. Forrest Hinton, U.S. District Court, Southern District of Alabama, Honorable Virgil Pittman
- Aubrey "Copper" Hirsch, Louisiana Third Circuit Court of Appeals, Appellate Clerk, Judge William A. Culpepper
- Frank James, U.S. District Court, Southern District of Alabama, Honorable Virgil Pittman
- Brandon Jolly, United States District Judge for the Southern District of Mississippi, Judge William H. Barbour, Jr.
- Stephen Kennedy, U.S. District Court, Southern District of Mississippi, Honorable Tom S. Lee, Chief Judge
- Kenneth Klemm, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, Judge George Arceles, Jr.
- William Lawrence, U.S. District Court, Northern District of Alabama, Honorable Robert B. Propst, (also acting by designation on 11th Circuit)
- C. Lee Lott, U.S. District Court, Northern District of Mississippi, Honorable Glen H. Davison
- Randal Mashburn, Tennessee Court of Appeals, Honorable Lewis H. Conner Jr.
- Brett McCall, Mississippi Court of Appeals, Honorable David Isaacs
- Eastern District of Kentucky, Honorable Joseph M. Hood
- Middle District of Tennessee, Honorable Robert L. Echols, Chief Judge
- Western District of Tennessee, Honorable David S. Kennedy
- Carla Pecher-Ryan, Tennessee Court of Appeals, Honorable Charles E. Neam
- Kathryn Perez, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, Honorable Henry A. Wentz Jr.
- Paul Peyronnin, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, Honorable Henry A. Wentz, Jr.
- Andrew Potts, U.S. Bankruptcy Court, Southern District of Alabama, Honorable Gordon B. Kahn, Chief Judge
- Joshua Powers, Shelby County, Tennessee Circuit Court, Honorable Janice Holder
- Frederick N. Salvo, III, U.S. District Court, Southern District of Mississippi, Honorable John M. Roeder, Chief U.S. Magistrate
- Carolyn Schott, Second Judicial Circuit Court, Berrien County Michigan, Honorable Ronald J. Taylor & Honorable Cooper O. Grathwohl
- Gary Shockley, Tennessee Court of Appeals
- Alan Lee Smith, Mississippi Court of Appeals
- D. Nathan Smith, Mississippi Court of Appeals, Honorable Donna Barnes
- Will Somerville, U.S. District Court, Northern District of Alabama, Honorable Seylaourne H. Lynne
- Eric Thieszen, U.S. District Court, Western District of Virginia, Honorable Cynthia D. Kinser, Magistrate (currently Justice, Supreme Ct. of VA)
- Susan Wagner, U.S. District Court, Northern District of Alabama, Honorable Sam C. Rohter, Jr.
- William West, Tennessee Court of Appeals, Honorable Kirby Matheme
- Adam Zuckerman, U.S. Bankruptcy Court, Eastern Division, United States Trustee

money in politics

a project of Ohio Citizens Action

Director Catherine Turner ★ 614.321.6677 ★ clintoncollitzell.com



Thomas J. Meyer
Supreme Court Justice
Republican

Amount Raised 11/15/03-11/30/04
\$1,509,417

Average Individual Contribution: \$261.26
Individual Contributions less than \$200: 2,103
Individual Contributions \$200 or more: 1,310

Top Organizational Contributors to Thomas Meyer

Rank	Organization	Economic Sector	Amount
1	Chicot Financial	Insurance	\$29,045
2	Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease	Lawyers	\$21,076
3	Jones Day	Lawyers	\$21,245
4	Nationwide	Insurance	\$21,337
5	FirstEnergy	Energy & Resources	\$20,350
6	Bank & Commerce	Lawyers	\$19,080
7	American Financial Group	Insurance	\$16,000
8	Baker & Hostetler	Lawyers	\$15,400
9	Proffitt, Wright, Morris & Arnold	Lawyers	\$14,330
10	Friend, Frazier & Arnold	Lawyers	\$14,540

Organizational funds include PACs and employees.
Funds include monetary and in-kind contributions.

Top Economic Sectors to Thomas Meyer

Rank	Economic Sector	Amount
1	Lawyers	\$462,516
2	Insurance	\$221,241
3	Health	\$104,234

money in politics

a project of Ohio Citizens Action

Director Catherine Turner ★ 614.321.6677 ★ clintoncollitzell.com



Maureen O'Connor
Supreme Court Justice
Republican

Amount Raised 2/14/02-10/31/02
\$1,736,852

Average Individual Contribution: \$213.90
Individual Contributions less than \$200: 2,845
Individual Contributions \$200 or more: 1,071

Top Organizational Contributors to Maureen O'Connor

Rank	Organization	Economic Sector	Amount
1	Chicot Financial	Insurance	\$43,445
2	American Financial Group	Lawyers	\$20,900
3	Trinkler	Manufacturing	\$13,350
4	Jones Day	Lawyers	\$12,790
5	FirstEnergy	Energy & Resources	\$11,600
6	Boch Companies	Energy & Resources	\$11,000
7	Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease	Lawyers	\$10,073
8	Nationwide	Insurance	\$9,850
9	Bricker & Eckler	Lawyers	\$9,400
10	Fifth Third Bank	Finance	\$8,750

Organizational funds include PACs and employees.
Funds include monetary and in-kind contributions.

Top Economic Sectors to Maureen O'Connor

Rank	Economic Sector	Amount
1	Health	\$331,830
2	Ideological	\$265,234
3	Insurance	\$237,071

WHO'S RUNNING OUR COURTS?

**LIBERTY
MUTUAL'S**

Attorneys

JUDGES and

JUSTICES

“For SALE”

Ask our Consultants

Send us a request via email for a specific type of Agreement and our experts will do the search for you
Browse by Company > [Liberty Mutual Insurance Company - Agreement Preview](#)

Agreement#: AG-443976

Pages: 115 pages

Format: MS Word Compatible

Price: \$35.00

Click the "Add To Cart" button to download the full agreement.

Add To Cart

See other similar agreements:

- [Liquidation Agreements](#)

Third Amended Joint Plan of Liquidation

Effective Date: December 05, 2002

Parties:

[Borden Chemicals & Plastics](#)

Sectors: [Materials and Construction](#)

Law Firms:

[Baker & Hostetler](#), [Blank Rome](#), [Reed Smith](#), [Diane Morris](#), [Jones Day](#), [Kramer Levin Nafzits & Frankel](#), [Snell Ewing](#), [Vorys Sater](#), [Seymour and Pease](#)

OHIO Supreme Court Justices

money in politics

a project of Ohio Citizens Action

Director Catherine Lincer ★ 614.281.6677 ★ www.ohiocitizensaction.org



Evelyn Stratton

Supreme Court Justice
Republican

Amount Raised 1/20/02-10/23/02:
\$1,899,937

Average Individual Contribution: \$239.99
Individual Contributions less than \$200: 3,003
Individual Contributions \$200 or more: 2,022

Top Organizational Contributors to Evelyn Stratton

Rank	Organization	Economic Sector	Amount
1	Chadroni Financial	Insurance	\$44,445
2	FirstEnergy	Energy & Resources	\$32,578
3	30965 Day	Lawyers	\$20,790
4	State Farm Insurance	Insurance	\$16,795
5	Voys, Stiles, Stewart & Pines	Lawyers	\$16,000
6	Nationwide	Insurance	\$13,350
7	Timken	Manufacturing	\$13,350
8	Norman Manufacturing	Manufacturing	\$13,200
9	Chitas	Manufacturing	\$12,100
10	Frost Brown Todd	Lawyers	\$12,000

Organizational totals include PACs and employees.
Totals include monetary and in-kind contributions.

Top Economic Sectors to Evelyn Stratton

Rank	Economic Sector	Amount
1	Health	\$392,229
2	Lawyer	\$313,430
3	Idological	\$249,857
4	Insurance	\$266,643

OHIO Supreme Court Justices


WHO'S

RUNNING OUR

COURTS?

LIBERTY MUTUAL'S

Attorneys



Frost Brown Todd LLC

**Frost
Brown Todd** LLC

Frost Brown Todd LLC (Frost & Jacobs LLP) (Brown, Todd & Heyburn PLLC Cincinnati, Ohio Office
[View all offices](http://www.frostbrowntodd.com)

2200 PNC Center, 201 East Fifth Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202-4182
(Hamilton Co.)

Telephone: 513-651-6800
Telecopier: 513-651-6981
<http://www.frostbrowntodd.com>

Year Established: 1919

Representative Clients:

AAF-McQuay Inc.; AEGION USA Realty Advisers, Inc.; AIG Insurance Group; AK Steel Corporation; Anthem Health Plans; Broadwing Inc.; Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corporation; Catholic Healthcare Partners; Central Bank & Trust Company; Onapathorp plc; Chiquita Brands International; ClubLink Corporation; Convergys Corporation; Coolmore Castlehyde and Associated Stud Farms; Federated Department Stores, Inc.; Firststar/U.S. Bank; Taca Bell Franchise Management Advisory Council (FRANMAC); General Electric Company; ICI Paints World Group; Jewish Hospital Healthcare Services, Inc.; LensCrafters, Inc.; Lewis-Nexis; LG&E Energy Corp.; Liberty Mutual Insurance Group; Lightyear Communications, Inc.; MidAmerica Bancorp; New Horizon Resources, Inc.; RTS Development Company; OneBeacon Insurance Group; Progressive Insurance Company; PNC Bank Corp. and PNC Bank; Ras-Care, Inc.; The Rouse Companies, LLC; Services Net Incorporated; Smithline Beecham Clinical Lab; Target Corporation; Turner Construction Company; Unified Foodservice Purchasing Co-op, LLC; United Parcel Service; Vinco International Inc.; Waste Management of North America; Western Southern Life Insurance Company.

money in politics

a project of Ohio Citizens Action

Director Catherine Lincer ★ 614.281.6677 ★ www.ohiocitizensaction.org



Robert Cupp

Supreme Court Justice
Republican

Amount Raised 1/1/06-12/31/06: **\$999,150**

Average Individual Contribution: \$233.06
Individual Contributions less than \$200: 1,568
Individual Contributions \$200 or more: 854

Top Organizational Contributors to Robert Cupp

Rank	Organization	Economic Sector	Amount
1	Chadroni Financial Corporation	Insurance	\$43,185
2	American Financial Group	Insurance	\$48,500
3	Voys, Stiles, Stewart & Pines	Lawyers	\$18,350
4	Printer, Wright, Myers & Arthur	Lawyers	\$12,610
5	Falbo, Haber & Griswold	Lawyers	\$12,000
6	Nationwide Insurance	Insurance	\$11,125
7	FirstEnergy	Energy & Natural Resources	\$10,367
8	Timken	Manufacturing	\$10,100
9	Moonport Mutual Insurance	Insurance	\$9,900
10	John J. & Thomas R. Seiff Co.	Insurance	\$8,500

Organizational totals include PACs and employees.
Totals include monetary and in-kind contributions.

Top Economic Sectors to Robert Cupp

Rank	Economic Sector	Amount
1	Insurance	\$165,614
2	Lawyer	\$187,778

money in politics

a project of Ohio Citizens Action

Director Catherine Lince ★ 614.431.6077 ★ director@ohiocitizens.org



Judith Lanzinger
Supreme Court Justice
Republican

Amount raised 11/15/05-11/30/04
\$1,646,166

Average Individual Contribution: \$248.94
Individual Contributions less than \$200: 2,394
Individual Contributions \$200 or more: 1,476

Top Organizational Contributions to Judith Lanzinger

Rank	Organization	Economic Sector	Amount
1	Chesbrough Financial	Insurance	\$24,051
2	American Financial Group	Insurance	\$24,300
3	Pure Energy	Energy & Resources	\$20,350
4	Nationwide	Insurance	\$20,137
5	Fifth Third Bank	Finance	\$17,400
6	Ohio National Financial Services	Insurance	\$13,600
7	Piper, Wright, Morris & Arthur	Lawyers	\$12,735
8	State Farm Insurance	Insurance	\$11,427
9	RFM International	Manufacturing	\$11,000
10	Procter & Gamble	Manufacturing	\$10,850

Organizational totals include PACs and employees.
Totals include monetary and in-kind contributions.

Top Economic Sectors to Judith Lanzinger

Rank	Economic Sector	Amount
1	Insurance	\$272,806
2	Lawyers	\$17,128

money in politics

a project of Ohio Citizens Action

Director Catherine Lince ★ 614.431.6077 ★ director@ohiocitizens.org

Terrence O'Donnell
Supreme Court Justice
Republican

O'Donnell ran for Supreme Court Justice in three elections: 2000, 2004, and 2006
Amount raised:

11/16/99-11/14/00: \$993,739
11/13/03-11/30/04: \$1,595,986
1/06/06-12/31/06: \$1,031,057
Total: **\$3,620,782**

Average Individual Contribution: \$274.14
Individual Contributions less than \$200: 4,927
Individual Contributions \$200 or more: 3,241

Top Organizational Contributions to Terrence O'Donnell

Rank	Organization	Economic Sector	Amount
1	Chesbrough Financial	Insurance	\$124,770
2	American Financial Group	Insurance	\$62,893
3	Nationwide	Insurance	\$53,067
4	Pure Energy	Energy & Resources	\$40,417
5	Voyce, Sater, Seymour & Pease	Lawyers	\$39,925
6	Forest City	Lawyers	\$17,025
7	Galbraith Halter & Griswold	Lawyers	\$13,600
8	Squire, Sanders & Donipsey	Lawyers	\$10,600
9	Baker & Hostetler	Lawyers	\$10,475
10	Procter & Gamble	Manufacturing	\$9,000

Organizational totals include PACs and employees.
Totals include monetary and in-kind contributions.

Top Economic Sectors to Terrence O'Donnell

Rank	Economic Sector	Amount
1	Lawyers	\$783,562
2	Insurance	\$621,011

WHO'S RUNNING OUR COURTS?

**LIBERTY
MUTUAL'S**

Attorneys

JUDGES and

JUSTICES

“For SALE”

Items

Call for a specific type of Agreement and our experts will do the search for you

[Liberty Mutual Insurance Company - Agreement Preview](#)

G-443976

15 pages

MS Word Compatible

\$5.00

button to download the full agreement.

Add To Cart

See other similar agreements:

- [Liquidation Agreements](#)

Third Amended Joint Plan of Liquidation

Effective Date: December 05, 2002

Parties:

[Borden Chemicals & Plastics](#)

[Materials and Construction](#)

[Baker & Hostetler](#), [Blank Rome](#), [Reed Smith](#), [Duanne Morris](#), [Jones Day](#), [Kramer](#)

[Levin Nathans & Frankel](#), [Saul Ewing](#), [Voyce Sater](#), [Seymour and Pease](#)

OHIO Supreme Court Justices

WHO'S RUNNING our Courts?

money in politics

a project of Ohio Citizen Action

Director Catherine Turyse ★ 614.991.6077 ★ www.ohiocitizen.org

Follow the Money

Ohio Citizen Action today released retrospective of campaign contributions to members of the Ohio Supreme Court during the last four election cycles (2000-2006). Chief Justice Thomas J. Moyer received \$1.5 million (Election 2000), Justice Robert Capp nearly \$1 million (Election 2006), Judith Lanzinger \$1.6 million, Maureen O'Connor \$1.7 million (Election 2002), Paul H. Pfeifer nearly \$80,000 (Election 2004), and Evelyn Lanzberg Straton \$1.9 million (Election 2002). In the same time period, Justice Terrence J. Donnell ran for the Supreme Court three times. In 2004, he raised nearly \$1 million in an unsuccessful attempt to defeat Alex. Noble Kennedy. O'Donnell was appointed by Governor Bob Taft to fill Deborah Cook's seat in 2008, when she left the Ohio Supreme Court for the federal bench. He raised \$1.6 million to retain this seat in Election 2004.

O'Donnell's seat was up for election again in 2006 and he raised an additional \$1 million. In these three elections, O'Donnell raised a combined total of \$3,601,000. These campaign finance profiles include an overview of types of donors, large contributions, and money from the political parties. The insurance industry played a prominent role in Supreme Court elections, contributing a total of \$1,786,588 to six of the seven justices. Insurance company Cincinnati Financial Corporation (\$326,722) was the top donor to six members of the Ohio Supreme Court (Thyn, Lanzinger, Moyer, O'Connor, O'Donnell, and Straton). In sharp contrast, Pfeifer did not receive any contributions from the insurance industry.

Methodology

The Money in Politics Project of the Ohio Citizen Action Education Fund analyzed contributions to the Chief Justice and the Justices of the Ohio Supreme Court from Elections 2000, 2002, 2004, and 2006. Totals include contributions from political action committees (PACs), labor unions, and individuals.

The database is based on the filings of candidates for the Ohio Supreme Court available in computerized form from the Ohio Secretary of State. These filings were submitted electronically by the candidate committees to the Secretary of State and are available on line at www.sos.state.oh.us. Candidates for the Ohio Supreme Court are permitted to raise money only during the time period that they are on the ballot. The justices were given an opportunity to review their campaign finance profiles.

To identify the employers of contributors, the Ohio Citizen Action Education Fund used the following:

1. Databases of architects, doctors, dentists, funeral directors, and certified public accountants registered to do business in Ohio from the Ohio Division of Administrative Services.
2. A database from the Ohio Supreme Court of attorneys in Ohio.
3. A list of lobbyists in Ohio from the Joint Legislative Ethics Committee.
4. A list of contributors to political action committees in Ohio.
5. Database of physicians provided by the American Medical Association.
6. Database of attorneys provided by Martindale-Hubell.
7. Search engines like Google.



Robert Capp



Judith Lanzinger



Paul H. Pfeifer



Maureen O'Connor



Terrence J. Donnell



Alex. Noble Kennedy



Evelyn Lanzberg Straton

Resources

- American Lawyer
- Insurance Journal
- Labor Education
- Politics
- Insurance

BAKER DONELSON'S Client (Liberty Mutual and its Attorneys)

JUDGES and JUSTICES "For SALE"

A SWEEP of the Ohio Supreme Court



THE FOX GUARDING THE HEN HOUSE!



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

BAKER DONELSON BEARMAN, CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, PC

Commission on Civil Rights Appointment


Bradley B. Clinton

May 10, 2007

(Jackson, MS) (May 10, 2007) (Bradley B. Clinton, of the law firm of Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC, has been appointed by the United States Commission on Civil Rights (USCCR) to serve as Chairman of its Mississippi Advisory Committee.

The Committee assists the USCCR with its fact-finding, investigative and information dissemination activities. The functions of the USCCR include investigating complaints alleging that citizens are being deprived of their right to vote by reason of their race, color, religion, sex, age, disability or national origin, or by reason of fraudulent practices, studying and collecting information relating to discrimination or a denial of equal protection of the law under the Constitution, appraising federal laws and policies with respect to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws because of race, color, religion, sex, age, disability or national origin, or in the administration of justice; serving as a national clearinghouse for information in respect to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the law; submitting reports, findings and recommendations to the President and Congress; and securing public service announcements to discourage discrimination or denial of equal protection of the law.

Mr. Clinton, a rightsholder in Baker Donelson's Jackson and Washington, D.C., offices, concentrates his practice in government litigation, securities and other fraud investigations and litigation, election law and appeals. His appellate practice has included matters before the U.S. Supreme Court, U.S. Courts of Appeals, the Mississippi Supreme Court and Court of Appeals, and various other state appellate courts. His internal investigations and government litigation practice has included matters related to Securities and Exchange Commission state and federal securities fraud case action litigation and arbitration proceedings. Previously, Mr. Clinton served as Chief Counsel to the U.S. House Judiciary Committee's Subcommittee on the Constitution, where his responsibilities included advising the Chairman and Republican Members of the Judiciary Committee on legislation and Congressional oversight implicating civil and constitutional rights, Congressional authority, inspection of powers, proposed constitutional amendments and oversight of the Civil Rights Division of the Department of Justice and the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.





FOXES “Guarding the Hen House” RECEIPTS of July 14, 2008 Emergency Complaint and Request for Legislature/Congress Intervention; Also Requests for Investigations, Hearings and Findings

Original to Leahy and copies sent to Obama, McCain, Conyers & Wasserman-Schultz on 08/02/08.

Rep. John Conyers' Wife Heads Off to Prison

By: Footstall, September 10, 2010

Like 43 | Print | Email | Visit Site | Permissions | Delete

For Democratic congressman John Conyers, the drama of the 2006 baby-sitting ethics scandal must seem like a walk in the park compared with the heartbreak of seeing his wife report to Indiana prison. Today, ex-Detroit council member Marsha Conyers began a 27-month sentence for corruption at a minimum-security camp in Aderston, W.Va. It's the same place Marsha Stewart did time for charges relating to a stock-trading scandal.




—John Conyers, husband of Marsha Conyers. (Wm. McKinnon/Getty Images)

In 2005 Marsha Conyers pleaded guilty to taking bribes, although she is currently trying to retract that plea.

THE FOX GUARDING THE HEN HOUSE!



**PROTECTING
BIG MONEY and Special
Interest Groups – What the FBI
and White House / Government
are HIDING from the PUBLIC –
COVER UP OF ROLE IN
CORRUPTION, RACIAL INJUSTICES
AND CRIMINAL ACTS!**




Current and former Baker Donelson attorneys and advisors include, among many other highly distinguished individuals, people who have served as: *Chief of Staff to the President of the United States; U.S. Senate Majority Leader; U.S. Secretary of State; Members of the United States Senate; Members of the United States House of Representatives; Acting Administrator and Deputy Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration; Director of the Office of Foreign Assets Control for the U.S. Department of the Treasury; Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts; Chief Counsel, Acting Director, and Acting Deputy Director of U.S. Citizenship & Immigration Services within the United States Department of Homeland Security; Majority and Minority Staff Director of the Senate Committee on Appropriations; a member of President's Domestic Policy Council; Counselor to the Deputy Secretary for the United States Department of HHS; Chief of Staff of the Supreme Court of the United States; Administrative Assistant to the Chief Justice of the United States; Deputy Under Secretary for International Trade for the U.S. Department of Commerce; Ambassador to Japan; Ambassador to Turkey; Ambassador to Saudi Arabia; Ambassador to the Sultanate of Oman; Governor of Tennessee; Governor of Mississippi; Deputy Governor and Chief of Staff for the Governor of Tennessee; Commissioner of Finance & Administration (Chief Operating Officer), State of Tennessee; Special Counselor to the Governor of Virginia; United States Circuit Court of Appeals Judge; United States District Court Judges; United States Attorneys, and Presidents of State and Local Bar Associations.*

THE FOX GUARDING THE HEN HOUSE!



THE FOX GUARDING THE HEN HOUSE!



CONFIRMED:

FBI MISHANDLED INTEL IN MAJOR TERROR CASE
FOR TWO YEARS, THEN TRIED TO COVER IT UP




PROTECTING
SPECIAL
INTEREST
Groups



DON'T BE DECEIVED

**Obama has SECURED his
SAFETY NET and ALIGNED
himself with SPECIAL
INTEREST GROUPS who have
DEEP ROOTS on Wall Street!**




OBAMA STEALS

From the
POOR
to **LINER**

POCKET'S


Of the
RICHI!



Using Documents to carry out crimes AGAINST Citizens where Statute DOES NOT Apply!



Taking ADVANTAGE Of the POOR!



COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

NOTICE OF LEVY - DATA MATCH KRS 131 672(5)

OPERATORS

DATE 07/17/2010

JP MORGAN CHASE BANK, NA
P O BOX 250164
BATON ROUGE LA 70826-0164

Pursuant to KRS 131.130(1) the Department of Revenue has entered into a memorandum of agreement with the Cabinet for Health and Family Services, Division of Child Support. The memorandum of agreement authorizes the Department of Revenue to assist the Cabinet for Health and Family Services in the collection of child support, which includes attaching the delinquent parent's assets maintained in financial institutions. The above statute authorizes the Department of Revenue to utilize the same collection tools to collect child support arrearages as used to collect delinquent taxes. As a result, the financial institutions will receive the Department of Revenue's levy, instead of the Order to Withhold and Deliver on order from the court, for the child support cases enforced by the Department of Revenue.

STEALING from

the POOR - -

Obama engages in FRAUD (falsifying documents) and the EMBEZZLEMENT of monies from the POOR to protect his SPECIAL/BIG MONEY Interest Groups - Big Banks [JP Morgan Chase, Baker Donelson, Kentucky Department of Revenue etc.)

Using Documents to carry out crimes AGAINST Citizens where Statute DOES NOT Apply!



Obama- What did you know and WHEN did you **KNOW** it!

August 12, 2009

VIA U.S. PRIORITY MAIL: SIGNATURE CONFIRMATION TRACKING NO.: 2305159000016382(72)
 ATTN: Thomas B. Miller, Commissioner
 Commonwealth of Kentucky Department of Revenue
 501 High Street
 Frankfort, KY 40621

VIA USPS EXPRESS MAIL: TRACKING NO. EB 972421753 US
 U.S. Department of Justice
 ATTN: Attorney General Eric H. Holder, Jr.
 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
 Washington, DC 20530-0001

Therefore, **PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that Newsome hereby **DEMANDS** the **FOLLOWING RELIEF**:

I. The United States Department of Justice's investigation into the Commonwealth of Kentucky Department of Revenue's handling of the above referenced matter to determine whether or not it is engaging in criminal activities - i.e. interference with federal investigations/criminal investigation, obstruction of justice, and any other unlawful/illegal acts known to it.

II. That the Commonwealth of Kentucky Department of Revenue provide its response to this instant Complaint and Rebuttal to August 1, 2009, **FINAL NOTICE BEFORE SEIZURE** - providing U.S. Attorney Eric Holder with a copy of said response as well.

Courtesy Copy To:

VIA U.S. PRIORITY MAIL: SIGNATURE CONFIRMATION TRACKING NO. 2305159000016382(83)
 The United States White House
 ATTN: U.S. President Barack Obama
 1600 Pennsylvania Ave NW
 Washington, DC 20500

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
 DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

NOTICE OF LEVY - DATA MATCH KRS 131.672(5)

OPERATOR'S

JP MORGAN CHASE BANK, NA
 P O BOX 250164
 BATON ROUGE LA 70826-0164
 DATE 07/17/2010

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE®

Home | Help | Sign In

Track & Confirm

Track & Confirm

Enter Label/Receipt Number: [Go >](#)

Search Results

Label/Receipt Number: 2305 1590 0001 0382 0838
 Status: Delivered

Your item was delivered at 4:10 am on August 18, 2009 in WASHINGTON, DC 20500. The item was signed for by M NALDO

Additional information for this item is stored in files offline.

[Restore Offline Details >](#) [Return to USPS.com Home >](#)

Notification Options

Proof of Delivery

Verify who signed for your item by email, fax, or mail. [Go >](#)



OKAY, I'm a Chicago

THUG/POLITICIAN

What did you expect?



They've been Good to me. Think of the **MONEY...**

The UNCLE "TOMS" Want You!

What they are **WILLING** to do for **MONEY!**

SELL a **NATION** out for their **OWN** Gain!





FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION



03/15/04

INVESTIGATIONS OF PUBLIC CORRUPTION: Rooting Crookedness Out of Government

OPERATION GREYLORD



Today marks an important anniversary in the annals of public corruption investigations in the U.S.

Twenty years ago today, in a federal courtroom in Chicago, a jury found Harold Conn (top center in photo) guilty on all 4 counts of accepting bribes to be passed on to Cook County judges as payment for fixing tickets. The evidence? He had been caught live on FBI tapes.

This "bagman" had been Deputy Traffic Court Clerk in the Cook County judicial system, and he was the first defendant to be found guilty in a mammoth sting investigation of crooked officials in the Cook County courts.

It was called **OPERATION GREYLORD**, named after the curly wigs worn by British judges. And in the end -- through undercover operations that used honest and very courageous judges and lawyers posing as crooked ones... and with the strong assistance of the Cook County court and local police -- 92 officials had been indicted, including 17 judges, 48 lawyers, 8 policemen, 10 deputy sheriffs, 8 court officials, and 1 state legislator. Nearly all were convicted, most of them pleading guilty (just a few are shown in our photo). It was an important first step to cleaning up the administration of justice in Cook County.

Who's investigated? Public servants: members of Congress and state legislatures; members of the Administration and governors' offices; judges and court staffs; all of law enforcement; all government agencies. Plus everyone who works with government and is willing to pay for "special favors": lobbyists, contractors, consultants, lawyers, U.S. businesses in foreign countries, you name it.

Last words: Straight from Teddy Roosevelt: "Unless a man is honest we have no right to keep him in public life, it matters not how brilliant his capacity, it hardly matters how great his power of doing good service on certain lines may be... No man who is corrupt, no man who condones corruption in others, can possibly do his duty by the community."

UNCLE "TOM" Wants You To COVER UP Crimes!

Obama's DISGUISE Exposed!



See “Obama” for who
he really is – A
“WOLF”
trying to pass himself
off as a Sheep (Good)!



The MANY Disguises Of Obama

A PROFESSIONAL
"CHAMELEON" at
work!!



www.fox.com



Same

PRESIDENT



Two wings

of the same bird...

Just an

EXTENSION!



Obamaism *Any Idea or action that runs a perfectly good country into the ground.*

One Nation

UNDEER Obama's
OPPRESSION and
DICTATION!





The BUILDING Of OBAMA NATION One Nation UNDER Obama!



**SUCKING the
United States
DRY!**





Obama MAGIC - -

He knows how to "Pull on
the **HEART**STRING" of
Americans – it's his
TALENT!!

Taking The FIGHT To The Ring

**SARAH PALIN - -
Going For The
KNOCKOUT!!**



**Sarah when this is all
over, maybe we can sit
down and discuss this
over BEER!!**

**Palin as Obama's
running mate in 2012?**





Knowing how to
DANCE around
the ISSUES!



INCOMPETENCE

You can only hide it for so long.

At least you knew when to
ABANDON the people . . .





**It Appears The
United States
Got Sarah Palin
For President
Anyway!!**

**OBAMA: SHE'S
NO EXPERT**



**Obama WE
GOT'CHIA
Right Where**

**We Can See
NOVEMBER
From Our House**



**WE
WANT'CHIA!**



IMAGE CONTROL

Whatever it takes to get the job
-- Just Keep **SMILING** --
they'll **NEVER** know the
difference!!


Bill Clinton Talks Obama "Serving Coffee" To Ted Kennedy

By INN THE BASEMENT on JANUARY 16, 2010



See the full article and friends' reactions

Ole Bill Clinton, well, there is another quote that is coming out in that brand new book, *Game Change*, to hit the bookstores on Tuesday this week, and the quote is a big let down. According to what is written in the pages of this new book, former President Bill Clinton apparently told Ted Kennedy that president elect Obama would be "getting us coffee" a few years ago.



**YOU are now
SERVED.**



DO YOU REALLY KNOW WHAT PRESIDENT OBAMA IS SMOKING?

Clearly Obama's views are
DISTORTED and he is **OUT**
OF TOUCH with **REALITY!**





Obama “BLOWING OFF STEAM”

I VOLUNTEERED to
be their “**PAWN**.”
Now they want to
“*Throw ME*
Under The Bus!”





PLAYING THE UPPER HAND

**THINK long and
hard. You **DON'T**
want to take**

Obama on!

**He has the KEY
to open**

PANDORA'S BOX!





THE

INVINCIBLE

OBAMA



THE CORRUPT CHICAGO MACHINE



CHEERS


**To the DOWNFALL and
DOOM of the OBAMA
Adminisration -
THANKS for the
MEMORIES!**



PROUD RECEIPIENTS

Of the United States'
"BEYOND THE GLASS CEILING
BARRIER" Award.

REQUIREMENTS: *Dysfunctional*,
Insecurity, Identity **Separation** and Identity
Denial – **NEED** for ACCEPTANCE, APPROVAL,
to FIT IN and WILLINGNESS TO SELL OUT!



CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS

Know what YOUR Monies are FINANCING/SUPPORTING – i.e. Extramarital/Adulterous affairs, etc. by POLITICIANS and MINISTERS

IT'S YOUR MONEY THEY'RE TAKING



Public Corruption Hurts Everyone Including You!

PUBLIC CORRUPTION IS:
Government officials or employees betraying the public trust for their own personal benefit at the expense of the taxpayer - YOU!

**Public Corruption is a Crime
You are the Victim**

What can you do about it?
Call the FBI Public Corruption Tip Line:
1-877-884-7633
(1-877-U-TIP-OFF)
Tips will remain confidential





Vilsack



2010 Faces of African-Americans OPPRESSORS



Sherrod's steadfast motto: 'Let's work together'

By Jim Kavanagh, CNN
STORY HIGHLIGHTS

- Shirley Sherrod forced out of USDA after excerpted speech posted on internet
- Sherrod, raised on Georgia farm, has 45-year civil rights record
- White man killed father; white sheriff stopped husband-to-be from registering to vote
- "If I tried to hate all the time, I wouldn't be able to see clearly," she says

Atlanta, Georgia (CNN) — Shirley Miller Sherrod has spent most of her life fighting injustice.

On the Baker County, Georgia, farm where the Miller family grew corn, peanuts, cotton and cucumbers and raised hogs, cows and goats, oldest daughter Shirley despised the work.

"I swore I would never have anything to do with a farm past high school," she said Wednesday with an easy chuckle. "I would talk to the sun as I picked cotton and picked cucumbers and worked out there in that hot field, and [say], 'This is not the life for me.' I didn't want to have anything to do with agriculture ever again."

On the night in 1965 when her father, Hosie Miller, a black man and a deacon at Thankful Baptist Church, was shot to death by a white farmer in what ostensibly was a dispute over a few cows, Sherrod -- then 17 years old -- changed her mind.

"I decided to stay in the South and work for change," said Sherrod, now 62, who believes her father's killing was more about a Southern black man speaking up to a white man than about who owned which animals. The all-white grand jury didn't bring charges against the shooter.

That summer, when she and several other blacks went to the county courthouse to register to vote, the county sheriff blocked the door and even pushed her husband-to-be, Lester Sherrod, down the stairs, she said. Activists used that incident to get a restraining order against the sheriff so blacks could register to vote, she said.

Sherrod worked for civil rights with the Student Nonviolent Coordinating Committee while studying sociology at Albany State University in Georgia. She later earned her master's degree in community development from Antioch University in Yellow Springs, Ohio.

Sherrod returned to rural Georgia to help minority farmers keep their land in a place where history is against them. She has often gone to the local offices of government agencies, including the U.S. Department of Agriculture before she worked there, she said.

Sherrod was forced out of her job with the USDA this week after a video emerged in which she seemingly admitted to failing to try to help a white farmer save his land from foreclosure in 1986. She has since said her words, recorded in March at a Douglas County, Georgia, NAACP meeting, were deliberately taken out of context. The story, she said, was part of a broader message she has given many times about the need to move beyond race.

White House spokesman Robert Gibbs said Wednesday afternoon that Sherrod is "owed an apology. I would do that on behalf of this administration."

Agriculture Secretary Tom Vilsack said Wednesday that he offered his "personal and profound apology for the pain and discomfort" caused to Sherrod and her family.

"It makes me feel better," she said in response on CNN. "It took too long, but it makes me feel better that the apology's coming."

"... Why did they hire me in the first place if they didn't believe in what I had done up to this point?"



Sherrod

Shirley Sherrod, ex-USDA worker: White House forced me to resign over fabricated racial controversy

U.S. Government
TARGETING Civil Rights Activists!



Don't

Be DECEIVED

Obama's "CAMPAIGN WRITER'S" pulled OFF his 2008 "*Infamous RACE Speech*" - - QUIT riding off of the backs of those *who were willing to LOSE their LIVES for Civil Rights and EQUALITY* - - Rights Obama and his Administration want to **ABOLISH** and **DEPRIVE** citizens!



VOICES

of CIVIL RIGHTS



EXPOSING

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT'S

OPPRESSION

OF

African-Americans / Blacks



GREAT

hath no man than this, that a man lay down his life for his friends.

Medgar Wiley Evers



Medgar Wiley Evers (July 2, 1925 – June 12, 1963) was an African American civil rights activist from Mississippi who was buried with full military honors at Arlington National Cemetery after being assassinated by Ku Klux Klan member Byron De La Beckwith. Evers' life, his murder, and the resulting trials inspired protests as well as numerous works including music and film....

Life: Medgar Evers was born July 2, 1925 in Decatur, Mississippi, the son of James Evers, who was the owner of a small farm and a sawmill worker, and a devout woman named Jessie. Evers was the third of five children, after Charles and Elizabeth. A daughter named Ruth was the youngest.... The family was rounded out by Eva Lee and Gene (who were Jessie's children from a prior marriage). Determined to get the education he deserved after the lynchings of family friends, Evers walked twelve miles to and from school to earn his high school diploma....

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Medgar_Evers

Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.



Martin Luther King, Jr. (January 15, 1929 – April 4, 1968) was an American clergyman, activist, and prominent leader in the African American civil rights movement. He is best known for being an iconic figure in the advancement of civil rights in the United States and around the world, using nonviolent methods following the teachings of Mahatma Gandhi....

Early life and education: Martin Luther King, Jr., was born on January 15, 1929, in Atlanta, Georgia, the middle child of the Reverend Martin Luther King, Sr. and Alberta Williams King.... King's father was born "Michael King", and Martin Luther King, Jr., was originally named "Michael King, Jr.," until the family traveled to Europe in 1934 and visited Germany....

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Martin_Luther_King,_Jr.

The SACRIFICE Of GREAT Leaders

Malcolm X



Malcolm X (May 19, 1925 – February 21, 1965), born **Malcolm Little** and also known as **El-Hajj Malik El-Shabazz**... (Arabic: **مالك الخبز**), was an African-American Muslim minister, public speaker, and human rights activist.... To his admirers, he was a courageous advocate for the rights of African Americans, a man who indicted white America in the harshest terms for its crimes against black Americans.... His detractors accused him of preaching racism, black supremacy, antisemitism, and violence.... He has been described as one of the greatest, and most influential, African Americans in history....

Early years: Malcolm Little was born on May 19, 1925, in Omaha, Nebraska, to Earl and Louise Little (née Louisa Norton).... His father was an outspoken Baptist lay speaker. He supported Pan-African activist Marcus Garvey and was a local leader of the Universal Negro Improvement Association (UNIA).... Malcolm never forgot the values of black pride and self-reliance that his father and other UNIA leaders preached.... Malcolm X later said that three of Earl Little's brothers, one of whom was lynched, died violently at the hands of white men.... Because of Ku Klux Klan threats, the family relocated in 1926 to Milwaukee, Wisconsin, and shortly thereafter to Lansing, Michigan....

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Malcolm_X

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT'S

ENFORCMENT of Willie Lynch -
DESTROY THE AFRICAN-AMERICAN MALE



The FACES OF:

STRONG African-American/

Black Men

Who Would **NOT** Be Bought

And **REFUSED**

To Be **ENSLAVED!**

Medgar Evers




Malcolm X



Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.



Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.



Malcolm X

WRITE GREAT STUFF HERE



"Blank Slate"

The FACES OF:
The United States'
"Willie Lynch/Uncle Tom"
Prodigies!



President of United States

Obama



Eric

Holder



NAACP President/CBO

Benjamin

Jealous



President of United States

Obama



U.S. Attorney General

Holder



NAACP President/CEO

Jealous

PRODUCTS OF WILLIE LYNCH PRACTICES:

http://www.itsabouttimebpp.com/BPP_Books/pdf/The_Willie_Lynch_Letter_The_Making_Of_A_Slave!.pdf

slaves. I guarantee every one of you that if installed correctly it will control the slaves for at least 300 years [2012]. My method is simple. Any member of your family or your overseer can use it. I have outlined a number of differences among the slaves and make the differences bigger. I use fear, distrust and envy for control.

These methods have worked on my modest plantation in the West Indies and it will work throughout the South. Take this simple little list of differences and think about them. On top of my list is "age" but it's there only because it starts with an "A." The second is "COLOR" or shade, there is intelligence, size, sex, size of plantations and status on plantations, attitude of owners, whether the slaves live in the valley, on a hill, East, West, North, South, have fine hair, course hair, or is tall or short. Now that you have a list of differences, I shall give you an outline of action, but before that, I shall assure you that distrust is stronger than trust and envy stronger than adulation, respect or admiration. The Black slaves after receiving this indoctrination shall carry on and will become self refueling and self generating for hundreds of years, maybe thousands. Don't forget you must pitch the old black Male vs. the young black Male, and the young black Male against the old black male. You must use the dark skin slaves vs. the light skin slaves, and the light skin slaves vs. the dark skin slaves. You must use the female vs. the male. And the male vs. the female. You must also have you white servants and overseers distrust all Blacks. It is necessary that your slaves trust and depend on us. They must love, respect and trust only us. Gentlemen, these kits are your keys to control. Use them. Have your wives and children use them, never miss an opportunity. If used intensely for one year, the slaves themselves will remain perpetually distrustful of each other.

Thank you gentlemen

OBAMA was born . . . at Kapi'olani Maternity & Gynecological Hospital in Honolulu, Hawaii. . . His mother, Stanley Ann Dunham, was born in Wichita, Kansas, of mostly English, some German. . . and Irish descent. His great-great-great grandfather hailed from County Offaly. . . His father, Barack Obama, Sr., was a Luo from Nyanag'oma Kogelo, Nyanza Province, Kenya. - - http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Barack_Obama

ERIC H. HOLDER, JR. was born in the Bronx, New York, to parents with roots in Barbados. . . Holder's father, Eric Hippton Holder, Sr. . . was born in Saint Joseph, Barbados and arrived in the United States at the age of 11. . . He later became a real estate broker. His mother, Miriam, was born in New Jersey, while his maternal grandparents were immigrants from Saint Philip, Barbados. . . - - http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eric_Holder

JEALOUS was born in Pacific Grove, California and grew up in Monterey Peninsula, California. His mother, who is black, met his father, who is white, while teaching junior high school in Baltimore. - - http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Benjamin_Jealous



PRODUCTS OF WILLIE LYNCH PRACTICES:

http://www.itsabouttimebpp.com/BPP_Books/pdf/The_Willie_Lynch_Letter_The_Making_Of_A_Slave!.pdf

The Breaking Process of the African Woman

Take the female and run a series of tests on her to see if she will submit to your desires willingly. Test her in every way, because she is the most important factor for good economics. If she shows any sign of resistance in submitting completely to your will, do not hesitate to use the bull whip on her to extract that last bit of resistance out of her. Take care not to kill her, for in doing so, you spoil good economic. When in complete submission, she will train her offspring in the early years to submit to labor when she becomes of age. Understanding is the best thing. Therefore, we shall go deeper into this area of the subject matter concerning what we have produced here in this breaking process of the female nigger. We have reversed the relationship in her natural uncivilized state she would have a strong dependency on the uncivilized nigger male, and she would have a limited protective tendency toward her independent male offspring and would raise male offspring to be dependent like her. Nature had provided for this type of balance. We reversed nature by burning and pulling a civilized nigger apart and bull whipping the other to the point of death, all in her presence. By her being left alone, unprotected, with the male image destroyed, the ordeal caused her to move from her psychological dependent state to a frozen independent state. In this frozen psychological state of independence, she will raise her male and female offspring in reversed roles.

For fear of the young males life she will psychologically train him to be mentally weak and dependent, but physically strong. Because she has become psychologically independent, she will train her female offspring to be psychologically independent. What have you got? You've got the nigger women out front and the nigger man behind and scared. This is a perfect situation of sound sleep and economic. Before the breaking process, we had to be alertly on guard at all times.



The NAACP
receives
GOVERNMENT
Funding! Do
you think it's
going to BITE
the hands that
FEED it?



FACES OF

WILLIE LYNCH VICTIMS


http://www.itsabouthimebpp.com/BPP_Books/pdf/The_Willie_Lynch_Letter_The_Making_Of_A_Slave!.pdf




MEDIA'S USE OF WILLIE LYNCH PRACTICES

http://www.itsabouttimebpp.com/BPP_Books/pdf/The_Willie_Lynch_Letter_The_Making_Of_A_Slave!.pdf

SNL Spoof of Hoda Kotb





ENDING THE WILLIE LYNCH PRACTICES

http://www.itsabouttimebpp.com/BPP_Books/pdf/The_Willie_Lynch_Letter_The_Making_Of_A_Slave!.pdf

Control the Language

Crossbreeding completed, for further severance from their original beginning, we must completely annihilate the mother tongue of both the new nigger and the new mule and institute a new language that involves the new life's work of both. You know language is a peculiar institution. It leads to the heart of a people. The more a foreigner knows about the language of another country the more he is able to move through all levels of that society. Therefore, if the foreigner is an enemy of the country, to the extent that he knows the body of the language, to that extent is the country vulnerable to attack or invasion of a foreign culture. For example, if you take a slave, if you teach him all about your language, he will know all your secrets, and he is then no more a slave, for you can't fool him any longer. For example, if you told a slave

that he must perform in getting out "our crops" and he knows the language well, he would know that "our crops" didn't mean "our crops" and the slavery system would break down, for he would relate on the basis of what "our crops" really meant. So you have to be careful in setting up the new language for the slaves would soon be in your house, talking to you "man to man" and that is death to our economic system. In addition, the definitions of words or terms are only a minute part of the process. Values are created and transported by communication through the body of the language. A total society has many interconnected value system. All the values in the society have bridges of language to connect them for orderly working in the society. But for these language bridges, these many value systems would sharply clash and cause internal strife or civil war, the degree of the conflict being determined by the magnitude of the issues or relative opposing strength in whatever form.

For example, if you put a slave in a hog pen and train him to live there and incorporate in him to value it as a way of life completely, the biggest problem you would have out of him is that he would worry you about provisions to keep the hog pen clean, or the same hog pen and make a slip and incorporate something in his language where by he comes to value a house more than he does his hog pen, you got a problem. He will soon be in your house.



How FAR Will The United States Government Go To COVER-UP Its Crimes:

Far enough to paint citizens as PARANOID, CRAZY, LUNATICS to mask/hide INHUMANE Practices! Thank goodness information is being released/found and reported:

CBSNEWS

World Watch

October 1, 2010 11:49 AM

U.S.: 1940s STD Experiments "Clearly Unethical"

Posted by David S Morgan



(Credit: CBS/AP)

The U.S. government has formally apologized for a secret study conducted in the 1940s in which Guatemalan prisoners, service members and mental hospital patients were secretly infected with gonorrhea and syphilis without their knowledge or consent, calling the program "clearly unethical."

In a joint statement issued Friday by Secretary of State Hillary Rodham Clinton and Secretary of Health and Human Services Kathleen Sebelius, released in English and Spanish, the government apologized to Guatemala and to those involved in the study, conducted by the U.S. Public Health Service (PHS) between 1946 and 1948.



AIDS AND THE DOCTORS OF DEATH

AN INQUIRY INTO THE
ORIGIN OF THE AIDS EPIDEMIC

ALAN CANTWELL JR., M.D.

FOREWORD BY JON RAPPOPORT

A KNOW-IT-ALL PRESIDENT

Obama's
Thought On
Poll Ratings
and the
American People's
OPINIONS!



Will Obama THROW




Obamaism *Any Idea or
action that runs a perfectly
good country into the ground.*

**Obama WON'T let
ANYBODY
get is HIS way!**

ANOTHER ONE UNDER THE BUS?




**Will it
Be
YOU?**



Should
ALWAYS
Have an
EXIT
STRATEGY!

A PRESIDENT



TAKING BACK AMERICA

M P E A C H


Not WITHOUT



A FIGHT

C A L L T O

NO MORE!
2010
TAKE OUR
COUNTRY
BACK!!!



IMPEACH OBAMA
CHANGE WE CAN BELIEVE IN

THE GREAT YOU KNOWS



**IMPEACH
OBAMA!**

OBAMA'S GOT TO GO

CLEAN OUT CONGRESS 2010



AMERICANS

Take BACK Your
Country/Government
Come

November 2010

Take Back America



Vote OUT

The INCUMBENTS CAREER Politicians

**FOREIGN COUNTRIES/LEADERS
IT'S TIME TO GET IN THE UNITED STATES FACE
AND SAY: "NO MORE CORRUPTION"
"NO MORE BULLYING" . . .
WE AE NOT AFRAID OF
THE UNITED STATES!!!**





**TELL PRESIDENT OBAMA/THE UNITED STATES:
“THERE IS NO TIME FOR FOOLISHNESS and YOUR LIES!!”**




**UNITED STATES CITIZENS WANT TO KNOW:
 HOW PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA
 HAS BEEN ABLE TO AVOID THE “BIRTHER” QUESTION - -
 JUST KEEP *THROWING OUT WORDS* WITHOUT PROOF.
 CORRUPT GOVERNMENT/CORRUPT LAWYERS/CORRUPT BIG MONEY
 WILL CONTINUE TO “DANCE AROUND QUESTIONS WITH WORDS – NOT EVIDENCE”**



[Bar Register Practice Areas](#) ▼

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC, is ranked by The National Law Journal as one of the 100 largest law firms in the country. Through strategic acquisitions and mergers over the past century, the Firm has grown to include more than 550 attorneys and public policy and international advisors. Baker Donelson has offices located in five states in the southern U.S. as well as Washington, D.C., plus a representative office in London, England.

Current and former Baker Donelson attorneys and advisors include, among many other highly distinguished individuals, people who have served as: **Chief of Staff to the President of the United States**; **U.S. Senate Majority Leader**; **U.S. Secretary of State**; **Members of the United States Senate**; **Members of the United States House of Representatives**; Acting Administrator and Deputy Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration; Director of the Office of Foreign Assets Control for the **U.S. Department of the Treasury**; **Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts**; Chief Counsel, Acting Director, and Acting Deputy **Director of U.S. Citizenship & Immigration Services within the United States Department of Homeland Security**; Majority and Minority Staff Director of the Senate Committee on Appropriations; a member of President's Domestic Policy Council; Counselor to the Deputy Secretary for the United States Department of HHS; **Chief of Staff of the Supreme Court of the United States**; **Administrative Assistant to the Chief Justice of the United States**; Deputy Under Secretary for International Trade for the U.S. Department of Commerce; Ambassador to Japan; Ambassador to Turkey; Ambassador to Saudi Arabia; Ambassador to the Sultanate of Oman; Governor of Tennessee; **Governor of Mississippi**; Deputy Governor and Chief of Staff for the Governor of Tennessee; Commissioner of Finance & Administration (Chief Operating Officer), State of Tennessee; Special Counselor to the Governor of Virginia; **United States Circuit Court of Appeals Judge**; **United**



CERTIFICATION OF LIVE BIRTH		
STATE OF HAWAII HONOLULU		DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH HAWAII U.S.A.
CERTIFICATE NO. [REDACTED]		
CHILD'S NAME BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II		
DATE OF BIRTH August 4, 1961	HOUR OF BIRTH 7:24 PM	SEX MALE
CITY, TOWN OR LOCATION OF BIRTH HONOLULU	ISLAND OF BIRTH OAHU	COUNTY OF BIRTH HONOLULU
MOTHER'S MARRIAGE NAME STANLEY ANN DUNHAM		
MOTHER'S RACE CAUCASIAN		
FATHER'S NAME BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA		
FATHER'S RACE AFRICAN		
DATE FILED BY REGISTRAR August 8, 1961		
<small>FORM 1.1 (JULY 1997) LATEST This entry serves as prima facie evidence of the fact of birth in any court proceeding. (808) 535-4300, 338-101</small> ANY ALTERATIONS INVALIDATE THIS CERTIFICATE		



THE MONKEY ON PRESIDENT OBAMA'S BACK

PREYING ON UNITED STATES CITIZENS **IGNORANCE** – *Obama is Willing to Produce a “CERTIFICATE OF LIVE BIRTH” but NOT a “BIRTH CERTIFICATE.”*

What's WRONG With This Picture?

THE **CRAFTINESS** (**AVOID** THE QUESTIONS and GIVE THEM **MERE** WORDS – **NO** Evidence) OF CORRUPT LAWYERS/POLITICIANS/GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS
CLASSIC “COVER-UP!”

This May Be The **BIGGEST FRAUD**
 PULLED On United States Citizens Yet!!

**EXHIBIT
 “8”**

CERTIFICATION OF LIVE BIRTH

STATE OF HAWAII
HONOLULU



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
HAWAII U.S.A.

CERTIFICATE NO. [REDACTED]

CHILD'S NAME
BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II

DATE OF BIRTH
August 4, 1961

HOUR OF BIRTH
7:24 PM

SEX
MALE

CITY, TOWN OR LOCATION OF BIRTH
HONOLULU

ISLAND OF BIRTH
OAHU

COUNTY OF BIRTH
HONOLULU

MOTHER'S MAIDEN NAME
STANLEY ANN DUNHAM

MOTHER'S RACE
CAUCASIAN

FATHER'S NAME
BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA

FATHER'S RACE
AFRICAN

DATE FILED BY REGISTRAR
August 8, 1961

YOUR SIGNATURE

OHSM 1.1 (Rev.11/01) LASER

This copy serves as prima facie evidence of the fact of birth in any court proceeding. [HRS 338-13(b), 338-19]

ANY ALTERATIONS INVALIDATE THIS CERTIFICATE



Obama: I was born in Hawaii, lack horns

Posted by Brian Montopoli



President Barack Obama speaks on fiscal policy at George Washington University's Jack Morton Auditorium in Washington, DC.

(Credit: MANDEL NGAN/AFP/Getty Images)

President Obama said Thursday that the decision by some Republicans to question whether he was born in the United States is a problem for the GOP in the long term even if it is "politically expedient in the short-term."

It creates "a problem for them when they want to actually run in a general election where most people feel pretty confident the President was born where he says he was, in Hawaii," [Mr. Obama told ABC News](#). "He -- he doesn't have horns...we're not really worrying about conspiracy theories or -- or birth certificates."

Mr. Obama made the comments in response to a question about the presidential flirtation of Donald Trump, who has been pushing false information and discredited theories about the president's birthplace. Trump was [tied for first in one recent national poll of potential GOP](#)

EXHIBIT
"9"

[presidential candidates.](#)

Mr. Obama told ABC News that he believes voters want a presidential candidate to focus on issues like the economy and deficit.

"And my suspicion is that anybody who is not addressing those questions...Is going to be in trouble," he said. "I think they may get a quick pop in the news. They may get a lot of attention. But ultimately, the American people understand this is a serious, sober time."



[Print](#) [Close Window](#)

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC

BAKER DONELSON
BEARMAN, CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, PC

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC 

Size of Organization: 550

Year Established: 1888

Main Office: [Memphis, Tennessee](#)

Web Site: <http://www.bakerdonelson.com>

Telephone: 901-526-2000

Telecopier: 901-577-2303

[Send Email](#)



Law Firm Snapshot

Martindale-Hubbell has augmented a firm's provided information with third-party sourced data to present a more comprehensive overview of the firm's expertise.

Profile Visibility 

#42 in weekly profile views out of 233,261 total law firms Overall



 [Bar Register Practice Areas](#) ▼

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC, is ranked by The National Law Journal as one of the 100 largest law firms in the country. Through strategic acquisitions and mergers over the past century, the Firm has grown to include more than 550 attorneys and public policy and international advisors. Baker Donelson has offices located in five states in the southern U.S. as well as Washington, D.C., plus a representative office in London, England.

Current and former Baker Donelson attorneys and advisors include, among many other highly distinguished individuals, people who have served as: **Chief of Staff to the President of the United States**; **U.S. Senate Majority Leader**; **U.S. Secretary of State**; **Members of the United States Senate**; **Members of the United States House of Representatives**; Acting Administrator and Deputy Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration; Director of the Office of Foreign Assets Control for the **U.S. Department of the Treasury**; **Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts**; Chief Counsel, Acting Director, and Acting Deputy Director of U.S. Citizenship & Immigration Services within the United States Department of Homeland Security; Majority and Minority Staff Director of the Senate Committee on Appropriations; a member of President's Domestic Policy Council; Counselor to the Deputy Secretary for the United States Department of HHS; **Chief of Staff of the Supreme Court of the United States**; **Administrative Assistant to the Chief Justice of the United States**; Deputy Under Secretary for International Trade for the U.S. Department of Commerce; Ambassador to Japan; Ambassador to Turkey; Ambassador to Saudi Arabia; Ambassador to the Sultanate of Oman; Governor of Tennessee; **Governor of Mississippi**; Deputy Governor and Chief of Staff for the Governor of Tennessee; Commissioner of Finance & Administration (Chief Operating Officer), State of Tennessee; Special Counselor to the Governor of Virginia; **United States Circuit Court of Appeals Judge**; **United**

EXHIBIT
"10"

States District Court Judges, United States Attorneys, and Presidents of State and Local Bar Associations.

Baker Donelson represents local, regional, national and international clients. The Firm provides innovative, results-oriented solutions, placing the needs of the client first. Our state-of-the-art technologies seamlessly link all offices, provide instant information exchange, and support clients nationwide with secure access to our online document repository.

Baker Donelson is a member of several of the largest legal networks that provide our attorneys quick access to legal expertise throughout the United States and around the world.

**THE CASUALTIES OF
UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT COVER-UPS
KILLING CITIZENS AND THEN
BLAMING THEIR DEATHS ON
OPPOSITION GROUPS!!**



YES – PRESIDENT OBAMA KNOWS – BUT DOESN'T CARE!!

**EXHIBIT
"11"**

Pat Tillman's mom blasts choice for military program

by ABC News (April 14th, 2011 @ 12:53pm)



Mary Tillman talks with Jake Tapper of ABC News. (Photo courtesy ABC News)

Comments: **21**

Policy >>

Post Comment



Recommend

Sign Up to see what your friends recommend

WASHINGTON -- A White House effort to help military families, called "Joining Forces," is being criticized by a high-profile military mom.

The participation of a certain general in the program, announced by President Barack Obama on Tuesday, is causing the controversy.

Mary Tillman, mother of the late football player and Army Ranger Pat Tillman, calls the appointment of Retired Gen. Stanley McChrystal as co-chair of the program a "slap in the face" to all soldiers.

In an exclusive interview with ABC News, she said, "Someone who has the heartfelt desire to help families would not have been involved in the coverup of a soldier's death," Mary Tillman said. "I was actually pretty shocked to hear it, I don't think it's the appropriate choice."

She said McChrystal knew her son Pat was killed by friendly fire in Afghanistan, but helped perpetuate the story that he had been killed by enemy fire.

"Considering that we have plenty of evidence indicating that McChrystal was involved in the coverup of Pat's death... he's not the right person for that kind of job," she told ABC News.

The White House said McChrystal is the right choice for "Joining Forces."

Tillman played football for Arizona State University and the Arizona Cardinals. He left the NFL team to join the Army after the 9/11 terrorist attacks. He was killed in Afghanistan in April 2004.

Watch ABC's interview with Mary Tillman.



[Pat Tillman's mom calls on Obama to drop McChrystal from White House post](#)

- Posted on 04.14.11
- By Stephen C. Webster
- Categories: [Featured](#), [Nation](#)

Why is a general who [helped cover up the friendly-fire killing of an American hero](#) being appointed to lead a presidential commission on military families?

That's what the mother of Pat Tillman wants to know, and she's calling on President Obama to reverse the selection.

"Considering that we have plenty of evidence indicating that McChrystal was involved in the cover-up of Pat's death... he's not the right person for that kind of a job," she told ABC News [in an exclusive interview with Jake Tapper](#).

McChrystal resigned his post as one of America's top military generals [after a feature story by Rolling Stone reporter Michael Hastings](#) quoted him mocking the president and other civilian leaders.

This video is from ABC News, broadcast Thursday, April 14, 2011.



April 7, 2011

Changes ahead for Obama's national security team

Washington Post: Planned retirements ahead mean president will have chance to remake team in 2011



Defense Secretary Robert Gates, left, and Joint Chiefs of Staff Chairman Adm. Michael Mullen, testify on Capitol Hill March 31, 2011, about U.S. military operations in Libya. (AP)

(Washington Post)

This story was written by Washington Post staff writers Scott Wilson and Greg Jaffe

Key members of President Obama's national security team are preparing to leave their jobs beginning this summer, forcing the administration to fill several critical posts as it prepares to withdraw U.S. troops from Afghanistan and as turmoil continues in the Middle East.

Among those who have announced the intention to leave or are due to rotate out of existing jobs include Robert M. Gates, the defense secretary; Adm. Mike

Mullen, chairman of the joint chiefs of staff; Gen. David H. Petraeus, commander of international forces in Afghanistan; and Karl W. Eikenberry, the U.S. ambassador to Kabul. In some cases, the officials will retire. In others, they will transfer to new roles.

"For a country at war to lose its entire chain of command at the same time, more or less, is an extraordinary and fraught development," said Michael E. O'Hanlon, a senior fellow at the Brookings Institution. "The good news is that we have some very able people willing to continue in one way or the other."

The numerous vacancies will give Obama the opportunity to remake the top tier of his national security team for the first time since taking office. How he chooses to do so, whether with big thinkers or more technocratic managers, may signal his priorities as he heads into his campaign for reelection.

EXHIBIT
"12"

Early on, Obama was praised for appearing to value competence above all else in his appointments, notably in his choices of Gates, a veteran of Republican administrations, as defense secretary, and Hillary Rodham Clinton, a political rival, as secretary of state. But with some recent vacancies, he has chosen to elevate advisers with whom he feels most comfortable - a pattern that disappoints some analysts hoping for an injection of new ideas.

The new team will be coordinated by national security adviser Thomas E. Donilon, who has been in his job for only six months. White House officials would not comment on the impending changes, but several other officials provided information about internal deliberations on the condition that they not be identified.

The impending departures of Gates and Mullen, both holdovers from the George W. Bush administration, will open the top two defense positions and probably trigger other vacancies.

Gates has declined to pinpoint a departure date. But Pentagon officials expect that he will leave around July, when Obama is scheduled to begin withdrawing the 30,000 additional U.S. troops he deployed to Afghanistan at the end of 2009.

"The secretary made it clear some months ago that he intends to leave the job in Washington in 2011," said Geoffrey S. Morrell, the Pentagon spokesman. "Sometime this year, he will bow out."

The leading candidate, according to Pentagon and other sources, is CIA Director Leon Panetta, a veteran of Washington who would probably continue the procurement and budget reforms that Gates began.

U.S. officials close to Panetta said he has not been approached, even informally, about the Pentagon job, and stressed that he expected the CIA position to be his last high-level government post. Even so, the officials would not rule out Panetta's accepting the position. Panetta "isn't seeking any other job and hasn't been asked by the president to take on a different role," CIA spokesman George Little said.

Panetta was a surprise candidate to be CIA director and had to overcome early opposition from senior lawmakers who initially opposed his nomination because he had so little intelligence experience. But Panetta's influence with the White House and Washington savvy have made him a popular figure at CIA headquarters. At 72, he would be the oldest person to take on the leadership of the Defense Department.

A Pentagon official close to the White House said Navy Secretary Ray Mabus, a former Mississippi governor and ambassador to Saudi Arabia, could be another choice. But Panetta appears to be the favorite.

If he moves to the Pentagon, the CIA director job would open, [a post some in the administration say Petraeus would strongly consider taking if asked](#). He is scheduled to leave his post as commander of the roughly 100,000 U.S. troops in Afghanistan and other international forces this year.

As the regional commander in the Middle East and in Afghanistan, Petraeus has worked to promote cooperation between CIA and military strike teams, but the agency's critical analyses of the war have sometimes conflicted with Petraeus's more cautiously optimistic assertions of "fragile and reversible" progress.

"It would give him a chance to fix the problems at the CIA that he has been complaining about for the last several years," said one person familiar with the White House deliberations.

Petraeus has many supporters in Washington and in Kabul, many of whom are still hopeful that he could succeed Mullen as chairman of the Joint Chiefs. The decision will ultimately be Obama's to make.

Petraeus's prominence in Washington and his close relationship with influential lawmakers from both parties have made some in the White House uneasy, particularly political advisers who see him as a potential threat should he run for president, an ambition he has ruled out.

Petraeus has informed the White House that he is willing to serve in his post through November, the end of fighting season in Afghanistan.

Marine Lt. Gen. John R. Allen, deputy commander of the U.S. Central Command, appears to be the favorite to succeed him. Allen is already assembling his staff in preparation for a command transfer that could come within months.

Mullen is expected to retire when his term as chairman of the Joint Chiefs expires in September.

Marine Gen. James E. Cartwright, the vice chairman, is seen by many Pentagon officials as having the inside track to the top job because of his close working relationship with Obama, forged during the 2009 review of Afghan war strategy.

Maj. Cliff W. Gilmore, a spokesman for Cartwright, declined to comment on the general's future except to say: "He'll continue to serve at the pleasure of the president."

Cartwright's term as vice chairman expires in August, and no clear favorite has emerged to succeed him. But because he is a Marine aviator, the next vice chairman is likely to come from the ranks of ground forces officers, making Gen. Ray Odierno, former commander of U.S. forces in Iraq, a strong candidate for the post.

One indicator of Cartwright's standing is that his opponents inside the Pentagon have fueled a whisper campaign in recent months in a bid to derail his candidacy. In February, the Pentagon released documents showing that the Defense Department's inspector general had investigated allegations that Cartwright had a sexual relationship with a subordinate in 2009.

The inspector general found no evidence that Cartwright had any kind of romantic relationship with the female officer, but the general was criticized for failing to discipline the woman, who was found to have behaved in an unprofessional manner after having too much to drink.

Mabus, the Navy secretary, ultimately disregarded the inspector general's recommendation that administrative action be taken against Cartwright, concluding that he had not acted improperly.

Staff writers Craig Whitlock, Rajiv Chandrasekaran, Greg Miller and Karen DeYoung, in Washington, and Craig Timberg, traveling with Gates, contributed to this report.

**UNITED STATES COUNSEL/ADVISORS
HAVE THE MONEY AND THE POWER TO DO
WHATEVER THEY WANT –
AND HAVE BEEN GETTING AWAY WITH CORRUPTION
and
COVER-UPS FOR A VERY LONG TIME!!**



PRESIDENT OBAMA'S/BUSH'S BEHIND CLOSED DOOR DEALS!!



**JUST ANOTHER PUPPET on
KEY INTEREST GROUPS' and BIG MONEY GROUPS' LIST!!**

**CELEBRATION OF MIDDLE EAST CITIZENS’
VICTORY IN TAKING BACK THEIR COUNTRY
IT CAME WITH A PRICE
- PEOPLE WILLING TO DIE FOR FREEDOM -
BUT THEY ARE FREE**



CONGRATULATIONS!



CONGRATULATIONS!



CONGRATULATIONS!



CONGRATULATIONS!



CONGRATULATIONS!

POTOMAC, Maryland, July 7, 2010

Alleged Army Whistleblower Felt "Isolated"

Bradley Manning Said To Have Leaked Sensitive Information Because He Wanted People To "See the Truth"



An undated photo of Army Spc. Bradley Manning. (AP)

The leaked video shows graphic gun-camera images of a U.S. helicopter attack in Baghdad in July 2007 in which several unarmed men were killed; 2 children were also wounded. WikiLeaks said it obtained the video from whistleblowers and published it to prove the helicopters were not under fire or acting in self defense.

(CBS)

(AP) With his custom-made "humanist" dog tags and distrust of authority, Bradley Manning was no conventional soldier.


Ostracized by peers in Baghdad, busted for assaulting a fellow soldier and disdainful of the military's inattention to computer security, the 22-year-old intelligence analyst styled himself a "hactivist."

On Tuesday, the U.S. Army charged him with multiple counts of mishandling and leaking classified data and putting national security at risk.

Manning is suspected of leaking a classified video that shows a group of men walking down the street in Iraq before being repeatedly shot by Apache helicopters.

In a series of online chats in late May with a fellow computer geek, Manning claimed he had leaked a staggering 260,000 classified diplomatic reports, along with secret video of U.S. service members killing civilians, to the whistleblower website Wikileaks.org.

Whether or not Manning was the source, Wikileaks in April posted a video clips shot from a cockpit in



[Play CBS Video Iraqi Journalist Shooting Video](#)

Wikileaks.org released a 2007 video allegedly showing U.S. forces shooting 2 Reuters journalists in Iraq mistakenly thought to be carrying weapons. Elizabeth Palmer reports.

2007, of excited, laughing U.S. troops gunning down a group of men that included a Reuters news photographer and his driver. An internal military investigation concluded the troops acted appropriately, despite having mistaken camera equipment for weapons.

The case has drawn comparisons to Daniel Ellsberg's leak 40 years ago of the Pentagon Papers, a top-secret history of the Vietnam War. And it has bolstered perceptions that the Obama administration, despite a stated policy of open government, is as determined as its predecessors with keeping secrets.

Manning's online confidant, former outlaw computer hacker R. Adrian Lamo, reported their chats to U.S. authorities in late May, partly out of concern, he says, that national security was at stake.

Manning's military defense attorney, Capt. Paul R. Bouchard, didn't return calls and e-mails. The Army said Tuesday in a statement that a military version of a grand jury hearing will determine if Manning should face a trial by court-martial.

Manning is a slight, boyish-looking son of divorced parents from Crescent, Oklahoma, population 1,400. His Facebook page shows him smiling, with stylish, upswept hair and a stated affinity for gay-rights groups including Repeal the Ban, which seeks to end the "don't ask, don't tell" policy on homosexuals serving openly in the U.S. military.

Growing up in a house he shared with his parents and older sister, Manning had a sharp intellect and an interest in science, history and computers, said Jordan Davis, a boyhood pal. He said Manning also was determined at a young age to join the Army.

"It always seemed to me that Bradley was actually was more patriotic than probably even your average person," he said.

Chera Moore, another childhood friend, described Manning as highly intelligent and helpful. But she said he had "anger issues" and could get furious when people disagreed with him.

When Manning's parents split up in middle school, he left Oklahoma to live with his mother in Wales, Davis said.

After Manning graduated from high school and returned to Oklahoma, he quit or lost jobs in food service and retail in Tulsa, Davis said. Settling briefly in Chicago, Manning moved in with an aunt in Potomac, a Maryland suburb of Washington, D.C., and took community college courses before joining the Army in 2007.

Davis said Manning trained in Arizona, probably at Fort Huachuca, where he received instruction in compiling intelligence reports. Such reports help the military determine changes in enemy capabilities, vulnerabilities and probable courses of action.

In recent months, Davis said, Manning seemed to have grown more aware of social issues, including the gay-rights movement.

Manning's family members declined interview requests from The Associated Press.

According to partial chat logs Lamo shared first with Wired.com, Manning started communicating with Lamo on May 21, a couple of weeks after he was reduced in rank from specialist to private first class for assaulting another soldier.

In one of many personal asides, Manning told Lamo he had been the only nonreligious person in a town that had "more pews than people," and that he had custom-made dogtags reading "humanist."

Manning said he was pending discharge for an "adjustment disorder," according to the chat logs, but Army spokesman Lt. Col. Eric Bloom said Manning wasn't facing discharge when he was detained May 29.

The chats reveal Manning's frustration at being "regularly ignored" at work.

"I've been isolated so long," he wrote. "I just wanted to be nice, and live a normal life ... but events kept forcing me to figure out ways to survive ... smart enough to know what's going on, but helpless to do anything."

According to the chat logs, Manning's turning point came when he watched Iraqi police detain 15 people for printing anti-Iraqi literature that turned out to be a scholarly critique of Prime

Minister Nouri al-Maliki.

"After that ... I saw things differently," he wrote. "I was actively involved in something that I was completely against."

Manning wrote he had copied onto compact discs "possibly the largest data spillage in American history" while listening and lip-synching to Lady Gaga's "Telephone." He wrote that he exploited "a perfect storm" of military computer vulnerability: "weak servers, weak logging, weak physical security, weak counterintelligence, inattentive signal analysis."

His motive, according to the chat logs: "I want people to see the truth ... because without information, you cannot make informed decisions as a public." Manning wrote that he hoped to provoke worldwide discussion, debates and reform, according to the chat logs.

Lamo told the AP he grew concerned "when it became apparent that he was leaking classified information to a foreign national" - Wikileaks' Australian founder Julian Assange. Early in their online conversations, Manning told Lamo that he had sent 260,000 State Department diplomatic cables to Wikileaks.

Lamo said he turned the chat logs over to Army criminal investigators after consulting with a friend who had worked in Army counterintelligence.

"It was a combination of an act of conscience and an act spurred by my understanding of the law," Lamo said. "I did this because I thought what he was doing was very dangerous."

Ellsberg said he considers Manning and Assange heroes for publicizing information the government wanted suppressed. He said Manning's alleged leak was possibly more significant than his own, which exposed the secret expansion of the Vietnam War.

"He is the first person in 39 years to do something comparable to what I did - and really better than what I did, because it's current," Ellsberg said.

Both Ellsberg and Gabriel Schoenfeld, an author who supports cracking down on leakers, said that the Obama administration has gone further than the Bush White House in pursuing alleged whistleblowers.

According to the charging document, Manning was charged with putting a classified video of a

military operation recorded July 12, 2007, in Baghdad on his personal computer. That is the date and the location of the U.S. helicopter shooting. He was also accused of accessing more than 150,000 classified State Department cables.

While the charging document didn't mention Wikileaks, Manning was accused of giving the video and at least one cable "to a person not entitled to receive" them. That cable was titled "Reykjavik 13." Wikileaks has posted a Jan. 13 cable about a meeting in Reykjavik, Iceland, summarizing U.S. Embassy discussions with Icelandic officials about the country's financial troubles.

The charges against Manning follow April's indictment of former National Security Agency worker Thomas Drake for allegedly lying and obstructing justice in an investigation of classified information leaks to The Baltimore Sun.

The Army's decision to charge Manning also followed a federal grand jury's reissuance in April of a subpoena seeking the names of some sources for journalist James Risen's book, "State of War: The Secret History of the CIA and the Bush Administration."

Schoenfeld, author of "Necessary Secrets" and a senior fellow at the conservative Hudson Institute, said leaks of military information during wartime run counter to America's interests.

"We're serious about trying to win, and it's extremely damaging to the morale of our troops," he said. "It inflames the local opinion, where we have a real battle for hearts and minds."

Julian Assange

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

Julian Paul Assange (ⓘ /əˈsɒːnɜː/ *ə-SOHNZH*; born 3 July 1971) is an Australian publisher,^{[4][5]} journalist,^{[6][7][8]} software developer and Internet activist. He is the spokesperson and editor in chief of WikiLeaks, a whistleblower website and conduit for worldwide news leaks, with the stated purpose of creating open governments. Assange worked as a computer programmer and was a hacker during his youth.^[9] He has lived in several countries and has made public appearances in many parts of the world to speak about freedom of the press, censorship and investigative journalism.

Assange serves on the Wikileaks advisory board.^{[10][11]} He has published material about extrajudicial killings in Kenya, toxic waste dumping in Côte d'Ivoire, Church of Scientology manuals, Guantanamo Bay procedures, and banks such as Kaupthing and Julius Baer.^[12] In 2010, he published Iraq War documents and Afghan War documents about American involvement in the wars, some of which was classified material. On 28 November 2010, WikiLeaks and its five international print media partners (*Der Spiegel*, *The New York Times*, *Le Monde*, *The Guardian* and *El País*) began publishing US diplomatic cables.^[13]


Assange has received a number of awards and nominations, including the 2009 Amnesty International Media Award for publishing material about extrajudicial killings in Kenya and Readers' Choice for *Time* magazine's 2010 Person of the Year.^[14]

Assange has appealed a February 2011 decision by English courts to extradite him to Sweden for questioning in relation to a sexual misconduct investigation.^{[15][16][17][18]} He has said the allegations of wrongdoing are "without basis".^[19]

Contents

- 1 Early life
 - 1.1 Hacking and conviction
 - 1.2 Child custody issues
- 2 Computer programming and university studies
- 3 WikiLeaks
 - 3.1 Public appearances

Julian Assange



Assange in 2010

Born	3 July 1971 ^{[1][2][3]} Townsville, Queensland, Australia
Nationality	Australian
<i>Alma mater</i>	University of Melbourne
Occupation	Editor-in-chief and spokesperson for WikiLeaks
Awards	Economist Freedom of Expression Award (2008) Amnesty International UK Media Award (2009) Sam Adams Award (2010)



Political Hotsheet

July 20, 2010 3:31 PM

Shirley Sherrod: White House Forced My Resignation

Posted by Stephanie Condon



Updated at 6:11 p.m. ET

The Department of Agriculture employee who resigned after a controversy erupted over recent remarks she made is now saying that the White House forced her resignation.

Agriculture Secretary Tom Vilsack, however, is taking responsibility for the resignation, and the White House reportedly says it had no part in his decision.

Shirley Sherrod, the USDA's former director of rural development in Georgia, said USDA deputy undersecretary Cheryl Cook called her Monday and said the White House wanted her to resign, the Associated Press reports.

"They called me twice," Sherrod told the AP, noting that she was driving when she received the calls. "The last time they asked me to pull over the side of the road and submit my resignation on my Blackberry, and that's what I did."

Sherrod submitted her resignation after she became the focus of scrutiny from Fox News and

EXHIBIT
"17"

conservative blogs over remarks she gave at an NAACP Freedom Fund Banquet on March 27. A video of a portion of her remarks were posted on a conservative blog, giving the impression that Sherrod admitted to discriminating against a white farmer as an employee of the USDA.

The comments were taken out of context, however. In her remarks that day, Sherrod was recounting a story that pre-dates her tenure at the USDA by more than two decades. Sherrod says in her story that Chapter 12 bankruptcy had just been enacted; Chapter 12 was instituted for family farmers in 1986, while Sherrod was appointed to head the USDA's Rural Development office in Georgia just last July. Furthermore, the point of Sherrod's story is that race is not an issue.

Sherrod has said the video excerpt did not include the full story of her relationship with the farmer, with whom she says she became friends after helping him avoid foreclosure.

Nevertheless, Sherrod says the White House pressed for her resignation.

Earlier today, Vilsack released a statement saying he had accepted Sherrod's resignation, and added that the department has no tolerance for discrimination.

This afternoon, Vilsack released another statement saying he asked for Sherrod's resignation.

"First, for the past 18 months, we have been working to turn the page on the sordid civil rights record at USDA and this controversy could make it more difficult to move forward on correcting injustices," Vilsack said. "Second, state rural development directors make many decisions and are often called to use their discretion. The controversy surrounding her comments would create situations where her decisions, rightly or wrongly, would be called into question making it difficult for her to bring jobs to Georgia."

A White House official told **CBS News** that the White House did not pressure Sherrod or the Department, contrary to Sherrod's claims.

The NAACP on Monday released a statement condemning Sherrod's statements and saying the organization supported the USDA's position. The group said late Tuesday, however, that "We have come to the conclusion we were snookered by Fox News and Tea Party Activist Andrew Breitbart."

U.S. PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA: THE DOWNFALL/DOOM OF THE OBAMA ADMINISTRATION – Corruption/Conspiracy/Cover-Up/Criminal Acts Made Public

1 message

Tue, Jul 13, 2010 at 6:04 PM

To: bhobama@who.eop.gov, contact@whitehouse.gov, contact@who.eop.gov, askdoj@usdoj.gov, contact@usdoj.gov, solis.hilda@dol.gov, clintonhr@state.gov, sf.nancy@mail.house.gov, AmericanVoices@mail.house.gov, jr Biden@who.eop.gov, vdnewsome@gmail.com, mrobama@who.eop.gov, jt Biden@who.eop.gov, remmanuel@who.eop.gov, eric.epstein@usdoj.gov, joel.roessner@usdoj.gov, ann.marie.paskalis@usdoj.gov, navin.jeff@dol.gov, greenfield.deborah@dol.gov, deleon.terry@dol.gov, montgomery.edward@dol.gov, maxwell.mary@dol.gov, debusk.tom@dol.gov, nelson.malcolm@dol.gov, pierre.karina@dol.gov, harris.seth@dol.gov, geale.nick@dol.gov, baker.melaule@dol.gov, johnson.esther@dol.gov, kerr.michael@dol.gov, walsh.maureen@dol.gov, hugler.edward@dol.gov, mcreless-kenneth@dol.gov, fernandez.noelia@dol.gov, deguzman.cesar@dol.gov, wear-terrance@dol.gov, rouse-robert@dol.gov, brito-claudette@dol.gov, stewart-milton@dol.gov, hunt-linda@dol.gov, saracco-john@dol.gov, nunley-karen@dol.gov, murphy.daniel@dol.gov, love.denise@dol.gov, pruitthomas@dol.gov, nicklas.nancy@dol.gov, christian-faye@dol.gov, flick.paul@dol.gov, clark-patricia@dol.gov, harper.douglas@dol.gov, strain-ruby@dol.gov, brevard-john@dol.gov, whitted.robert@dol.gov, veatch.valerie@dol.gov, Jenkins.carol@dol.gov, lopez.victor@dol.gov, waller.janice@dol.gov, noll.barry@dol.gov, clark.larry@dol.gov, huotari.mjohn@dol.gov, fernandez.ramon@dol.gov, tamakloe.julia@dol.gov, perez.naomi@dol.gov, winstead.lillian@dol.gov, johnson.dawn@dol.gov, kenyon.geoffrey@dol.gov, wichlin-mark@dol.gov, barker-susan@dol.gov, lopez-betty@dol.gov, green-kim@dol.gov, qualls-carol@dol.gov, burckman-andrea@dol.gov, bonner-jerome@dol.gov, parker-violet@dol.gov, sullivan-dennis@dol.gov, brewer-brooke@dol.gov, wiesner.thomas@dol.gov, fox-kathy@dol.gov, bordreaux.kimberly@dol.gov, king-yann@dol.gov, sullivan.peter@dol.gov, manning.tonya@dol.gov, lewis-richard@dol.gov, ouyachi.hamid@dol.gov, french.richard@dol.gov, frederickson.david@dol.gov, davis.mark@dol.gov, hall.keith@bls.gov, kerr.cheryl@bls.gov, rones_phillip@bls.gov, adams_susan@bls.gov, eltinge.john@bls.gov, lacey.daniel@bls.gov, berezdirin.janice@bls.gov, berrington.emily@bls.gov, kuss.lawrence@bls.gov, jenkins.alaina@bls.gov, spolarich.peter@bls.gov, rose.sydney@bls.gov, rust_stuart@bls.gov, kasanowski.cathy@bls.gov, waitrowski.william@bls.gov, ferguson.gwyn@bls.gov, doyle.philip@bls.gov, simpson.hilary@bls.gov, harris.francis@bls.gov, ruser.john@bls.gov, shaffer.thomas@bls.gov, newman.katherine@bls.gov, galvin.john@bls.gov, homer.p@bls.gov, butani.shail@bls.gov, loewenstein@bls.gov, nardone.thomas@bls.gov, allard.d@bls.gov, brown.sharon@bls.gov, getz.patricia@bls.gov, clayton.richard@bls.gov, robertson_k@bls.gov, sommers.dixie@bls.gov, franklin.j@bls.gov, stamas.george@bls.gov, bartsch.k@bls.gov, kennedy-brian@dol.gov, daniels-joycelyn@dol.gov, burr-geoff@dol.gov, wheeler.joseph@dol.gov, fisher.tammy@dol.gov, stohler.thomas@dol.gov, carmichael.ann@dol.gov, snyder.eric@dol.gov, setterberg.andrew@dol.gov, herbison.ronald@dol.gov, czamecki-karen@dol.gov, sadowski.daniel@dol.gov, becker.jeff@dol.gov, boylan.lorelei@dol.gov, busi.stephanie@dol.gov, harris.russell@dol.gov, mckee.john@dol.gov, ginsler.michael@dol.gov, brennan.richard@dol.gov, kerschner.arthur@dol.gov, relerford.barbara@dol.gov, kessler.james@dol.gov, ziegler.mary@dol.gov, helm.timothy@dol.gov, diane.koplewski@dol.gov, hendrix.janice@dol.gov, kravitz.michael@dol.gov, smith.carl.p@dol.gov, brown.gail@dol.gov, devore.robert@dol.gov, mendley.kebo@dol.gov, gross.williams@dol.gov, ebbesen.shirley@dol.gov, hamlet.sandra@dol.gov, michaels.david@dol.gov, shalhoub.donald@dol.gov, sierra.gabriel@dol.gov, ferris.john@dol.gov, miller.matt@dol.gov, taylor.aaron@dol.gov, collins.jan@dol.gov, miller.amy@dol.gov, fortune.cathy@dol.gov, ashley.jennifer@dol.gov, fairfax.richard@dol.gov, galassi.thomas@dol.gov, butler.steve@dol.gov, buchanan.arthur@dol.gov, sands.melody@dol.gov, talek.nilgun@dol.gov, furia.karen@dol.gov, adams.angela@dol.gov, breitenbach.catherine@dol.gov, beyer.wayne@dol.gov, walker.juanetta@dol.gov, transue-oliver@dol.gov, dunlop-janet@dol.gov, vittone.john@dol.gov, colwell.william@dol.gov, purcell.stephen@dol.gov, chapman.linda@dol.gov, levin.stuart@dol.gov, miller.edward@dol.gov, solomon.daniel@dol.gov, stansell-gamm@dol.gov, tureck.jeffrey@dol.gov,

EXHIBIT
"18"

wood.pamela@dol.gov, soto.pj@dol.gov, dorsey.marygrace@dol.gov, harper.yolanda@dol.gov,
 thomas.andrea@dol.gov, soto.victor@dol.gov, washington.yvonne@dol.gov, dolder-nancy@dol.gov, davis-
 patricia@dol.gov, boggs-judith@dol.gov, hall-betty@dol.gov, mcgranery-regina@dol.gov, smith-roy@dol.gov,
 santacroce-loretta@dol.gov, jones-carolita@dol.gov, ulan-janie@dol.gov, ulmer-glenn@dol.gov,
 shortenhaus.scott@dol.gov, pelman.eric@dol.gov, fortin.kristin@dol.gov, ross.kimberlee@dol.gov,
 dougherty.dorothy@dol.gov, edens.amanda@dol.gov, perry.bill@dol.gov, janis.carol@dol.gov,
 ruskin.maureen@dol.gov, wallis.david@dol.gov, maddux.jim@dol.gov, pittenger.don@dol.gov,
 botwin.sharon@dol.gov, hinshaw.pat@dol.gov, manning.richard@dol.gov, hankin.stanley@dol.gov,
 kaplan.jennifer@dol.gov, hatchet.dolline@dol.gov, gendron.adriana@dol.gov, abrahamson.peggy@dol.gov,
 steinberg.gary@dol.gov, louviere.amy@dol.gov, sims.david@dol.gov, bohnert.suzy@dol.gov,
 biddle.mike@dol.gov, haywood-lynette@msha.gov, cooper-darrell@msha.gov, charboneau-thomas@msha.gov,
 mcgann-denise@msha.gov, rowlett.john@msha.gov, carson.carroll@atf.gov, ardry.stucko@atf.gov,
 charlayne.amentrout@atf.gov, william.kullman@atf.gov, joseph.riehl@atf.gov, gregory.plott@atf.gov,
 gilbert.bartosh@atf.gov, debra.satkowiak@atf.gov, kenneth.coffey@atf.gov, ray.rowley@atf.gov,
 gary.bangs@atf.gov, christine.dixon@atf.gov, david.brown@atf.gov, john.spencer@atf.gov,
 michael.oneil@atf.gov, benjamin.mendoza@atf.gov, christopher.reeves@atf.gov, patricia.power@atf.gov,
 kevin.boydston@atf.gov, robert.thomas@atf.gov, mark.curtin@atf.gov, orlando.blanco@atf.gov,
 davy.aguilera@atf.gov, robert.levingston@atf.gov, charles.houser@atf.gov, gilbert.salinas@atf.gov,
 david.johnson@atf.gov, brenda.bennett@atf.gov, ben.hayes@atf.gov, colemanc@state.gov, millsc@state.gov,
 sullivanj@state.gov, steinbergjb@state.gov, millettejl@state.gov, jacobssk@state.gov, hembreeel@state.gov,
 asmalis@state.gov, ledbetterth@state.gov, kaplansl@state.gov, smithdb@state.gov, slaughteram@state.gov,
 johnmr1@state.gov, smithgb@state.gov, caramanicajf@state.gov, cantonja@state.gov, kohhh@state.gov,
 harrisonjc@state.gov, kearneydp@state.gov, williamsvx@state.gov, donoghueje@state.gov, thessinh@state.gov,
 schwartzjb@state.gov, biniazsn@state.gov, gallagherdj@state.gov, malinmc@state.gov, browncw@state.gov,
 mcleodm@state.gov, kokenkn@state.gov, rvisek@state.gov, olsonpm@state.gov, harrisrk@state.gov,
 groshlj@state.gov, johnscm2@state.gov, wiegmannjb@state.gov, kimjj@state.gov, buchwaldtf@state.gov,
 richecr@state.gov, frechetteaa@state.gov, tauschereo@state.gov, nelsondj2@state.gov, ferraoje@state.gov,
 weigoldea@state.gov, mitchellm@state.gov, posnermh@state.gov, mclarenaj@state.gov, stewartkb@state.gov,
 jacobsjl@state.gov, ruterboriesja@state.gov, faillacerj@state.gov, kirbymd@state.gov, kathrynca2@state.gov,
 vydmantasrj@state.gov, barbara.lucas@dot.gov, raymond.lahood@dot.gov, joan.deoer@dot.gov,
 sandy.snyder@dot.gov, mark.bushing@dot.gov, suhail.khan@dot.gov, wilda.dear@dot.gov,
 paul.gretch@dot.gov, mary.street@dot.gov, **thomas.vilsack@usda.gov**, sally.cluthe@usda.gov,
 kathleen.merrigan@usda.gov, suzanne.palmieri@usda.gov, carole.jett@usda.gov, john.verge@usda.gov,
 sdcollins@fs.fed.us, bruce.bundick@usda.gov, maryann.swigart@usda.gov, ngozi.abolarin@usda.gov,
 robert.simpson@usda.gov, barbara.cephas@usda.gov, danita.stanton@usda.gov, jglauber@oce.usda.gov,
 sbrown@oce.usda.gov, salathe@oce.usda.gov, cgoodloe@oce.usda.gov, rconway@oce.usda.gov,
 gbange@oce.usda.gov, vbharrod@oce.usda.gov, dstallings@oce.usda.gov, chung.yeh@oce.usda.gov,
 sshagam@oce.usda.gov, rmotha@oce.usda.gov, larry.quinn@usda.gov, corinne.hirsh@usda.gov,
 heather.vaughn@usda.gov, cheryl.normille@usda.gov, david.black@usda.gov, anthony.bouldin@usda.gov,
 gary.crawford@usda.gov, susan.carter@usda.gov, rod.bain@usda.gov, bob.ellison@usda.gov,
 pat.oleary@usda.gov, mansy.pullen@usda.gov, angela.harless@usda.gov, andrew.vlasaty@usda.gov,
 kelly.porter@usda.gov, david.kelly@usda.gov, matt.allen@usda.gov, william.jenson@usda.gov,
 mike.stewart@usda.gov, stephen.reilly@usda.gov, gloria.derobertis@usda.gov, joe.leonard@usda.gov,
 renee.allen@usda.gov, mary.mcneil@usda.gov, larry.newell@usda.gov, lisa.wilusz@usda.gov,
 denise.banks@usda.gov, david.king@usda.gov, rhonda.davis@usda.gov, christopher.l.smith@usda.gov,
 kate.hickman@usda.gov, mary.s.heard@usda.gov, ray.sheehan@usda.gov, mikem.edwards@usda.gov,
 ed.peterman@usda.gov, julia.carr@usda.gov, ellen.pearson@usda.gov, tonya.willis@usda.gov,
 dawn.bolden@usda.gov, wilma.bradley@usda.gov, ruby.goodman@usda.gov, ericka.luna@usda.gov,
 andrea.zizack@usda.gov, jachea.westbrook@usda.gov, joseph.ware@usda.gov, belinda.ward@usda.gov,
 barbara.lacour@usda.gov, glocke@doc.gov, mgeraghty@doc.gov, emoran@doc.gov, jandberg@doc.gov,
 kgriffis@doc.gov, jconnor@doc.gov, squehl@doc.gov, jcharles@doc.gov, ffanning@doc.gov, delznic@doc.gov,
 jjessup@doc.gov, cfields@doc.gov, saramaki@doc.gov, rmack@doc.gov, kanderson@doc.gov,
 szanelotti@doc.gov, bworthy@doc.gov, jponce@doc.gov, sthomas@doc.gov, scoggs@doc.gov,
 mbelardo@doc.gov, ltronge@doc.gov, emccloud@mbda.gov, dhinson@mbda.gov, ctong@mbda.gov,
 pcox@mbda.gov, bgonzalez@mbda.gov, rmarin@mbda.gov, chiefcounsel@mbda.gov, ywhitley@mbda.gov,
 margot.rogers@ed.gov, matthew.yale@ed.gov, jo.anderson@ed.gov, marshall.smith@ed.gov,
 joann.ryan@ed.gov, philip.link@ed.gov, mark.schneider@ed.gov, phil.maestri@ed.gov, samuel.myers@ed.gov,
 melanie.muenzer@ed.gov, jen.waller@ed.gov, anthony.miller@ed.gov, angelica.annino@ed.gov,
 joshua.bendor@ed.gov, stephanie.fine@ed.gov, kevin.liao@ed.gov, hillary.liep@ed.gov,
 lauren.lowenstein@ed.gov, crystal.martinez@ed.gov, frankie.martinez@ed.gov, samuel.salk@ed.gov,
 rene.spellman@ed.gov, hallie.montoyatansey@ed.gov, maribel.duran@ed.gov, marisa.bold@ed.gov,

tia.borders@ed.gov, gregory.darnieder@ed.gov, jessica.goldstein@ed.gov, william.jawando@ed.gov, steve.robinson@ed.gov, eric.waldo@ed.gov, ann.whalen@ed.gov, joanne.weiss@ed.gov, jacqueline.jones@ed.gov, wendy.tada@ed.gov, marta.zaniewski@ed.gov, meredith.miller@ed.gov, Vincent.pickett@ed.gov, kristi.wilson@ed.gov, michael.roark@ed.gov, Thelma.melendezdesantaana@ed.gov, alexander.goniprow@ed.gov, catherine.freeman@ed.gov, stephanie.sprow@ed.gov, joseph.conaty@ed.gov, sylvia.lyles@ed.gov, brenda.goetz@ed.gov, james.butler@ed.gov, deborah.spitz@ed.gov, catherine.schagh@ed.gov, katrina.farmer@ed.gov, robin.robinson@ed.gov, marilyn.hall@ed.gov, cathie.carothers@ed.gov, lana.shaughnessy@ed.gov, bernard.garcia@ed.gov, juan.sepulveda@ed.gov, maryann.gomez@ed.gov, linda.bugg@ed.gov, sophia.stampley@ed.gov, virgie.barnes@ed.gov, glorimar.maldonadonosai@ed.gov, richard.smith@ed.gov, amanda.feliciano@ed.gov

TO: UNITED NATION LEADERS/FOREIGN LEADERS
CHRISTIANS/SAINTS

This is an UPDATE to Newsome's previous E-mails that you may have received from Newsome. Newsome is sharing information with you and others in that it of PUBLIC/NATIONAL importance for the human rights, equal rights, and wellbeing of the lives of many people/citizens. Newsome prays that you find this information "educational," "helpful" "encouraging" and "uplifting."

PLEASE NOTE: *Newsome apologize for the constant change in the Email addresses; however, she has come under attack and her e-mails are being DISABLED to prevent her from sharing important information as that contained in this e-mail and the attachments. Nevertheless, Newsome perseveres through such oppositions and attempts to further obstruct justice. This is information that the United States MEDIA/PRESS will not share with you although they are aware of what is going on. Nevertheless, apparently foreign leaders/foreign nations are taking such matters seriously!!*

No the United States Government thought that taking out Leaders such as Martin Luther King Jr., Malcolm X, Medgar Evers, and many more would silence African-Americans and keep them in CAPTIVITY. *However, it is finding out that **STRONGER SHOTS** are springing forth and what these Leaders were murdered for (to keep from public knowledge) is **COMING TO THE LIGHT!!!** The TRUTH for what these Leaders were murdered/killed for to keep from being told- is **COMING TO LIGHT!!***


United States President Barack Obama, his Administration and those they rely upon for counsel/advice have **ALL** made a **WILLFUL, CONSCIOUS, DELIBERATE and MALICIOUS** decision to take on Newsome and destroy her life WITHOUT just cause. In so doing, they have wedge a battle against Newsome and have REFUSED to address and correct the **CORRUPTION, CONSPIRACIES, RACIAL INJUSTICES/PREJUDICES/ DISCRIMINATION** brought timely, properly and adequately to their attention. Proverbs 16:18:

Emptysuit

Another Day In Omerica

Woman At CNBC Town Hall Meeting, Tired Of Defending Obama (Transcript)

with 7 comments



AUDIENCE MEMBER: Thank you very much. And quite frankly, good afternoon, President Obama. I'm deeply honored to be in this forum and so grateful for CNBC for making the forum available so you can speak to American citizens just like myself. I'm a chief financial officer for a veterans service organization and that's here in Washington. I'm also a mother, I'm a wife, I'm an American veteran, and I'm one of your middle-class Americans.

Quite frankly, I'm exhausted. Exhausted of defending you, defending your administration, defending the man for change I voted for, and deeply disappointed with where we are right now. I've been told that I voted for a man who said he was going to change things in a meaningful way for the middle class. I'm one of those people and I'm waiting, sir, I'm waiting. I don't feel it yet. While I thought it wouldn't be a great measure, I would feel it in some small measure. I have two children in private school, and the financial recession has taken an enormous toll on my family. My husband and I joked that we thought we were well beyond the hot dogs and beans era of our lives. And quite

Another One Bites the Unemployment Dust

WRITTEN ON NOVEMBER 25, 2010 BY ALYSSA ANDERSON IN BUSINESS

After confiding to President Obama about her fears regarding her financial future, Velma Hart was indeed fired from her job as chief financial officer for Am Vets, a non-profit organization based in Maryland.

Two months ago Ms. Hart expressed her worry about her own financial future in a town hall meeting in Washington, D.C. Her remarks were used as political fodder by Obama opponents to prove that Obama's staunchest supporters were losing faith in his ability to save the economy.

"My husband and I joked for years that we thought we were well beyond the hot-dogs-and-beans era of our lives," she said during the CNBC town hall broadcast. "But quite frankly, it's starting to knock on our door and ring true that that's where we might be where we're headed again. And quite frankly, Mr. President, I need you to answer this honestly: Is this my new reality?"

In what can be seen as an ironic twist, Velma Hart has joined the growing numbers of the un-gainfully unemployed of our great nation.

Local News: **Port Gibson, MS**

[Sign Up](#) | [Sign In](#)

ZIP code or keyword



1 2 3
TED STEVENS
Ted Stevens



- HOME
- FORUMS
- TOP STORIES
- POPULAR
- LOCAL
- GAY MARRIAGE
- US
- POLITICS
- WORLD
- SPORTS
- ENTERTAINMENT
- OFFBEAT
- OTHER

- PORT GIBSON
- News
- Forums & Polls
 - Man in custody after ...
- Real-Time News
- Crime
- Yellow Pages
- White Pages
- Entertainment
- Photos
- Shopping
- Coupons
- Real Estate
- Dating
- Jobs



Man in custody after fatal shooting in Port Gibson

Full story: [The Sun Herald](#)

The attorney for the Claiborne County Board of Supervisors was gunned down Friday and at least one other person was wounded during a shooting spree by an apparent disgruntled former county employee, officials ...

Read Share

21 Comments

[More Port Gibson Discussions »](#)

Ads by Google

[Save \\$ Inmate Phone Calls](#) - All Federal & State Prisons Call (888) 728-2726 to save today!
[www.ConsCallHome.com](#)

[Software For Police](#) - Locate Suspects, Criminals and More With Accurint®- Get A Free Demo!
[www.LexisNexis.com/Government](#)

[Expunge Criminal Records](#) - Clear Your Record of Misdemeanors, DUI's, Even Felonies! 3 Easy Steps.
[ClearMyRecord.com](#)

Running for office in Port Gibson? Advertise here!



in Port Gibson

Comments

Showing posts 1 - 20 of 21 [< prev page](#) | [next page >](#) [Go to last page](#) | Jump to page: 1 -

Sarah Kelly *Elgin, IL* Mar 20, 2006 #1 | [Judge it!](#) | [Report Abuse](#) | [Reply](#) »

What ever happened to "Love One Another"? I heard of the disaster / murder and it felt as if my heart was ripped from my body. Not that I don't hear of murders daily but because I cannot believe that my small home town has taken on the same problems as the Big Cities. I am extemeely sorry to know that my classmate was the person who did the shooting. As a young person growing up in that small town and not returning for decades, as I look back on how people in other parts of the country measure up to the people in Small Town Port Gibson, **I would Put Carl Brandon as a model from my town. I think he was one of the more intellegent and well manners persons in the class. i cannot imagine this guy waking up one morning to decide that he want to destroy his life and others.** I think that this is a tragedy and that fact cannot be denied, but the greater issue is that behind all of this there was a reason. For every action there is a reaction. Sometimes the reaction is hard to understand but it has to be caused by some action first. We can only pray that God will forgive because there are no winners in this situation. Everyone lost something. I am over 18 hundred miles away and have not in that small town in years but I felt a lost.

" May God Bless and don't forget to love ,embrace and forgive one another.

Have a Great Day !!!!!

Distressed *Chicago, IL* Mar 20, 2006 #2 | [Judge it!](#) | [Report Abuse](#) | [Reply](#) »

This story is so sad.

Angel *Chicago, IL* Mar 20, 2006 #3 | [Judge it!](#) | [Report Abuse](#) | [Reply](#) »

*Distressed wrote:
This story is so sad.*

Yes, I heard about this and it is very very sad. My heart goes out to everyone involved in this tragedy.

Shelly jones *Nashville, TN* Mar 21, 2006 #4 | [Judge it!](#) | [Report Abuse](#) | [Reply](#) »

I was sad to hear what had happend in my home town, and shock to find out that it was Carl, that went off. **Some time a person try to walk away from a problem, but there are people in this world that want let them do that. This man had left this job and move on, but that was not good enough. They had to call his job and tell them what happend**

Powered by Krillion

PORT GIBSON SHOPPING [See all]

Port Gibson, MS Jobs

[Integrated Master Scheduler](#)

[Senior Consultant - Federal Practice](#)

[Healthcare Actuary Senior Consultant](#)

[Enterprise Data Management Life Sciences - Senior Consultant](#)

[Business Intelligence/Data Warehousing - Senior Consultant](#)

[Enterprise Data Management - Senior Consultant](#)

keywords
location

jobs by [indeed](#)

MORTGAGES [See current mortgage rates]

Amount: Loan Typ

EXHIBIT
"20"

9 years ago, and got this man fired. I hate that he let the devil take over him at the time, but I do understand. My heart goes out to Carl and his family, and to Miller & Burrell family as well. I hope that we can learn something from this tragedy. I will keep everyone in my Prayer.

Joe
Albany, OR

Mar 21, 2006

#5 | [Judge it!](#) | [Report Abuse](#) | [Reply >](#)

Wow. You understand why this coward shot another human being in the face with a 12 guage shotgun and your heart goes out first to him and his family. He set in his vehicle in ambush to kill another human being. He knew exactly what he was doing, the snuffing out of a life as well as the trauma and devastation he was going to cause Michelle and the kids. What a despicable, cowardly act. My sympathy is with the victims families, and I don't mean the guy who had his house shot into and has to replace some windows. Brandon should face the full wrath of our Justice system ASAP!

Shelly Jones
Nashville, TN

Mar 21, 2006

#6 | [Judge it!](#) | [Report Abuse](#) | [Reply >](#)

Joe wrote:

Wow. You understand why this coward shot another human being in the face with a 12 guage shotgun and your heart goes out first to him and his family. He set in his vehicle in ambush to kill another human being. He knew exactly what he was doing, the snuffing out of a life as well as the trauma and devastation he was going to cause Michelle and the kids. What a despicable, cowardly act. My sympathy is with the victims families, and I don't mean the guy who had his house shot into and has to replace some windows. Brandon should face the full wrath of our Justice system ASAP!

Wow it is so sad that the person you can feel sorry for is the Burrell family. When there was a young lady shot and is fighting for her life and a young man home was shot up. Everyone lost, the Burrell, Miller, Porter and the Brandon. They all have children, and these kids are going to need some help. The damage have been done, now it is time to move ahead. I still pray for all the family's including the Brandon.

Joe
Albany, OR

Mar 21, 2006

#7 | [Judge it!](#) | [Report Abuse](#) | [Reply >](#)

You are correct that I should have specifically stated that the lady that was shot is also a victim. From what I have read she is not "fighting for her life" but I very much count her as a victim in this and she will be traumatized by this cowards actions for some time to come. Any children involved are victims as well. However, in your previous post you seem to blame the victims for their actions that you have ZERO proof of. I've read nothing about anyone else pursuing this matter and getting Brandon fired from his new job. If this did in fact take place Brandon would have ample legal recourse. My objection was, and is, to your excusing this animal's actions and blaming the victims as you so obviously did in your initial post. You are so right that lots of people are going to need help in this situation. My objection is only to any notion that the blame should be anywhere but squarely on the shoulders of the man that pulled the trigger. In my opinion he should face the death penalty without delay.

Angel
Chicago, IL

Mar 21, 2006

#8 | [Judge it!](#) | [Report Abuse](#) | [Reply >](#)

My dear God, this is a time for understanding and healing, not name-calling and a recommendation of more violence.

This story is very confounding because not much has been reported in the news but there is a lot of "he-said she-said " surrounding the situation. Here is what I'd like to know. Is it true that Mr. Burrell falsely accused Mr. Brandon of sexually harassing a child, which resulted in Mr. Brandon losing his county job about nine years ago?(I say "falsely accused Mr. Brandon" because it's my understanding the charges were never proven or even believed by anyone who knew Mr. Brandon). If this is true, we can't gloss over it. If it's not true, may an end be put to the rumors.

It also has been said that Mr. Burrell recently called Mr. Brandon's latest employer and repeated those same unproven charges of sexual harassment about him, which prompted Mr. Brandon's employer to terminate him.

Perhaps all the pertinent information involving this unfortunate incident will be revealed in court. So far, it's all so sketchy.

It is particularly disturbing that even before this case has been to trial and Mr. Brandon's innocence or guilt has been proven, someone has suggested the death penalty. What if, and only if, the rumors are true that Mr. Burrell virtually stalked Mr. Brandon and robbed him of his livelihood and happy family life? If that is so, it's possible that Mr. Brandon is already dead emotionally, spiritually and mentally at the hands of Mr. Burrell. It's not so farfetched that we should be exploring a double homicide, one of the spirit and one of the flesh -both tragic.

This is indeed a gloomy time for friends and family of Mr. Burrell, Mr. Brandon and Ms. Porter and Mr. Miller. Importantly, it is a time for understanding, for example, understanding that violence is not the best way, as Mr. Burrell's death shows. It is time to understand the Golden Rule: do unto others as you would have them do unto you.

200,000 30 Year Fixed Conforming

[Search](#)

FEATURED SPONSOR

See Your Free Credit Score Instantly!

First Name:

Last Name:

[NEXT](#)

With enrollment in Triple Advantage.®

Submit Query

PORT GIBSON YELLOW PAGES [See all]

- Port Gibson - Sunglasses
- Port Gibson - Pet Services
- Port Gibson - Dentists
- Port Gibson - Car Repair
- Port Gibson - Real Estate Agents
- Port Gibson - Florists
- Port Gibson - Plastic Surgeons
- Port Gibson - Real Estate Agents
- Port Gibson - Cardiologists

[Search](#)

PORT GIBSON DATING



kim39069
34 - Fayette, MS



TheDream1796
26 - Natchez, MS



gutone123
33 - Jackson, MS

I'm a Man Woman Seeking a Man Woman Age to

[more search filters](#)

[Find my Match](#)

PORT GIBSON PEOPLE SEARCH

Addresses and phone numbers for **FREE**

First Name

Last Name

City

State

[Find](#)

PORT GIBSON COUPONS [See all Coupons]

COUPON OF THE DAY

DICK'S Sporting Goods - \$5 off Coupon



Save \$5 on any purchase of \$75 or more from DICKS Sporting Goods.

Coupon Code: **DSP5OFF75**

FEATURED COUPONS

[See coupons from Port Gibson, MS](#)

Electronics • Women's Apparel • Toys & Games

Computers • Furniture • Flowers and more ...

escaped injury or murder. I grieve for my own family, Allen's family, Loretha's family, and the human family.

Gloria
Las Vegas, NV

Mar 23, 2006 #16 | [Judge it!](#) | [Report Abuse](#) | [Reply >](#)

I was a classmate of Carl Brandom. We were very good friends growing up in Mississippi.

It was very surprising to me that he would commit this crime. It grieves my heart for him and his family; also the lawyer's and the other families that were involved. It has affected the small town, and many of us who live in other cities.

By the way, James Miller and I are cousins, and I hope that his wife Carolyn realizes that God spared she and her family's life. I give God praise for that. I am praying for them all. I pray that those involved can come to a place of forgiveness, because anger only wil produce more harm.
(Gloria Williams), Las Vegas, NV

Carolyn Miller
AOL

Mar 23, 2006 #17 | [Judge it!](#) | [Report Abuse](#) | [Reply >](#)

Gloria wrote:

I was a classmate of Carl Brandom. We were very good friends growing up in Mississippi.

It was very surprising to me that he would commit this crime. It grieves my heart for him and his family; also the lawyer's and the other families that were involved. It has affected the small town, and many of us who live in other cities.

*By the way, James Miller and I are cousins, and I hope that his wife Carolyn realizes that God spared she and her family's life. I give God praise for that. I am praying for them all. I pray that those involved can come to a place of forgiveness, because anger only wil produce more harm.
(Gloria Williams), Las Vegas, NV*

Gloria,

I KNOW that God saved our family. Maybe you should be Christ like and call your cousin and express your empathy directly to him.

CASSANDRA COOK BUTLER
AOL

Jul 11, 2007 #18 | [Judge it!](#) | [Report Abuse](#) | [Reply >](#)

Joe wrote:

Wow. You understand why this coward shot another human being in the face with a 12 guage shotgun and your heart goes out first to him and his family. He set in his vehicle in ambush to kill another human being. He knew exactly what he was doing, the snuffing out of a life as well as the trauma and devastation he was going to cause Michelle and the kids. What a despicable, cowardly act. My sympathy is with the victims families, and I don't mean the guy who had his house shot into and has to replace some windows. Brandon should face the full wrath of our Justice system ASAP!

Carl Brandom was a victim also. He had lost his job because someone said he had harrassed them. He lost his reputation and the respect of some. When he tried to move on some vindictive, vicious persons went to his next job and scandalized him. He fought through every legal avenue available to him and found no justice. I am so sorry for him and the entire Brandon family. They are a proud old family who have made Port Gibson their home for over a century
True lives were lost in this tragedy. True families were wounded and have to live with the irrevocable loss of their loved ones.
But Carl's life has been lost also. The rest of his life to be spent in a penal institution. His family also has suffered irrevocable loss.
my sympathy goes out to all concerned.

see
Chicago, IL

Aug 17, 2007 #19 | [Judge it!](#) | [Report Abuse](#) | [Reply >](#)

What street did you live on in Port Gibson? Did you live near Vine street.

Sarah Kelly wrote:

What ever happened to "Love One Another"? I heard of the disaster / murder and it felt as if my heart was ripped from my body. Not that I don't hear of murders daily but because I cannot believe that my small home town has taken on the same problems as the Big Cities. I am extemeely sorry to know that my classmate was the person who did the shooting. As a young person growing up in that small town and not returning for decades, as I look back on how people in other parts of the country measure up to the people in Small Town Port Gibson, I would Put Carl Brandom as a model from my town. I think he was one of the more intellegent and well manners persons in the class. i cannot imagine this guy waking up one morning to decide that he want to destroy his life and others. I think that this is a tragedy and that fact cannot be denied, but the greater issue is that behind all of this there was a reason. For every action there is a reaction. Sometimes the reaction is hard to understand but it has to be caused by some action first. We can only pray that God will forgive because there are no winners in this situation. Everyone lost something. I am over 18 hundred miles away and have not in that small town in years but I felt a lost. " May God Bless and don't forget to love ,embrace and forgive one another. Have a Great Day !!!!!

see
Chicago, IL

Aug 17, 2007 #20 | [Judge it!](#) | [Report Abuse](#) | [Reply >](#)

What street did you live on in Port Gibson? Did you live near Vine street?

Tell me when this thread is updated!

WAPT.com

Accused Port Gibson Shooter Arraigned, Denied Bond

POSTED: 11:29 am CST March 20, 2006
UPDATED: 3:07 pm CST March 21, 2006

PORT GIBSON, Miss. -- Carl Brandon walked into his initial court appearance on Tuesday morning without an attorney.

WAPT was not allowed to videotape the proceedings but Brandon certainly had plenty to say.

County prosecutor Michael Keyton told the court Brandon should be denied bond because he's a too dangerous.

"I don't know how you can consider me a danger. I was made a criminal through the system ... The sexual harassment charges made against me were trumped up, yet the system allowed the board of supervisors to take them and run with them," Brandon said in court.

Karl Devine, Brandon's longtime friend, said Brandon never got over the fact that the courts upheld the board's decision to fire him in 1997.

Devine believes the years Brandon spent unsuccessfully trying to clear his name, caused him to finally snap.

"Carl, would always talk about it he said "The one thing that I want, I just want them to clear my name. They don't have to pay me, they don't have to give me no job, just clear my name," said Devine.

Sheriff Frank Davis said he warned two of the victims of Brandon's alleged shooting rampage, Allen Burell and James Miller, that they might be in danger.

Davis said he even spoke to Brandon the day before the shootings and that Brandon appeared to be visibly upset about being fired.

But Davis said he had no just cause to bring Brandon in and not enough means to keep under constant surveillance.

"We can't stay with anybody 24 hours a day. We can't follow them around. I'm limited on a budget, I'm limited from my board of supervisors as to how much money I have. I'm limited with manpower," said Davis.

Keyton said they would have enough evidence to prove that Brandon should spend the rest of his natural life behind bars.

"We have the witnesses to prove each element of each crime and we'll just see how Mr. Brandon

responds," said Keyton.

Copyright 2006 by TheJacksonChannel.com. All rights reserved. This material may not be published, broadcast, rewritten or redistributed.



Possibility that Omar Thornton did not act alone

August 8, 7:24 PM · Edward Nelson - NY Public Policy Examiner

Unfortunately, the Connecticut workplace shooting leaves more facts that have not been considered. In college Psychology, I recall researching the ABCs (an acronym for Antecedents, Behaviors, and Consequences) of Psychology. These principles provide tremendous assistance in understanding what happened in Manchester, Connecticut this past Tuesday. Some people don't want to discuss racism as being a form of violence because it would reveal that they themselves are in fact extremely violent and in denial about it.

Omar Thornton's incident has a host of websites spewing hate talk toward African-Americans. Hartford Distributors may have used racism and gradually managed to kill Omar Thornton mentally and emotionally before the killing spree via attrition. [Jessica Anne Brocuglio](#), an ex-girlfriend of Omar Thornton, comes forward with character evidence:



Courtesy of Getty Images by Douglas Healey

He always felt like he was being discriminated (against) because he was black[.]” “Basically they wouldn't give him pay raises. He never felt like they accepted him as a hard working person.”

This statement corroborates with what [Kristi Hannah](#), Omar Thornton's fiancée before his death, had been telling the Manchester Police Department about Hartford Distributors treating him like a persona non grata.

Plus, a fellow co-worker who was employed with Omar Thornton at Hartford Distributors has come forward stating that he had seen the racist taunts: “Stuff on walls. Racist comments. I saw with my own eyes.” More importantly, the fellow co-worker said Mr. Thornton was hired as a truck driver; yet, he was assigned to loading boxes in the warehouse. Mr. Thornton had to fight to get behind the wheel. The co-worker then states that Hartford Distributors are lying and the evidence is in Omar's cell phone. These statements are serious and they are not based upon speculation. This places the co-worker in a position to be called as a key witness to racism within Hartford Distributors. Although the co-worker is no longer under the employ of Hartford Distributors, he has witnessed these incidents first-hand. These statements make it appear as if Hartford Distributors is deliberately being obtuse to shield themselves from potential liability. As Marcellus said in William Shakespeare's play “Hamlet,” “[s]omething is rotten in the state of Denmark.” Thus far, the answers provided by Hartford Distributors just rubs me the wrong way.

If Hartford Distributors created an atmosphere of institutionalized racism within the workplace, then Omar Thornton's contributing accomplice would be Hartford Distributors who subtly enraged Mr. Thornton to kill 9 employees. In no uncertain terms am I expressing that Omar Thornton was justified by what he did. However, I am expressing that if employers are allowed to continue with business as usual without being held accountable, the contributing employer accomplice will continue its uncorrected racist practices with the result being identical to the facts currently before us. Albert Einstein defined insanity as “doing the same thing over and over again expecting different results.” Let's not wait until something else happens before we correct this, let's get it right . . . right now!

If not, the subjective side of the alleged violence will continue without correction. Racist employers are in dire need help to redirect their violent tendencies in the workplace! If Mr. Thornton is correct, racism (his employer's racism) motivated him to do what he did. A Latin term used in the legal community is *ipse dixit* (he himself said it). How is it that a fair minded person can incriminate Omar Thornton for what he did; yet, absolve the Hartford Distributors for their alleged racist conduct? If there was no shooting spree, many have suggested that he could have used the administrative process to report the racism. What that indicates is that many actually believe Omar had enough to file a complaint. Otherwise, why suggest filing a complaint when you don't believe anything happened? That would be a futile gesture. It also suggests that a large population of people believe Mr. Thornton was subjected to racism. Normally, it's the employer that recommends that the employee receive help with a problem that affects his/her job performance. In this case, it could be the employer who needs help with its entrenched racist practices toward African-Americans. But who will direct the employer to enroll in training to correct the problem? I'd bet dollars to doughnuts that neither of the supervisors or managers have had training regarding [racism as a form of violence](#) in the workplace. According to Omar Thornton, racism directly contributed to his shooting spree.

In a company that quickly identifies people by color, Hartford Distributors knew that its employees recognized which color was in the minority and the majority. The 911 tape is replete with descriptions of Omar Thornton being Black and one caller adds that he

EXHIBIT
“21”

is the only Black guy that works there. The racism herein may have been cloaked in secrecy and a higher mind and set of eyes are reviewing the evidence in this case to find it. The Manchester Police Department must be applauded for their diligent effort to find the truth regarding this atrocity. When law enforcement acts professionally, the result is an important lesson being learned in the community. As the facts unfold, you can guarantee that they will be reported here.

Copyright 2010 Examiner.com. All rights reserved. This material may not be published, broadcast, rewritten or redistributed.



Author



Edward Nelson is an Examiner from New York. You can see Edward's articles at: "<http://www.Examiner.com/x-48240-NY-Public-Policy-Examiner>"

Beer warehouse shooter long complained of racism

By JOHN CHRISTOFFERSEN (AP) – 2 days ago

NEW HAVEN, Conn. — To those closest to him, Omar Thornton was caring, quiet and soft-spoken. He was excited to land a well-paying job at a beer delivery company a few years ago and his longtime girlfriend says they talked of marrying and having children.

But underneath, Thornton seethed with a sense of racial injustice for years that culminated in a shooting rampage Tuesday in which the Connecticut man killed eight and wounded two others at his job at Hartford Distributors in Manchester before killing himself.

"I know what pushed him over the edge was all the racial stuff that was happening at work," said his girlfriend, Kristi Hannah.

Thornton, a black man, said as much in a chilling, four-minute 911 call.

"You probably want to know the reason why I shot this place up," Thornton said in a recording released Thursday. "This place is a racist place. They're treating me bad over here. And treat all other black employees bad over here, too. So I took it to my own hands and handled the problem. I wish I could have got more of the people."

Thornton, 34, went on his killing spree moments after he was forced to resign when confronted with video evidence that he had been stealing and reselling beer.

Hartford Distributors president Ross Hollander said there was no record to support claims of "racial insensitivity" made through the company's anti-harassment policy, the union grievance process or state and federal agencies. Relatives of the victims also rejected the claims.

Thornton, who grew up in the Hartford area, complained about racial troubles on the job long before he worked at Hartford Distributors.

"He always felt like he was being discriminated (against) because he was black," said Jessica Anne Brocuglio, his former girlfriend. "Basically they wouldn't give him pay raises. He never felt like they accepted him as a hard working person."

One time Thornton had a confrontation with a white co-worker who used a racial slur against him, she said. Thornton changed jobs a few times because he was not getting raises, Brocuglio said.

"I'm sick of having to quit jobs and get another job because they can't accept me," she said he told her.

Brocuglio, who said she dated Thornton until eight years ago, said Thornton helped her become a certified nursing aide. She said he never drank or smoked and remained calm, even when she would yell or grab him.

"He was such a caring person," said Brocuglio, who is white. "He showed me so much love. He was like a teddy bear."

Brocuglio's sister, Toni, said Thornton would come home and say co-workers called him racial slurs. He was also upset by comments made by passers-by about the interracial couple, she said.

"He just didn't understand why people had so much hatred in their lives," Toni Brocuglio said.

Brocuglio said Thornton put her family up in a hotel after a fire at her house and was "like a second dad" to her children.

"Omar was the best man I ever met in my life," Brocuglio said.

Thornton ran into his own troubles a decade ago when he filed for bankruptcy protection. His debts were discharged in 2001 and the case was closed.

Around that time, Thornton was hired as a driver with Chemstation New England, a chemical company in South Windsor. But he was let go after 10 months, unable to master the mechanical skills involved handling the equipment, said Bruce LeFebvre, the owner.

"He was a real nice kid when he was with us," LeFebvre said. "Certainly I would never have expected anything like this from him."

LeFebvre said Thornton handled it well when he was let go.

Thornton was hired for a warehouse job at Hartford Distributors about two years ago and was later promoted to driver. Drivers can make up to \$60,000 and receive excellent benefits, said John Hollis, legislative liaison for the Teamsters who represent employees at the company.

"He had this huge smile on his face" when he was hired, Hannah said.

Thornton seemed happy outside of work, too, playing basketball and video games and occasionally shooting his gun at a local range with a friend.

Thornton and his mother were especially excited when Barack Obama was elected the first African American president, Hannah said. He listed Obama and the gun range among his

interests on his Facebook page.

But Hannah said he showed her cell phone photos of racist graffiti in the bathroom at the beer company and overheard a company official using a racial epithet in reference to him, but a union representative did not return his phone calls. Police said they recovered the phone and forensics experts would examine it.

"Nothing else bothered him except these comments he would make about them doing the racial things to him," Hannah said.

(This version CORRECTS spelling of former girlfriend's last name to 'Brocuglio' instead of 'Brocuglia' in paragraphs 12-13.)

Copyright © 2010 The Associated Press. All rights reserved.

The Willie Lynch Letter: The Making Of A Slave!

This speech was delivered by Willie Lynch on the bank of the James River in the colony of Virginia in 1712. Lynch was a British slave owner in the West Indies. He was invited to the colony of Virginia in 1712 to teach his methods to slave owners there. The term "lynching" is derived from his last name.

December 25, 1712

Gentlemen:

I greet you here on the bank of the James River in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and twelve. First, I shall thank you, the gentlemen of the Colony of Virginia, for bringing me here. I am here to help you solve some of your problems with slaves. Your invitation reached me on my modest plantation in the West Indies, where I have experimented with some of the newest and still the oldest methods for control of slaves. Ancient Rome's would envy us if my program is implemented.

As our boat sailed south on the James River, named for our illustrious King, whose version of the Bible we cherish, I saw enough to know that your problem is not unique. While Rome used cords of wood as crosses for standing human bodies along its highways in great numbers, you are here using the tree and the rope on occasions. I caught the whiff of a dead slave hanging from a tree, a couple miles back. You are not only losing valuable stock by hangings, you are having uprisings, slaves are running away, your crops are sometimes left in the fields too long for maximum profit, You suffer occasional fires, your animals are killed.

Gentlemen, you know what your problems are; I do not need to elaborate. I am not here to enumerate your problems, I am here to introduce you to a method of solving them. In my bag here, I have a foolproof method for controlling your black slaves. I guarantee every one of you that if installed correctly it will control the slaves for at least 300 years [2012]. My method is simple. Any member of your family or your overseer can use it. I have outlined a number of differences among the slaves and make the differences bigger. I use fear, distrust and envy for control.

These methods have worked on my modest plantation in the West Indies and it will work throughout the South. Take this simple little list of differences and think about them. On top of my list is "age" but it's there only because it starts with an "A." The second is "COLOR" or shade, there is intelligence, size, sex, size of plantations and status on plantations, attitude of owners, whether the slaves live in the valley, on a hill, East, West, North, South, have fine hair, course hair, or is tall or short. Now that you have a list of differences, I shall give you an outline of action, but before that, I shall assure you that distrust is stronger than trust and envy stronger than adulation, respect or admiration. The Black slaves after receiving this indoctrination shall carry on and will become self refueling and self generating for hundreds of years, maybe thousands. Don't forget you must pitch the old black Male vs. the young black Male, and the young black Male against the old black male. You must use the dark skin slaves vs. the light skin slaves, and the light skin slaves vs. the dark skin slaves. You must use the female vs. the male. And the male vs. the female. You must also have you white servants and overseers distrust all Blacks. It is necessary that your slaves trust and depend on us. They must love, respect and trust only us. Gentlemen, these kits are your keys to control. Use them. Have your wives and children use them, never miss an opportunity. If used intensely for one year, the slaves themselves will remain perpetually distrustful of each other.

Thank you gentlemen

Lets Make a Slave

It was the interest and business of slave holders to study human nature, and the slave nature in particular, with a view to practical results. I and many of them attained astonishing proficiency in this direction. They had to deal not with earth, wood and stone, but with men and by every regard they had for their own safety and prosperity they needed to know the material on which they were to work. Conscious of the injustice and wrong they were every hour perpetuating and knowing what they themselves would do. Were they the victims of such wrongs? They were constantly looking for the first signs of the dreaded retribution. They watched, therefore with skilled and practiced eyes, and learned to read with great accuracy, the state of mind and heart of the slave, through his sable face. Unusual sobriety, apparent abstractions, sullenness and indifference indeed, any mood out of the common was afforded ground for suspicion and inquiry.

Let us make a slave. What do we need? First of all we need a black nigger man, a pregnant nigger woman and her baby nigger boy. Second, we will use the same basic principle that we use in breaking a horse, combined with some more sustaining factors. What we do with horses is that we break them from one form of life to another that is we reduce them from their natural state in nature. Whereas nature provides them with the natural capacity to take care of their offspring, we break that natural string of independence from them and thereby create a dependency status, so that we may be able

to get from them useful production for our business and pleasure

Cardinal Principles for making a Negro

For fear that our future Generations may not understand the principles of breaking both of the beast together, the nigger and the horse. We understand that short range planning economics results in periodic economic chaos; so that to avoid turmoil in the economy, it requires us to have breath and depth in long range comprehensive planning, articulating both skill sharp perceptions. We lay down the following principles for long range comprehensive economic planning. Both horse and niggers is no good to the economy in the wild or natural state. Both must be broken and tied together for orderly production. For orderly future, special and particular attention must be paid to the female and the youngest offspring. Both must be crossbred to produce a variety and division of labor. Both must be taught to respond to a peculiar new language. Psychological and physical instruction of containment must be created for both. We hold the six cardinal principles as truth to be self evident, based upon the following the discourse concerning the economics of breaking and tying the horse and the nigger together, all inclusive of the six principles laid down about. NOTE: Neither principle alone will suffice for good economics. All principles must be employed for orderly good of the nation. Accordingly, both a wild horse and a wild or nature nigger is dangerous even if captured, for they will have the tendency to seek their customary freedom, and in doing so, might kill you in your sleep. You cannot rest. They sleep while you are awake, and are awake while you are asleep. They are dangerous near the family house and it requires too much labor to watch them away from the house. Above all, you cannot get them to work in this natural state. Hence both the horse and the nigger must be broken; that is breaking them from one form of mental life to another. Keep the body take the mind! In other words break the will to resist. Now the breaking process is the same for both the horse and the nigger, only slightly varying in degrees. But as we said before, there is an art in long range economic planning. You must keep your eye and thoughts on the female and the offspring of the horse and the nigger. A brief discourse in offspring development will shed light on the key to sound economic principles. Pay little attention to the generation of original breaking, but concentrate on future generations.

Therefore, if you break the female mother, she will break the offspring in its early years of development and when the offspring is old enough to work, she will deliver it up to you, for her normal female protective tendencies will have been lost in the original breaking process. For example take the case of the wild stud horse, a female horse and an already infant horse and compare the breaking process with two captured nigger males in their natural state, a pregnant nigger woman with her infant offspring. Take the stud horse, break him for limited containment.

Completely break the female horse until she becomes very gentle, whereas you or anybody can ride her in her comfort. Breed the mare and the stud until you have the desired offspring. Then you can turn the stud to freedom until you need him again. Train the female horse where by she will eat out of your hand, and she will in turn train the infant horse to eat out of your hand also. When it comes to breaking the uncivilized nigger, use the same process, but vary the degree and step up the pressure, so as to do a complete reversal of the mind. Take the meanest and most restless nigger, strip him of his clothes in front of the remaining male niggers, the female, and the nigger infant, tar and feather him, tie each leg to a different horse faced in opposite directions, set him a fire and beat both horses to pull him apart in front of the remaining nigger. The next step is to take a bull whip and beat the remaining nigger male to the point of death, in front of the female and the infant. Don't kill him, but put the fear of God in him, for he can be useful for future breeding.

The Breaking Process of the African Woman

Take the female and run a series of tests on her to see if she will submit to your desires willingly. Test her in every way, because she is the most important factor for good economics. If she shows any sign of resistance in submitting completely to your will, do not hesitate to use the bull whip on her to extract that last bit of resistance out of her. Take care not to kill her, for in doing so, you spoil good economic. When in complete submission, she will train her off springs in the early years to submit to labor when the become of age. Understanding is the best thing. Therefore, we shall go deeper into this area of the subject matter concerning what we have produced here in this breaking process of the female nigger. We have reversed the relationship in her natural uncivilized state she would have a strong dependency on the uncivilized nigger male, and she would have a limited protective tendency toward her independent male offspring and would raise male off springs to be dependent like her. Nature had provided for this type of balance. We reversed nature by burning and pulling a civilized nigger apart and bull whipping the other to the point of death, all in her presence. By her being left alone, unprotected, with the male image destroyed, the ordeal caused her to move from her psychological dependent state to a frozen independent state. In this frozen psychological state of independence, she will raise her male and female offspring in reversed roles.

For fear of the young males life she will psychologically train him to be mentally weak and dependent, but physically strong. Because she has become psychologically independent, she will train her female off springs to be psychological independent. What have you got? You've got the nigger women out front and the nigger man behind and scared. This is a perfect situation of sound sleep and economic. Before the breaking process, we had to be alertly on guard at all times.

Now we can sleep soundly, for out of frozen fear his woman stands guard for us. He cannot get past her early slave molding process. He is a good tool, now ready to be tied to the horse at a tender age. By the time a nigger boy reaches the age of sixteen, he is soundly broken in and ready for a long life of sound and efficient work and the reproduction of a unit of good labor force. Continually through the breaking of uncivilized savage nigger, by throwing the nigger female savage into a frozen psychological state of independence, by killing of the protective male image, and by creating a submissive dependent mind of the nigger male slave, we have created an orbiting cycle that turns on its own axis forever, unless a phenomenon occurs and re shifts the position of the male and female slaves. We show what we mean by example. Take the case of the two economic slave units and examine them closely.

The Nigger Marriage

We breed two nigger males with two nigger females. Then we take the nigger males away from them and keep them moving and working. Say one nigger female bears a nigger female and the other bears a nigger male. Both nigger females being without influence of the nigger male image, frozen with an independent psychology, will raise their offspring into reverse positions. The one with the female offspring will teach her to be like herself, independent and negotiable (we negotiate with her, through her, by her, we negotiate her at will). The one with the nigger male offspring, she being frozen with a subconscious fear for his life, will raise him to be mentally dependent and weak, but physically strong, in other words, body over mind. Now in a few years when these two offspring's become fertile for early reproduction we will mate and breed them and continue the cycle. That is good, sound, and long range comprehensive planning.

Warning: Possible Interloping Negatives

Earlier we talked about the non economic good of the horse and the nigger in their wild or natural state; we talked out the principle of breaking and tying them together for orderly production. Furthermore, we talked about paying particular attention to the female savage and her offspring for orderly future planning, then more recently we stated that, by reversing the positions of the male and female savages, we created an orbiting cycle that turns on its own axis forever unless a phenomenon occurred and resift and positions of the male and female savages. Our experts warned us about the possibility of this phenomenon occurring, for they say that the mind has a strong drive to correct and re-correct itself over a period of time if I can touch some substantial original historical base, and they advised us that the best way to deal with the phenomenon is to shave off the brute's mental history and create a multiplicity of phenomena of illusions, so that each illusion will twirl in its own orbit, something similar to floating balls in a vacuum.

This creation of multiplicity of phenomena of illusions entails the principle of crossbreeding the nigger and the horse as we stated above, the purpose of which is to create a diversified division of labor thereby creating different levels of labor and different values of illusion at each connecting level of labor. The results of which is the severance of the points of original beginnings for each sphere illusion. Since we feel that the subject matter may get more complicated as we proceed in laying down our economic plan concerning the purpose, reason and effect of crossbreeding horses and nigger, we shall lay down the following definition terms for future generations.

Orbiting cycle means a thing turning in a given path. *Axis* means upon which or around which a body turns. *Phenomenon* means something beyond ordinary conception and inspires awe and wonder. *Multiplicity* means a great number. *Sphere* means a globe. *Cross breeding a horse* means taking a horse and breeding it with an ass and you get a dumb backward ass long headed mule that is not reproductive nor productive by itself.

Crossbreeding niggers mean taking so many drops of good white blood and putting them into as many nigger women as possible, varying the drops by the various tone that you want, and then letting them breed with each other until another cycle of color appears as you desire. What this means is this; Put the niggers and the horse in a breeding pot, mix some assess and some good white blood and what do you get? You got a multiplicity of colors of ass backward, unusual niggers, running, tied to a backward ass long headed mule, the one productive of itself, the other sterile. (The one constant, the other dying, we keep the nigger constant for we may replace the mules for another tool) both mule and nigger tied to each other, neither knowing where the other came from and neither productive for itself, nor without each other.

Control the Language

Crossbreeding completed, for further severance from their original beginning, we must completely annihilate the mother tongue of both the new nigger and the new mule and institute a new language that involves the new life's work of both. You know language is a peculiar institution. It leads to the heart of a people. The more a foreigner knows about the language of another country the more he is able to move through all levels of that society. Therefore, if the foreigner is an enemy of the country, to the extent that he knows the body of the language, to that extent is the country vulnerable to attack or invasion of a foreign culture. For example, if you take a slave, if you teach him all about your language, he will know all your secrets, and he is then no more a slave, for you can't fool him any longer. For example, if you told a slave

that he must perform in getting out "our crops" and he knows the language well, he would know that "our crops" didn't mean "our crops" and the slavery system would break down, for he would relate on the basis of what "our crops" really meant. So you have to be careful in setting up the new language for the slaves would soon be in your house, talking to you "man to man" and that is death to our economic system. In addition, the definitions of words or terms are only a minute part of the process. Values are created and transported by communication through the body of the language. A total society has many interconnected value system. All the values in the society have bridges of language to connect them for orderly working in the society. But for these language bridges, these many value systems would sharply clash and cause internal strife or civil war, the degree of the conflict being determined by the magnitude of the issues or relative opposing strength in whatever form.

For example, if you put a slave in a hog pen and train him to live there and incorporate in him to value it as a way of life completely, the biggest problem you would have out of him is that he would worry you about provisions to keep the hog pen clean, or the same hog pen and make a slip and incorporate something in his language where by he comes to value a house more than he does his hog pen, you got a problem. He will soon be in your house.

U.S.: 1940s STD Experiments "Clearly Unethical"

Posted by David S Morgan



(Credit: CBS/AP)

The U.S. government has formally apologized for a secret study conducted in the 1940s in which Guatemalan prisoners, service members and mental hospital patients were secretly infected with gonorrhea and syphilis without their knowledge or consent, calling the program "clearly unethical."

In [a joint statement](#) issued Friday by Secretary of State Hillary Rodham Clinton and Secretary of Health and Human Services Kathleen Sebelius, released in English and Spanish, the government apologized to Guatemala and to those involved in the study, conducted by the U.S. Public Health Service (PHS) between 1946 and 1948.

The results of the Sexually Transmitted Disease Inoculation Study were uncovered by a Wellesley College researcher, Susan Reverby.

The story is uncomfortably similar to the "Tuskegee" Syphilis Study in the 1960s, in which the PHS monitored, but did not treat, hundreds of African American men suffering from syphilis.

EXHIBIT
"23"

Unlike that case, however, subjects in the Guatemala study were intentionally infected with sexually transmitted diseases, and then given penicillin, to help determine the efficacy of the drug to cure or even vaccinate against STDs.

Reverby wrote that the Guatemala syphilis inoculation project was run by a PHS physician, Dr. John C. Cutler (who would later oversee the Tuskegee, Ala., study two decades later).

The study's doctors chose as subjects men incarcerated at the Guatemala National Penitentiary, as well as army service members, and men and women confined in the National Mental Health Hospital. There was a total of 696 people in the study. Guatemalan authorities (and not the individuals themselves) granted permission, in exchange for supplies.

According to Reverby, who studied Cutler's records in the University of Pittsburgh archives, doctors used infected prostitutes to pass the disease on to prisoners (conjugal visits were allowed in Guatemalan jails). Direct inoculations of syphilis bacteria were made to other subjects. Treatment by penicillin was also administered, though not always successfully.

Cutler seemed to recognize the delicate ethical quandaries their experiments posed, particularly in the wake of the Nuremberg "Doctors' Trials," and was concerned about secrecy. "As you can imagine," Cutler reported to his PHS overseer, "we are holding our breaths, and we are explaining to the patients and others concerned with but a few key exceptions, that the treatment is a new one utilizing serum followed by penicillin. This double talk keeps me hopping at time."

Cutler also wrote that he feared "a few words to the wrong person here, or even at home, might wreck it or parts of it ... "

PHS physician R.C. Arnold, who supervised Cutler, was more troubled, confiding to Cutler, "I am a bit, in fact more than a bit, leery of the experiment with the insane people. They can not give consent, do not know what is going on, and if some goody organization got wind of the work, they would raise a lot of smoke. I think the soldiers would be best or the prisoners for they can give consent."

Apparently difficulties in transmission, as well as in replicating results, added to concerns over the study, and it was dropped after two years.

Cutler went on to participate in another Syphilis Study at Sing Sing Prison in Ossining, N.Y. (although in that case the subjects were informed about the nature of the inoculations administered to them).

"Although these events occurred more than 64 years ago, we are outraged that such reprehensible research could have occurred under the guise of public health," today's State Dept./DHS statement said. "We deeply regret that it happened, and we apologize to all the individuals who were affected by such abhorrent research practices.

"The conduct exhibited during the study does not represent the values of the United States, or our commitment to human dignity and great respect for the people of Guatemala. The study is a sad reminder that adequate human subject safeguards did not exist a half-century ago."

The officials also announced an investigation into the specifics of the case from 1946, and will also convene a meeting of international experts to devise methods that effectively ensure all human medical research meets rigorous ethical standards.

The AIDS Conspiracy Handbook

Jeremiah Wright's paranoia, in context.

By Juliet Lapidos

Posted Wednesday, March 19, 2008, at 5:51 PM ET

Barack Obama rebuked his former pastor the Rev. Jeremiah Wright on Tuesday for giving sermons in which he blamed the government for creating a racist state and "inventing the HIV virus as a means of genocide against people of color." Wright isn't the first to say that AIDS originated in the White House. Others

have attributed the epidemic to a laboratory accident, malnutrition, or even God's divine will. Here's a field guide to the most prevalent conspiracy theories:

Government Involvement

The belief cited by Wright—that the government invented HIV—seems to have originated during the early years of the epidemic. In 1986, crackpot East German biologist Jakob Segal published "AIDS: USA Home-Made Evil." According to the pamphlet, scientists at a Fort Detrick, Md., military lab manufactured the disease by synthesizing HTLV-1 (a retrovirus that causes T-cell leukemia) with Visna (a sheep virus). The scientists administered their lethal concoction to prison inmates, who then introduced the disease into the general population. In case you're wondering, Segal has since been accused of being a Soviet

disinformation agent.

Similarly, the aptly named Boyd E. Graves (who calls himself a doctor although he has only a law degree) has postulated that scientists in the employ of the U.S. Special Virus Program modified Visna to create HIV during the 1970s. The government, with help from pharmaceutical company Merck, added the virus to an experimental hepatitis B vaccine, which was given to gay men and blacks in New York and San Francisco.

And then there's Gary Glum, author of *Full Disclosure*, who fronts the theory that scientists at the Cold Spring Harbor lab in New York engineered HIV, and that the World Health Organization spread the virus under cover of the smallpox eradication program. Glum believes the virus was created to wipe out, or at least

Advertisement

We focus on automating Marriott® Hotels' global invoice process. So they don't have to. Learn more at RealBusiness.com

xerox
Ready For Real Business

<http://www.slate.com/toolbar.aspx?action=print&id=2186860>

Print Powered By FormatDynamics™

The AIDS Conspiracy Handbook

control, the black population. (According to a study released in 2005 by the Rand Corp., more than one-quarter of African-Americans believe the disease was engineered in a government lab, and 16 percent think it was created to control the black population.)

Laboratory Accident

Edward Hooper, a British journalist, argued in his 1999 book, *The River*, that Dr. Hilary Koprowski of the Wistar Research Institute unintentionally caused the AIDS epidemic by using chimp kidneys to produce an oral polio vaccine. The chimps, says Hooper, were infected with SIV (the simian precursor to AIDS). Then, via an experimental mass-vaccination program in the Belgian Congo, SIV made the jump from monkey to man.

Hooper's contaminated polio vaccine thesis sounds less wacky than most conspiracy theories and has attracted support from a few notable academics—including late Oxford professor W.D. Hamilton. But it's definitely wrong. Hooper says Koprowski got his kidney samples from chimps in the Congo. The problem is that the SIV strain endemic to chimps from that region is phylogenetically distinct from HIV. The offending chimps probably came from Cameroon.

It's Not a Virus

Among the most popular, and pernicious, conspiracy theories is that AIDS isn't caused by a virus at all. Peter Duesberg, a biology professor at University of California-Berkeley, has argued that drugs and promiscuity are the principal causes of the disease in the United States. He attributes AIDS in Africa to malnutrition.

South African President Thabo Mbeki has voiced support for the so-called Duesberg hypothesis, and his health minister, Mantombazana Tshabalala-Msimang, has recommended treating AIDS with foodstuffs, like garlic, rather than pharmaceuticals.

God's Punishment

The Rev. Jerry Falwell famously argued that AIDS is a plague sent by God to punish homosexuals and American society for tolerating homosexuality.

Advertisement

Help people in need.

Donate your car, boat or RV

Free Towing • Tax Deductible

FREE
3 day vacation
to over 80
destinations





Call Toll-Free

1-877-225-9384

<http://www.slate.com/toolbar.aspx?action=print&id=2186860>

Print Powered By  FormatDynamics™

The AIDS Conspiracy Handbook

Jerry Thacker, the publisher of *Today's Christian Teen* and other Christian magazines, has also called AIDS a "gay plague" and referred to homosexuality as "the death style." In 2003, the Bush administration nominated Thacker to serve on the Presidential Advisory Council on HIV and AIDS. He withdrew his name under pressure from gay rights groups and Democrats.

Got a question about today's news? Ask the Explainer.

Explainer thanks Martin Delaney of Project Inform and Michael Worobey of the University of Arizona.

Juliet Lapidus is a Slate associate editor.

Advertisement



Send flowers
for any occasion

Bouquets \$19.99
from 19^{+s/h}

ProFlowers[®]
Order ONLY at
proflowers.com/happy
or call 1-877-888-0688

<http://www.slate.com/toolbar.aspx?action=print&id=2186860>

Print Powered By  FormatDynamics™

How FAR Will The United States Government Go To COVER-UP Its Crimes:

*Far enough to paint citizens as PARANOID, CRAZY,
LUNATICS to mask/hide INHUMANE Practices!* Thank
goodness information is being released/found and reported:

CBSNEWS

World Watch

October 1, 2010 11:19 AM

U.S.: 1940s STD Experiments "Clearly Unethical"

Posted by David S Morgan



(Credit: CBS/AP)

The U.S. government has formally apologized for a secret study conducted in the 1940s in which Guatemalan prisoners, service members and mental hospital patients were secretly infected with gonorrhea and syphilis without their knowledge or consent, calling the program "clearly unethical."

In a joint statement issued Friday by Secretary of State Hillary Rodham Clinton and Secretary of Health and Human Services Kathleen Sebelius, released in English and Spanish, the government apologized to Guatemala and to those involved in the study, conducted by the U.S. Public Health Service (PHS) between 1946 and 1948.



AIDS AND THE DOCTORS OF DEATH

AN INQUIRY INTO THE
ORIGIN OF THE AIDS EPIDEMIC

ALAN CANTWELL JR., M.D.

FOREWORD BY JON RAPPOPORT

Egypt's Opposition Leaders Sound Off on Upcoming Obama Visit

12/05/2009

By Mohammed Abdul-Raouf

Cairo, Asharq Al-Awsat- The Announcement of the anticipated visit to Egypt by US President Barak Obama has stirred controversy among Egypt's political opposition leaders, who have played down the significance of the visit. The Egyptian Embassy in Washington welcomed the visit. The Muslim Brotherhood said that President Obama's visit to Egypt is of no value, while the opposition Wafd party and the Grouping Party said the visit constitutes reconciliation with Cairo and provides an opportunity to turn a new page in relations. The Kifayah movement played down the importance of the visit, saying it did not pin much hope on it.

In a statement to Asharq Al-Awsat, Muhammad Habib, first deputy to the general guide of the Muslim Brotherhood, said: "The US Administration employs all cards to serve its own interests." He said that the speech that Obama intends to deliver in Egypt is "of no value." He added: "Statements and speeches must be associated with, or preceded by real change in policy on the ground, because policy is judged by deeds, not words."

Habib said that there should be two axes in the Middle East, one that includes Egypt, Iran, and Turkey, and another that includes Egypt, Saudi Arabia, and Syria. He said: "In both axes Egypt should be the base and the spearhead in handling all thorny issues in the Middle East, and it should deny any opportunity for interference by Israel, the United States, or any Western power."

For his part, George Ishaq, assistant to the general coordinator of the Egyptian Movement for Change, "Kifayah," downplayed the importance of President Obama's visit to Egypt as well as his speech to the Muslim world. He said that the US policy will not change after Obama's visit to Egypt and the speech he will deliver to the Muslim world. He told Asharq Al-Awsat that "Washington's policy will continue to support despotic regimes because they prefer stability to democracy, as former US Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice said." He pointed out that relations between Washington and Cairo are based on interest and benefit, and that Obama's speech has no value. He added: "We do not pin much on hope on his visit though we wish the visit would mark reconciliation and accord with the peoples, not against them."

Dr Rifat al-Said, leader of the left-wing opposition Grouping Party, said Obama's visit to Egypt and delivering his speech in Cairo is no more than an attempt to placate the Egyptian side after a period of "mutual admonition" between Cairo and Washington during the era of former US President George Bush.

Robert Gibbs, the White House press spokesman, said the specific site for Obama's speech has not yet been selected, but noted that Egypt is a suitable country for the speech because, from many aspects, it represents the heart of the Arab world." At a new conference the day before yesterday, and in reply to a question on the [poor] human rights record in Egypt, Gibbs said: "The scope of the speech was more important than the leadership of the country in which it was given."

Samih Shukri, Egypt's ambassador to the United States, said that Egypt provides President Obama with an appropriate forum because of its large population, cultural traditions, and "moderate Islamic values." In a statement he released, he added: "The truth of Islam emanates from its moderation, not extremism. Egypt hopes that Obama's speech will be a key element in the United States's relations with the Muslim world." He added: "It is important for America's relations with the Muslim world to rely on mutual respect and understanding. Egypt is ready to work with President Obama and his administration to achieve this goal in keeping with our long-time friendship."


The White House said that President Obama's visit to Egypt was not at the invitation of the Egyptian government. It should be recalled that Egyptian President Hosni Mubarak will visit Washington before the end of this month. Gibbs said that President Obama's message is aimed not just at the Arabs, but Muslims throughout the world. He gave as example Indonesia, where President Obama spent part of his childhood and which has the world's largest Muslim population.

Before his inauguration as president on 20 January, President Obama had expressed a desire to improve the US image in the world, particularly the Muslim world. In his inauguration speech, he proposed "a new approach based on mutual interest and respect." Obama's speech in Egypt will follow his speech in Turkey in which he spoke of the importance of improving relations between the two parties, and stressed that his country "is not at war with Islam."

Obama had earlier stated that he intended to speak to a major Muslim forum in the first 100 days after his inauguration, if he were to be elected. However, the first 100 days passed on 30 April without delivering his speech. US officials said that the US president's busy schedule and his first foreign tour in April were behind the delay in delivering his speech.

EXHIBIT
"24"

McClatchy Washington Bureau

 Print This Article

Posted on Wed, Jun. 03, 2009

Obama to lay out vision of Muslim world's future


Margaret Talev | McClatchy Newspapers

last updated: November 24, 2010 01:49:26 PM

CAIRO, Egypt — In his speech Thursday to Muslims around the world, President Barack Obama will speak in detail about extremism, Pakistan, Afghanistan, Iraq, Iran and "what he thinks needs to be done on all sides" to reach peace between Israelis and Palestinians, his aides said Wednesday.

On the eve of his address, Obama was still tinkering with the final text he'll deliver at Cairo University, according to Ben Rhodes, one of his speechwriters.

En route to Egypt, Obama landed in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, to visit King Abdullah, saying he wanted to "come to the place where Islam began" before giving the address and to ask for the Saudi king's backing on a range of economic and foreign-policy issues.

 In an apparent bid to upstage the speech, al Qaida leader Osama bin Laden released an audiotape accusing the president of inflaming Muslim hatred of the U.S. by directing Pakistan to launch its month-old military offensive to wrest back the Swat Valley from the Pakistani Taliban. He said that Obama's policies were no different from former President George W. Bush's.

In Cairo, meanwhile, some of Egypt's best-known dissidents were preparing to attend the speech, an unusual opportunity in a land where political expression is discouraged.

Millions of ordinary citizens were bracing not only for what America's president would say but also for the impact that his security precautions might have on commerce and mobility in this already gridlocked mega-city.

Obama's brief Egyptian visit was to include a meeting with President Hosni Mubarak, a tour of a famous mosque and a visit to the Pyramids.

Some entrepreneurs were hawking speech souvenirs, including a popular T-shirt that proclaims Obama as the world's next King Tut.

The president widely consulted Muslim Americans inside and outside the U.S. government, Rhodes said, in preparation for the remarks to an invitation-only crowd of about 3,500, plus millions watching on television.

EXHIBIT
"25"

The administration was arranging a major online initiative to engage Muslims worldwide. White House Press Secretary Robert Gibbs said the text, videos and translations would be carried via Facebook, which has about 20 million users in Muslim countries, as well as MySpace and Twitter. Additionally, Gibbs said, cell phone users can subscribe to www.America.gov/sms.html for speech-related text messages in Arabic, Persian and Urdu, as well as English, and to offer feedback.

As for bin Laden, Gibbs said, "I don't think it's surprising that al Qaida would want to shift attention away from the president's historic efforts and continued efforts to reach out and have an open dialogue with the Muslim world."

In the audiotape, broadcast by Al Jazeera shortly after the president arrived in Saudi Arabia, bin Laden said that "Obama and his administration have sowed new seeds of hatred and revenge against America."

"The number of these seeds is the same as the number" of casualties and refugees displaced by the Swat fighting, he continued. "The American people need to prepare to only gain what those seeds bring up."

A U.S. counter-terrorism official, who requested anonymity because he wasn't authorized to speak publicly, said the voice on the tape had been authenticated as that of bin Laden. "There has never been a fake bin Laden tape," he said.

Bin Laden said that Obama's approach to the Muslim world was no different from that of Bush, whose policies — from the invasion of Iraq to the use of some interrogation methods widely considered torture — convinced many Muslims that the United States had launched a war on Islam.

Touching down in Riyadh, Obama was received with a ceremonial 21-gun salute, and he greeted King Abdullah with an embrace and cheek-to-cheek touch. They drank cardamom coffee. Later, at King Abdullah's ranch, guards on horseback greeted the motorcade, and King Abdullah hung around Obama's neck a traditional gift of a gold medallion, the King Abdul Aziz Collar, described as the highest honor of the kingdom.

In brief remarks before a private meeting, the king and Obama emphasized the strategic ties between their nations.

The king offered his "best wishes to the friendly American people, who are represented by a distinguished man who deserves to be in this position."


Obama responded, "Shukran," which means "thank you" in Arabic.

In Cairo, several of Mubarak's political critics said they'd been invited to see Obama speak. They include 10 lawmakers associated with the Islamist opposition group Muslim Brotherhood, dissidents who've challenged Mubarak's 27-year rule and prominent bloggers who've exposed state torture.

Ayman Nour, a politician who spent more than three years in prison after

challenging Mubarak for president in 2005, is among those who were invited and was expected to attend.

"Even those who oppose Obama's speech are going," said one of the invited bloggers, who goes by the name Sand Monkey. "No one will miss this."



However, Gamal Eid, the head of the Arabic Network for Human Rights Information, said he planned to decline the invitation. The Israeli ambassador to Egypt also is invited, and Eid said he didn't want to be in the same room as a representative of what he called a "criminal" government.

(Landay reported from Washington.)

▶ [301 F.3d 227: Vogel Denise Newsome, Plaintiff-appellant, v. Equal ...](#)

Justia Free Databases of US Court of Appeals Cases 301 F.3d 227.
[law.justia.com](#) > ... > US Courts of Appeals Cases > F.3d > Volume 301 - [Cached](#)

[VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME vs. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION, ET](#)

VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME vs., U. S. Supreme Court - search free case law, search by court, citation and area of law for small law attorneys all found in ...
[webservices.lexisnexis.com/lx1/.../freecaselaw?...y...](#) - [Cached](#)

[PDF]

[*Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this ...](#)

File Format: PDF/Adobe Acrobat - [Quick View](#)
VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME,. Plaintiff-Appellant, versus. ENTERGY SERVICES, INC.,. Defendant-Appellee. Appeal from the United States District Court ...
[ftp://opinions.ca5.uscourts.gov/unpub/00/00-31299.0.wpd.pdf](#)

[301 F3d 227 Newsome v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ...](#)

Vogel Denise Newsome ("Newsome") appeals the district court's dismissal of her complaint against the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and three of ...
[openjurist.org/.../newsome-v-equal-employment-opportunity-commission](#) - [Cached](#)

[Conspiracy Law](#)

Vogel Denise Newsome ("Newsome") appeals the district court's dismissal of her complaint against the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and three of ...
[www.morelaw.com/cases/conspiracy.asp?page=34](#) - [Cached](#)

[Vogel Denise Newsome v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ...](#)

Aug 6, 2002 ... **Vogel Denise Newsome** ("Newsome") appeals the district court ...
[www.morelaw.com/verdicts/case.asp?n=01-30817&s=LA&d...](#) - [Cached](#)
[Show more results from morelaw.com](#)

[Fifth Circuit Court Cases - Case Law and Opinions from the 5th ...](#)

Vogel Denise Newsome ("Newsome") appeals the district court's dismissal of her complaint against the Equal Employment Oppo rtunity Commission and three of ...
[www.romingerlegal.com/fifthcircuit/.../01-30817.cv0.wpd.html](#) - [Cached](#)

[CourtListener.com / Browse / Newsome v. EEOC, et al](#)

02-30618 Conference Calendar **VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME**, Plaintiff-Appellant, versus EQUAL ... PER CURIAM:* **Vogel Denise Newsome** has appealed the district court's ...
[courtlister.com/ca5/9Rm/newsome-v-eeoc-et-al/](#) - [Cached](#)

► [PDF]

[OCTOBER TERM 2002 Reference Index](#)

[Contents:](#)

File Format: PDF/Adobe Acrobat

Vogel Denise Newsome, Petitioner v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, et al. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed in forma pauperis denied. ...

www.supremecourt.gov/orders/journal/jnl02.pdf - [Similar](#)

[US Supreme Court: jnl02](#)

Vogel Denise Newsome, Petitioner v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, et al. Motion of petitioner for leave to proceed in forma pauperis denied. ...

www.scribd.com/doc/1055895/US-Supreme-Court-jnl02 - [Similar](#)

[newsome creek - docstoc](#)

02-30618 Conference Calendar **VOGEL DENISE**

NEWSOME, Plaintiff-Appellant, versus... More ». Tags: legal, federal, opinions, appellate, circuit

www.docstoc.com/search/newsome-creek - [Cached](#)

[newsome e dot-corbitt iii - docstoc](#)

02-30618 Conference Calendar **VOGEL DENISE**

NEWSOME, Plaintiff-Appellant ...

www.docstoc.com/search/newsome-e-dot--corbitt-iii

[Show more results from docstoc.com](#)

[Jennings vs. Dickerson, \(5th Cir. 2003\) - Federal Circuits ...](#)

us Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit - **Vogel Denise**

Newsome, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission; Patricia T. Bivins; ...

vlex.com/vid/jennings-vs-dickerson-18406689

[Newsome vs. EEOC, \(5th Cir. 2002\) - Federal Circuits - Docket ...](#)

PER CURIAM:* **Vogel Denise Newsome** ("Newsome")

appeals the district court's dismissal of her complaint against the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ...

vlex.com/vid/newsome-vs-eeoc-19971824

[119 F.3d 33 - Michael SMITH, Appellant, v. Gilbert F. CASELLAS ...](#)

301 F.3d 227 - **Vogel Denise NEWSOME**, Plaintiff-

Appellant, v. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION; Patricia T. Bivins; Marvin L. ...

www.case-law.us/119%20F.3d%2033 - [Cached](#)

[CourtListener.com / Browse / Newsome v. Entergy Services Inc](#)

00-30521 Summary Calendar **VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME**,

Plaintiff-Appellant, ... PER CURIAM:* **Vogel Denise**

Newsome, proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis (IFP),

...

courtlister.com/ca5/7hT/newsome-v-entergy-services-inc/

- [Cached](#)

[April, 2002 :: Fifth Circuit US Court of Appeals Cases :: Justia](#)

Vogel Denise Newsome, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission; Patricia T. Bivins; Marvin L. Hicks; ...

law.justia.com > ... > US Courts of Appeals Cases > Fifth Circuit > 2002 - [Cached](#)

▶ [Newsome vs. Entergy Services Inc. \(5th Cir. 2000\)](#)
[- Federal ...](#)
00-30521 Summary Calendar **VOGEL DENISE NEWSOME**,
Plaintiff-Appellant, versus ENTERGY ... PER CURIAM: *
Vogel Denise Newsome, proceeding pro se and in forma ...
vlex.com/vid/newsome-vs-entergy-services-inc-18386452

[301 F.3d US Court of Appeals Cases :: Justia](#)
Vogel Denise Newsome, Plaintiff-appellant, v. Equal
Employment Opportunity Commission; Patricia T. Bivins;
Marvin L. Hicks; ...
law.justia.com > ... > US Courts of Appeals Cases > F.3d -
Cached

[726 F.2d 350 - 33 Fair Empl.Prac.Cas. 1880, 33](#)
[Empl. Prac. Dec. P ...](#)
301 F.3d 227 - **Vogel Denise NEWSOME**, Plaintiff-
Appellant, v. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY
COMMISSION; Patricia T. Bivins; Marvin L.
www.case-law.us/726%20F.2d%20350 - Cached

[Failure to respond to eeoc witness summons |](#)
[peram Realty](#)
Vogel Denise Newsome, Plaintiff-appellant, v. Equal
Employment ... Expert Witness Directory · Nolo Consumer &
Business The EEOC sent a letter to CHM asking ...
www.peramrealty.com/.../failure-respond-eeoc-witness-summons - Cached

[US Supreme Court: jnl01](#)
Vogel Denise Newsome, Petitioner v. Entergy Services,
Inc. Petition for writ of certiorari to the United States Court of
Appeals for the Fifth Circuit ...
www.scribd.com/doc/1055889/US-Supreme-Court-jnl01 -
Similar

[US Supreme Court: jnl99](#)
Vogel Denise Newsome, Petitioner v. Equal Employment
Opportunity Commission ...
www.scribd.com/doc/1055999/US-Supreme-Court-jnl99 -
Similar

[Show more results from scribd.com](#)

[PDF]

[OCTOBER TERM 1999 Reference Index](#)

[Contents:](#)

File Format: PDF/Adobe Acrobat

Vogel Denise Newsome, Petitioner v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, et al. Petition for writ of certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals ...

www.supremecourt.gov/orders/journal/jnl99.pdf - [Similar](#)

[PDF]

[OCTOBER TERM 2001 Reference Index](#)

[Contents:](#)

File Format: PDF/Adobe Acrobat

Vogel Denise Newsome, Petitioner v. Entergy Services, Inc. Petition for ...

www.supremecourt.gov/orders/journal/jnl01.pdf - [Similar](#)

▶ [US Supreme Court: jnl99](#)

Vogel Denise Newsome, Petitioner v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, et al. Petition for writ of certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals ...

www.scribd.com/doc/1055999/US-Supreme-Court-jnl99 - Similar

[PDF]

[OCTOBER TERM 2001 Reference Index](#)

[Contents:](#)

File Format: PDF/Adobe Acrobat

Vogel Denise Newsome, Petitioner v. Entergy Services, Inc. Petition for writ of certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit ...

www.supremecourt.gov/orders/journal/jnl01.pdf - Similar

[10 - 2002 Cases :: Justia US Court of Appeals](#)

[Cases and Opinions](#)

301 F.3d 227, Apr 22, 2002, Fifth, **Vogel Denise Newsome**, Plaintiff-appellant, v. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission; Patricia T. Bivins; ...

cases.justia.com/us-court-of-appeals/year/2002/index2.html?page=10

[2002 Cases :: Justia US Court of Appeals Cases and Opinions](#)

301 F.3d 227, Apr 22, 2002, Fifth, **Vogel Denise Newsome**, Plaintiff-appellant ...

cases.justia.com > [Cases](#) > [US Court of Appeals](#) - [Cached](#)

[Show more results from justia.com](#)

► [Department of Labor: 04 082](#)

FINAL DECISION AND ORDER BACKGROUND This case arises from a complaint filed by the Complainant, **Vogel Newsome**, against the Respondent, Mitchell, ... www.scribd.com/doc/1815544/Department-of-Labor-04-082 - [Cached](#) - [Similar](#)

[Newsome v. Crews et al :: Justia Dockets & Filings](#)

Sep 21, 2007 ... Plaintiff: **Vogel Newsome**. Defendants: Melody Crews, Spring Lake Apartments LLC, ... Plaintiff: **Vogel Newsome**. Represented By: Wanda Abioto ... dockets.justia.com > ... > [Civil Rights](#) > [Housing/Accommodations](#) - [Cached](#)

[Vogel D. Newsome, Petitioner v. Entergy New Orleans, Inc., et al.](#)

Vogel D. Newsome,, U. S. Supreme Court - search free case law, search by court, citation and area of law for small law attorneys all found in lexisone. webservices.lexisnexis.com/lx1/.../freecaselaw?...y... - [Cached](#)

martindale.com
Lawyer Locator

[Careers](#)

[Terms of Use](#) [Services](#) [Products](#) [Contact Us](#) [About Us](#) [Site Info](#) [Home](#)

[Quick](#)

Lawyer Locator

Search Lawyer Locator

■ By Lawyer

By Location/Area of Practice

By Industry/Practice

Groups

By Firm

By Corporate Law

Departments

By US Government

By US Law Faculty

Join the Legal Network

Request a Listing

About Lawyer Locator

[Legal Articles](#)

[Dispute Resolution](#)

[Experts and Services](#)

[Legal Personnel](#)

[Legal Careers](#)

[Professional Resources](#)

[Customer Service](#)

LexisNexis
Martindale-Hubbell

FEEDBACK :))

Add the Lawyer Locator
and Article Search
to your web site

LexisNexis
Martindale-Hubbell

More resources...

- [lawyers.com](#)
- [Practice Development Center](#)
- [Counsel to Counsel Forums](#)
- [corporate.martindale.com](#)
- [eAttorney](#)
- [LexisNexis™](#)

Search the Lawyer Locator

[New Search](#)

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC

201 St. Charles Avenue, Suite 3600

New Orleans, Louisiana 70170-1000

(Orleans Parish)

Telephone: 504-566-5200

Email: [Contact Us](#)

Web Site: <http://www.bakerdonelson.com>

Firm Credentials



Bar Register Practice Areas: Commercial Litigation; Construction Law; Health Care Law; Labor and Employment Law; Oil and Gas Law.

Statement of Practice:

Antitrust; Appellate Defense; Bankruptcy and Creditors' Rights; Business Torts; Commercial Litigation; Construction; Corporate and Business Transactions; eBusiness/Technology; Eminent Domain; Employee Benefits and Executive Compensation; Employment and Civil Rights; Environmental, Health and Safety; Equipment Leasing; Estate Planning/Probate; Financial Services and Transactions; Government Investigations and Litigation; Health Law; Immigration; Insurance Defense Coverage; Intellectual Property; International; Labor and Employment; Litigation; Media Law; Oil and Gas Litigation; Products Liability; Professional Liability; Public Policy - Federal; Public Policy - State; Real Estate; Taxation - Federal and State/Local; Transportation.

Year Established: 1888

Firm Profile:

Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz was ranked in 2003 as the fastest growing law firm in the U.S. by The National Law Journal and is one of the 200 largest law firms in the country. Through strategic acquisitions and mergers over the past century, the firm has grown to include over 370 attorneys and public policy advisors in ten offices across the southeastern United States, as well as a representative office in Beijing, China. In the United States, Baker Donelson has offices in Memphis, Nashville, Knoxville, Chattanooga and Johnson City, Tennessee as well as Birmingham, Alabama; Jackson, Mississippi; Washington, DC; New Orleans and Mandeville, Louisiana and Atlanta, Georgia.

Current and former Baker Donelson attorneys and public policy advisors include, among many other highly distinguished individuals, people who have served as Chief of Staff to the President of the United States; the U.S. Senate Majority Leader; the U.S. Secretary of State; a member of the United States Congress; the Federal Aviation

http://www.martindale.com/xp/Martindale/Lawyer_Locator/Search_Lawyer_Locator/searc... 9/11/2004

EXHIBIT
"27"

- LawCommerce.comSM
- LawyerLocator.Co.Uk
- Anwalt24.de
- martindale.co.il



Administrator; Chief of Staff at the Supreme Court of the United States and Administrative Assistant to the Chief Justice of the United States; the Deputy Under Secretary for International Trade for the U.S. Department of Commerce; the Ambassador to Turkey; the Ambassador to the Sultanate of Oman; Chief Operating Officer and Commissioner of Finance and Administration for the State of Tennessee; the Deputy Governor and Chief of Staff for the Governor of Tennessee, the Governor of Mississippi, and the Chairman of the Alabama Securities Commission.

Baker Donelson represents local, regional, national and international clients across numerous industries in regard to a myriad of complex issues. The Firm's service philosophy is grounded in the commitment to provide innovative, results-oriented solutions while placing the needs of its clients first. Baker Donelson understands the constantly evolving and changing nature of the law and political conditions around the world, and is highly dedicated to providing the necessary continuing education to maintain the thought leadership and sophistication of the attorneys and public policy advisors within the Firm. By investing in and using state-of-the-art Web technologies, collaborative systems and the latest knowledge management tools, Baker Donelson provides efficient, streamlined service to its clients and in so doing maintains a competitive advantage in the legal services industry.

Baker Donelson is a member of TerraLex, one of the largest global networks of independent law firms. TerraLex is comprised of more than 10,000 attorneys in 145 firms in 93 countries. The network's goal is to enable member firms to better serve their clients' international interests by providing clients quick access to lawyers with the right expertise and depth of jurisdictional knowledge in countries around the world.

The Firm also is a member of the Harmonie Group, an organization of independent firms capable of providing litigation defense services to corporations, insurance carriers, captive insurance companies, risk retention groups, purchasing groups and third-party administrators. The Harmonie network, with over 3,000 lawyers from more than 50 firms, provides clients with access to defense firms and attorneys throughout the United States that handle complex and difficult high-stakes litigation.

For more information about Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, visit www.bakerdonelson.com.

For additional business information about this firm, Click here to view this firm's ranking in key **AMERICAN LAWYER MEDIA** lists and surveys.

View articles about this firm from *Corporate Board Member* magazine

Firm Size: 370

Other Office Addresses: Links to Other Offices

Memphis, Tennessee Office: 20th Floor, First Tennessee Building, 165 Madison Avenue, 38103. Telephone: 901-526-2000. Telecopier: 901-577-2303.


Nashville, Tennessee Office: Commerce Center, Suite 1000, 211 Commerce Street, 37201. Telephone: 615-726-5600. Telecopier: 615-726-0464.

Chattanooga, Tennessee Office: 1800 Republic Centre, 633 Chestnut Street, 37450-1800. Telephone: 423-756-2010. Telecopier: 423-756-3447.

Knoxville, Tennessee Office: 2200 Riverview Tower, 900 South Gay Street, 37902. Telephone: 865-549-7000. Telecopier: 865-525-8569.

Johnson City, Tennessee Office: SunTrust Bank Building, 207 Mockingbird Lane, P.O.

100910 – USPS MAILING RECEIPTS (OBAMA&ROBERTS)

 [Home](#) | [Help](#) | [Sign In](#)

[Track & Confirm](#) | [FAQs](#)

Track & Confirm

Search Results

Label/Receipt Number: 2306 1570 0001 0443 6275
Expected Delivery Date: **October 12, 2010**
Class: **Priority Mail®**
Service(s): **Signature Confirmation™**
Status: **Delivered**

Your item was delivered at 4:17 am on October 18, 2010 in WASHINGTON, DC 20500 to 20500 PU . The item was signed for by M NALDO.


Detailed Results:

- Delivered, October 18, 2010, 4:17 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20500
- Notice Left, October 12, 2010, 11:02 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20500
- Sorting Complete, October 12, 2010, 10:08 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20022
- Arrival at Unit, October 12, 2010, 9:37 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20022
- Acceptance, October 09, 2010, 3:06 pm, CINCINNATI, OH 45234

Track & Confirm

Enter Label/Receipt Number.

[Go >](#)

 [Home](#) | [Help](#) | [Sign In](#)

[Track & Confirm](#) | [FAQs](#)

Track & Confirm

Search Results

Label/Receipt Number: 2306 1570 0001 0443 9658
Expected Delivery Date: **October 12, 2010**
Class: **Priority Mail®**
Service(s): **Signature Confirmation™**
Status: **Delivered**

Your item was delivered at 10:50 am on October 12, 2010 in WASHINGTON, DC 20543 to SUPREME CT 20543 PU . The item was signed for by L JOHNSON.

Detailed Results:

- Delivered, October 12, 2010, 10:50 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20543
- Notice Left, October 12, 2010, 10:41 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20543
- Arrival at Unit, October 12, 2010, 10:30 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20022
- Acceptance, October 09, 2010, 3:07 pm, CINCINNATI, OH 45234


Track & Confirm

Enter Label/Receipt Number.

[Go >](#)

**EXHIBIT
"28"**

**UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT'S
UNDERCOVER CONSPIRACY METHODS OF TAKING FROM
AFRICAN-AMERICANS/BLACKS WHO
WOULD **NOT** GIVE UP THEIR PROPERTY
TO **BIG MONEY INTEREST GROUPS/
REAL ESTATE DEVELOPERS**
GOVERNMENT KNEW THE LEVIES WERE BAD**







Print

Subject: Re: UNITED STATES BARACK OBAMA: What Obama Is Hiding – TIME TO MAKE A CHANGE (Citizens Taking Back America)
From: Church Office <churchoffice@>
Sent: Friday, October 22, 2010 2:29:21 PM
To:

Please stop mailing to this address.

On Fri, Oct 22, 2010 at 12:15 PM, <

FOR YOUR INFORMATION and KNOWLEDGE – Be Encouraged!

From: makeyourvoicecount@lycos.com

To: bhobama@who.eop.gov, president@who.eop.gov, contact@whitehouse.gov, contact@who.eop.gov, askdoj@usdoj.gov, contact@usdoj.gov, solis hilda <solis.hilda@dol.gov>, clintonhr@state.gov, "sf nancy" <sf.nancy@mail.house.gov>, AmericanVoices@mail.house.gov, jrbiden@who.eop.gov, "vice president" <vice.president@who.eop.gov>, vdnnewsome@gmail.com, mrobbama@who.eop.gov, "first lady" <first.lady@who.eop.gov>, jrbiden@who.eop.gov, remanuel@who.eop.gov, "richard bender" <richard_bendor@harkin.senate.gov>, "michelle evermoore" <michelle_evermoore@harkin.senate.gov>, "daniel goldberg" <daniel_goldberg@harkin.senate.gov>, "rosenmary guiterrez" <rosenmary_guiterrez@harkin.senate.gov>, "janelle krishnamoorthy" <janelle.krishnamoorthy@harkin.senate.gov>, "beth stein" <beth.stein@harkin.senate.gov>, "jonny wing" <jonny_wing@harkin.senate.gov>, "sonja hoover" <sonja_hoover@harkin.senate.gov>, "lindsay jones" <lindsay_jones@harkin.senate.gov>, "glen chambers" <glen_chambers@brownback.senate.gov>, "brian hart" <brian_hart@brownback.senate.gov>, "becky ogilvie" <becky_ogilvie@brownback.senate.gov>, "galen roehl" <galen_roehl@brownback.senate.gov>, "larochelle young" <larochelle_young@brownback.senate.gov>, "brian robertson" <brian_robertson@brownback.senate.gov>, "darin guries" <darin_guries@brownback.senate.gov>, "riley scott" <riley_scott@brownback.senate.gov>, "iandon fulmer" <iandon_fulmer@brownback.senate.gov>, "melanie benning" <melanie_benning@brownback.senate.gov>, "leslie bolz" <leslie_bolz@brownback.senate.gov>, "josh carter" <josh_carter@brownback.senate.gov>, "stacy cervenka" <stacy_cervenka@brownback.senate.gov>, "maggie fleming" <maggie_fleming@brownback.senate.gov>, "josh lynch" <josh_lync@brownback.senate.gov>, "ariel wolf" <ariel_wolf@brownback.senate.gov>, "devon gallagher" <devon_gallagher@brownback.senate.gov>, "senator pat roberts" <senator_pat_roberts@roberts.senate.gov>, "jackie cottrell" <jackie_cottrell@roberts.senate.gov>, "sarah little" <sarah_little@roberts.senate.gov>, "molly haase" <molly_haase@roberts.senate.gov>, "mike seyfort" <mike_seyfort@roberts.senate.gov>, "jennifer cook" <jennifer_cook@roberts.senate.gov>, "alison anway" <alison_anway@roberts.senate.gov>, "joel lefwich" <joel_lefwich@roberts.senate.gov>, "vorna regier" <vorna_regier@roberts.senate.gov>, "sean farrell" <sean_farrell@roberts.senate.gov>, "melissa kay" <melissa_kay@roberts.senate.gov>, "andy eck" <andy_eck@roberts.senate.gov>, "tyan flickner" <tyan_flickner@roberts.senate.gov>, "libby quint" <libby_quint@roberts.senate.gov>, "heda owens" <heda_owens@roberts.senate.gov>, "kata anderson" <kata_anderson@roberts.senate.gov>, "joshua yurek" <joshua_yurek@roberts.senate.gov>, "lisa gagnon" <lisa_gagnon@roberts.senate.gov>
Cc: "j carter" <j.carter@ministers.govt.nz>, "b english" <b.english@ministers.govt.nz>, "p goll" <p.goll@parliament.govt.nz>, "nathan guy" <nathan.guy@ministers.govt.nz>, "j key" <j.key@ministers.govt.nz>, "w mapp" <w.mapp@ministers.govt.nz>, "m mccully" <m.mccully@ministers.govt.nz>, "boryl bright" <boryl.bright@parliament.govt.nz>, "info@norge.no, postmottak@smk.dep.no, postmottak@ad.dep.no, postmottak@fd.dep.no, post@mfa.no, "hital hussain" <hital.hussain@president.gov.pk>, "muhammad adnan" <muhammad.adnan@president.gov.pk>, "amir saeed" <amir.saeed@president.gov.pk>, "jalal farooq" <jalal.farooq@president.gov.pk>, "imran hyder" <imran.hyder@president.gov.pk>, pspn@pnisactt.gov.pk, nsethi@pnisocllt.gov.pk, sqaiser@pnisocllt.gov.pk, corres@op.gov.ph, osec@dfo.gov.ph, osec@dolo.gov.ph, nsmc@info.com.ph, "ere papp" <ere.papp@compass.com.ph>, webmaster@shura.gov.sa, "pmo hq" <pmo.hq@pmo.gov.sg>, "lucy lan" <lucy.lan@pmo.gov.sg>, mfa@mfa.gov.sg, "george yeo" <george.yeo@mfa.gov.sg>, "liian lee" <liian.lee@mfa.gov.sg>, "raymond lim" <raymond.lim@mof.gov.sg>, president@po.gov.za, felsby@po.gov.za, Nonhlanhlahla@po.gov.za, Ayanda@po.gov.za, mtebe@po.gov.za, leratoz@po.gov.za, johnj@po.gov.za, speaker@parliament.gov.za, zdingani@parliament.gov.za, sec.tcnb@realnet.co.sz, mbulhu@go.sz, BIG@GOVERNMENT.BG, oem@cambodia.gov.kh, mlafacsaon@bigpond.com.kh, information@information.gov.kh, moj@cambodia.gov.kh
Sent: Saturday, October 16, 2010 4:21:08 PM GMT -05:00 US/Canada Eastern
Subject: RE: UNITED STATES BARACK OBAMA: What Obama Is Hiding – TIME TO MAKE A CHANGE (Citizens Taking Back America)

TO: United States President Barack Obama
United States Attorney General Eric Holder
United States Legislature/Congress
United Nations Leaders/Foreign Leaders
Churches/Saints
Public/Media
CONSERVATIVES – Does Your God (If You Serve One) Support Racism?
Do You Have A Spiritual/Godly Foundation For Your Beliefs/Actions?

I PRAY THAT YOU FIND THIS INFORMATION ENCOURAGING, EDUCATIONAL and INFORMATIVE!!!

Psalm 27:1-2 -- "The LORD is my light and my salvation; whom shall I fear? the LORD is the strength of my life; of whom shall I be afraid? When the wicked, even mine enemies and my foes, came upon me to eat up my flesh, they stumbled and fell."

Ephesians 6:10-13 -- "Finally, my brethren, be strong in the Lord, and in the power of his might. Put on the whole armour of God, that ye may be able to stand against the wiles of the devil."

"For we wrestle not against flesh and blood, but against principalities, against powers, against the rulers of the darkness of this world, against spiritual wickedness in high places."

"Wherefore take unto you the whole armour of God, that ye may be able to withstand in the evil day, and having done all, to stand."

Attached enclosed please find Newsome's recent October 9, 2010 "UNITED STATES" Supreme Court filing (Letter to Justice Roberts and Brief ONLY) along with PDF PowerPoint Presentation (i.e. you can use the "ENTER" key to go from slide-to-slide). There are approximately 169 Exhibits to this filing and a "TABLE OF EXHIBITS" is provided as well as "TABLE OF AUTHORITIES" provided in the Brief.

PLEASE BE ADVISED: The PDF PowerPoint Presentation may contain materials that one may find HIGHLY sensitive. Furthermore information that has been pulled from the Internet or from documents that is of PUBLIC record.

Newsome believes the PUBLIC/WORLD need to see how the United States Government goes about destroying lives of African-Americans/Blacks and/or those it see as Civil Rights Activists as Newsome:

- (1) State Champion in Track in Field,
(2) Who's Who Among American High School Student,
(3) All-American,
(4) Olympic Trial Participant, etc.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kC97cx3rYQI

How the United States Government placed FALSE and MALICIOUS information that it knew was obtained through criminal/civil violations for purposes of destroying Newsome's life. Moreover, the recent July 2010 attacks on Shirley Sherrrod because she too is an Activist for Civil Rights:



Print

Subject: **Auto: RE: UNITED STATES BARACK OBAMA: What Obama Is Hiding – TIME TO MAKE A CHANGE (Citizens Taking Back America)**

From: **domiwit**

Sent: **Sunday, October 31, 2010 2:02:44 AM**

To:

A partir du 15 avril 2008, pour contacter le consul honoraire d'Allemagne à Nantes, Monsieur François ANTONIETTI, écrivez à contact@consulallemagnenantes.fr ou par téléphone au 02.40.49.74.91 ou au 06.73.13.63.07. M de La Chesnais reste joignable à cette adresse et sur son portable.
Cordialement

Print

Subject: **Auto: RE: UNITED STATES BARACK OBAMA: What Obama Is Hiding – TIME TO MAKE A CHANGE (Citizens Taking Back America)**

From: **consulatpaysbasstrasbourg**

Sent: **Friday, October 22, 2010 1:48:42 PM**

To :

Le consulat est fermé du 23 octobre au 1er novembre 2010inclus
Het consulaat is gesloten vanaf 23 oktober tot en met 1 november
En cas d'urgence, veuillez contacter l'ambassade à Paris 01 40 62 33 00.
In noodgeval, mag u de ambassade te Parijs opbellen : 01 40 62 33 00

Print

Subject: **Vastaanottokuittaus - Kvittering (RE: UNITED STATES BARACK OBAMA: What Obama Is Hiding – TIME TO MAKE A CHANGE (Citizens Taking Back America), 22.10.2010 klo 19.40)**

From: **Oikeusministerio@**

Sent: **Friday, October 22, 2010 12:45:42 PM**

To:

Vastaanottokuittaus
Oikeusministeriö on vastaanottanut sähköpostinne.

Kvittering
Justitieministeriet har fått ert e-meddelande.

Print

Subject: **turk.genkon.berlin**
From: **turk.genkon.berlin**
Sent: **Thursday, October 21, 2010 3:50:42 PM**
To:

T.C. Berlin Baskonsoloslugu e-posta adresi 19.04.2010 tarihinden itibaren turkcons.berlin@mfa.gov.tr olarak degistirilmistir.

E-postanizin ilgililere ulasabilmesi icin lütfen yeni adresi kullaniniz.

Die neue E-Mail des Generalkonsulats der Republik Türkei lautet seit dem 19.April 2010 wie folgt:
turkcons.berlin@mfa.gov.tr

Bitte verwenden Sie diese neue E-Mail, damit Ihre Post die Zustaendigen erreicht.

As of 19.04.2010 the e-mail address of the Turkish Consulate General in Berlin has been changed as
turkcons.berlin@mfa.gov.tr

Please send your e-mail to the above mentioned address to make sure that your message is received by the Consulate.

Print

Subject: **RE: =C2=A0 UNITED STATES BARACK OBAMA: What Obama Is Hiding =E2=80= -recieved (see note below for more info)**
From: **Mahalo Broadcasting**
Sent: **Friday, October 22, 2010 1:34:30 PM**
To: s

Your message has been received. Thank you for contacting Mahalo Broadcasting, the home of LAVA 105.3, KOA Country, and Honu 62!

You can now post your Events at our online Community Events Calendar at <http://www.bigislandcommunity.com/> Or you can go to www.LAVA1053.com and click on "Community Events". We hope you enjoy this extra service.

Mahalo

UNITED STATES

INDIAN RESERVATIONS



UNITED STATES

BLACK/AFRICAN-AMERICAN RESERVATIONS/PLANTATIONS




EXHIBIT
"32"









Black Prisoners Beaten, Deprived, Isolated, Denied Medical Care in Calif. Prisons

Friday, May 14, 2010 [admin](#)

Like

0

1 Share



An investigation into the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation has uncovered horrific cases of deprivation and extreme brutality against black prisoners that include isolation, beatings, withholding medical treatment and routine use of racial slurs against black inmates at High Desert State Prison and other California prisons.

The California Senate said they will investigate allegations revealed by the *Sacramento Bee* into what is happening in the largest prison system in America.

“We are deeply concerned about the allegations of abuse and racist treatment of inmates at California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation behavior management units at several institutions covered in the recent *Sacramento Bee* series,” Senate President Pro Tem Darrell Steinberg, D-Sacramento, and Public Safety Committee Chairman Mark Leno, D-San Francisco, wrote in a letter to Gov. Arnold Schwarzenegger, The *Sacramento Bee* reported Wednesday.

“We are even more troubled ... by the accusations that investigations into these allegations were either ignored, or worse, covered up,” Steinberg and Leno wrote.

Publicly, Schwarzenegger demands an immediate and comprehensive inventory of the processes and prosecution of those whom have operated outside of the law.

Corrections researchers concur with the governor’s sentiments and want alleged abuses, which apparently were suppressed, exposed.

“Prisons must be managed for the safety of staff and inmates and to rehabilitate offenders,” Schwarzenegger said Tuesday. “The (corrections) department has zero tolerance for abuse and we support their vigorous and comprehensive review of the matter.” —*terry shropshire*

EXHIBIT
“33”

DOCKET 1180 PAGE 585

999-0049430

LEWIS JON - CONSTABLE

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

VS.

NEWSOME VOGEL

CHARGE RESISTING ARREST 97-9-73

FILED 07-11-07

PLEA

H 8-7-07 9:30 am

DISPOSITION

Attorney for Plaintiff

Richard Rehfeldt

Attorney for Defendant

JCVENV2

DOCKET 1180 PAGE 584
585

999-0049429

LEWIS JON - CONSTABLE

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

VS.

NEWSOME VOGEL

CHARGE DISORDERLY CONDUCT-FAILURE TO

COMPLE WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT

FILED 07-11-07

PLEA

H 8-7-07 9:30 am

DISPOSITION

Attorney for Plaintiff

Richard Rehfeldt

Attorney for Defendant

400 Briarwood Dr Ste 500

JCVENV2

Jackson, MS 39206

EXHIBIT
"34"

GENERAL AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

DOCKET 1180 PAGE 585
999-0049430

COUNTY OF HINDS

BEFORE ME, the undersigned Justice Court Clerk of Hinds County, personally came

Jan Lewis

being first duly sworn, makes affidavit that

Vagel Neusome

1734 Hawthorne CO

JACKSON, MS 39206 on or about


the 14 day of February 06 in the county aforesaid, did willfully and unlawfully

Resisting Arrest 97-9-73

did willfully and unlawfully resist by force the

lawful arrest by Constable Jan Lewis, a state law enforcement
officer.

against the peace and dignity of the State of Mississippi.



Witness my hand this the 3 day of July 07

Affiant's Address

407 Pascagoula St.

Jackson, MS

Phone _____

PATRICIA T. WOODS
Hinds County Justice Court Clerk
407 East Pascagoula Street - Suite 333
P.O. Box 3490
Jackson, Mississippi 39207
(601) 965-8800

BY  D.C.

GENERAL AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

DOCKET 1180 PAGE 584
999-0049429

COUNTY OF HINDS

BEFORE ME, the undersigned Justice Court Clerk of Hinds County, personally came

Jim Lewis

being first duly sworn, makes affidavit that

Vogel Newsome

1934 Hawthorne Cir.

Jackson MS 39286 on or about

the 14 day of February 06, in the county aforesaid, did willfully and unlawfully
97-35-7(1)(a) Disorderly Conduct - Failure To Comply with Request of Command
of Law Enforcement Officer

did willfully and unlawfully, with the intent to provoke a breach of
peace resulting in a breach of peace, refuse to comply with the
command order of Jim Lewis, a law enforcement officer who had the authority
to then and there arrest any person for a violation of the law to
move from the apartment in which she was being evicted.

against the peace and dignity of the State of Mississippi.




Witness my hand this the 3 day of July, 07.

Affiant's Address

407 Pascagoula St.
Jackson MS.

PATRICIA T. WOODS
Hinds County Justice Court Clerk
407 East Pascagoula Street - Suite 333
P.O. Box 3490
Jackson, Mississippi 39207
(601) 965-8800

Phone _____



BY _____ D.C.

10/15/2007 13:58 6019560495

RICHARD

PAGE 01

HINDS COUNTY JUSTICE COURT ABSTRACT OF COURT RECORD

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

DOCKET 1180 PAGE 584

COUNTY OF HINDS

AGENCY CODE 999 TICKET NO. 0049429

10/15/07 9:04 AM

DEFENDANT

NAME NEWSOME VOGEL RACE _____ SEX M

ADDRESS 1434 HAWTHORNE CV

CITY JACKSON STATE MS ZIP CODE 39286

DRIVER'S LICENSE NUMBER _____ STATE _____ DATE OF BIRTH _____

VEHICLE INFORMATION

REGISTRATION (TAG) NO. _____ STATE _____ YEAR _____

VEHICLE MODEL YEAR _____ MAKE _____ TYPE _____

VIOLATION

%BAC _____

CHARGED WITH: DISORDERLY CONDUCT-FAILURE TO SPEED/ZONE _____

DATE OF VIOLATION 02-14-06 COURT DATE 10-12-07 HWY. OR STREET _____

CHARGES WERE FILED BY: LEWIS JON - CON BADGE NO. _____

DEFENDANT ENTERED A PLEA OF: _____

JUDGMENT OF COURT REMANDED TO FILE

BY JUDGE: STEVEN PICKETT

REMARKS BY COURT _____

DEFENDANT WAS FINED \$.00 PLUS ASSESSMENTS OF \$.00

SENTENCED TO: _____

BAIL FORFEITED () APPEALED () FINE PAID ()

I CERTIFY THAT THIS IS A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF MY COURT RECORD AS RECORDED IN:

DOCKET 1180

PAGE 584

PATRICIA T. WOODS
Hinds County Justice Court Clerk

By [Signature] D.C.

10/15/2007 13:58 6019560495

RICHARD

PAGE 02

HINDS COUNTY JUSTICE COURT ABSTRACT OF COURT RECORD

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI DOCKET 1180 PAGE 585

COUNTY OF HINDS AGENCY CODE 999 TICKET NO. 0049430

10/15/07 9:05 AM

DEFENDANT

NAME NEWSOME VOGEL RACE _____ SEX M

ADDRESS 1434 HAWTHORNE CV

CITY JACKSON STATE MS ZIP CODE 39286

DRIVER'S LICENSE NUMBER _____ STATE _____ DATE OF BIRTH _____

VEHICLE INFORMATION

REGISTRATION (TAG) NO. _____ STATE _____ YEAR _____

VEHICLE MODEL YEAR _____ MAKE _____ TYPE _____

VIOLATION

%BAC _____

CHARGED WITH: RESISTING ARREST 97-9-73 SPEED/ZONE _____

DATE OF VIOLATION 02-14-06 COURT DATE 10-12-07 HWY. OR STREET _____

CHARGES WERE FILED BY: LEWIS JON - CON BADGE NO. _____

DEFENDANT ENTERED A PLEA OF: _____

JUDGMENT OF COURT REMANDED TO FILE

BY JUDGE: STEVEN PICKETT

REMARKS BY COURT _____

DEFENDANT WAS FINED \$.00 PLUS ASSESSMENTS OF \$.00

SENTENCED TO: _____

BAIL FORFEITED () APPEALED () FINE PAID ()

I CERTIFY THAT THIS IS A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF MY COURT RECORD AS RECORDED IN:

DOCKET 1180

PAGE 585

PATRICIA T. WOODS
Hinds County Justice Court Clerk

By [Signature] D.C.

UNITED STATES POLICE BRUTALITY/BEATINGS



POLICE BRUTALITY

Because we can.



The Birmingham News

**EXHIBIT
"36"**



John Lewis getting his head bashed in during a 1960s march for civil rights



BAKER DONELSON

BEARMAN, CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, PC

Commission on Civil Rights Appointment

Bradley S. Clanton

May 10, 2007

(Jackson, MS/May 10, 2007) Bradley S. Clanton, of the law firm of Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC, has been appointed by the United States Commission on Civil Rights (USCCR) to serve as Chairman of its Mississippi Advisory Committee.

The Committee assists the USCCR with its fact-finding, investigative and information dissemination activities. The functions of the USCCR include investigating complaints alleging that citizens are being deprived of their right to vote by reason of their race, color, religion, sex, age, disability or national origin, or by reason of fraudulent practices; studying and collecting information relating to discrimination or a denial of equal protection of the laws under the Constitution; appraising federal laws and policies with respect to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws because of race, color, religion, sex, age, disability or national origin, or in the administration of justice; serving as a national clearinghouse for information in respect to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws; submitting reports, findings and recommendations to the President and Congress; and issuing public service announcements to discourage discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws.

Mr. Clanton, a shareholder in Baker Donelson's Jackson and Washington, D.C. offices, concentrates his practice in government litigation, securities and other fraud investigations, and litigation, election law and appeals. His appellate practice has included matters before the U.S. Supreme Court, U.S. Courts of Appeals, the Mississippi Supreme Court and Court of Appeals, and various other state appellate courts. His internal investigations and government litigation practice has included matters related to Securities and Exchange Commission investigations, health care fraud investigations, federal campaign finance investigations, and state and federal securities fraud class action litigation and arbitration proceedings. Previously, Mr. Clanton served as Chief Counsel to the U.S. House Judiciary Committee's Subcommittee on the Constitution, where his responsibilities included advising the Chairman and Republican Members of the Judiciary Committee on legislation and Congressional oversight implicating civil and constitutional rights, Congressional authority, separation of powers, proposed constitutional amendments and oversight of the Civil Rights Division of the Department of Justice and the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

News Contact:

Johanna Burkett
901.577.2201

Related Practices

White Collar Crime and
Government Investigations

Offices

Jackson

EXPAND YOUR EXPECTATIONS

© 2010 Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC

EXHIBIT
"37"

BAKER DONELSON BEARMAN, CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, PC

Commission on Civil Rights Appointment

Bradley S. Clanton

May 10, 2007

(Jackson, MS/May 10, 2007) Bradley S. Clanton, of the law firm of Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC, has been appointed by the United States Commission on Civil Rights (USCCR) to serve as Chairman of its Mississippi Advisory Committee.

The Committee assists the USCCR with its fact-finding, investigative and information dissemination activities. The functions of the USCCR include investigating complaints alleging that citizens are being deprived of their right to vote by reason of their race, color, religion, sex, age, disability or national origin, or by reason of fraudulent practices; studying and collecting information relating to discrimination or a denial of equal protection of the laws under the Constitution; appraising federal laws and policies with respect to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws because of race, color, religion, sex, age, disability or national origin, or in the administration of justice; serving as a national clearinghouse for information in respect to discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws; submitting reports, findings and recommendations to the President and Congress; and issuing public service announcements to discourage discrimination or denial of equal protection of the laws.

Mr. Clanton, a shareholder in Baker Donelson's Jackson and Washington, D.C. offices, concentrates his practice in government litigation, securities and other fraud investigations, and litigation, election law and appeals. His appellate practice has included matters before the U.S. Supreme Court, U.S. Courts of Appeals, the Mississippi Supreme Court and Court of Appeals, and various other state appellate courts. His internal investigations and government litigation practice has included matters related to Securities and Exchange Commission investigations, health care fraud investigations, federal campaign finance investigations, and state and federal securities fraud class action litigation and arbitration proceedings. Previously, Mr. Clanton served as Chief Counsel to the U.S. House Judiciary Committee's Subcommittee on the Constitution, where his responsibilities included advising the Chairman and Republican Members of the Judiciary Committee on legislation and Congressional oversight implicating civil and constitutional rights, Congressional authority, separation of powers, proposed constitutional amendments and oversight of the Civil Rights Division of the Department of Justice and the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

News Contact:

Johanna Burkett
901.577.2201

Related Practices

[White Collar Crime and
Government Investigations](#)

Offices

[Jackson](#)

EXPAND YOUR EXPECTATIONS

© 2010 Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC

HAMILTON COUNTY CLERK OF COURTS
C A S E C O S T B I L L I N G
CASE NUMBER = A 0901302

TODAY'S DATE: 01/20/2011
TODAY'S TIME: 06:47

Page 1
CMSR5153

T H I S I S A B I L L I N G

PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT A JUDGMENT LIEN WILL BE FILED AGAINST YOU FOR DELINQUENT COURT COSTS IN THE ABOVE CAPTIONED CASE. YOU ARE FURTHER ADVISED THAT THIS IS A LIEN AGAINST ALL REAL PROPERTY THAT YOU MAY OWN; AND MAY BE MADE THE SUBJECT OF FURTHER CIVIL ACTION, INCLUDING THE ISSUANCE OF EXECUTION, LEVYING ON YOUR AUTOMOBILE OR OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY. UNLESS PAYMENT IS MADE WITHING TEN (10) DAYS, ADDITIONAL COURT COSTS MAY RESULT. PLEASE RETURN THIS STATEMENT WHEN REMITTING BY MAIL.

CLERK OF COURTS
1000 MAIN ST. ROOM 315
CINCINNATI, OH 45202
ATTN. COST DESK
PHONE: (513)946-5667

THANK YOU,
PATRICIA M. CLANCY,
CLERK OF COURTS

Please Pay This Amount ==> 4,440.00

A 0901302
DENISE V NEWSOME
PO BOX 14731
CINCINNATI OH 45250

EXHIBIT
"38"

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI
JACKSON DIVISION**

JONI B. TYLER, et al.

PLAINTIFFS

VS.

CIVIL ACTION 3:09cv338 TSL-FKB

JPF1, LLC, et al.

DEFENDANTS

RECUSAL ORDER

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §455(a), the undersigned is compelled to disqualify himself in the above styled and numbered proceedings for the reason that the law firm of Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC, counsel for the defendants, is on the recusal list of the undersigned United States district judge.

Accordingly, the undersigned does hereby recuse himself in this cause.

ORDERED this 25th day of March, 2010.

/s/Tom S. Lee

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

**EXHIBIT
"39"**

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI
JACKSON DIVISION**

JOYCE WALKER

PLAINTIFF

VS.

CIVIL ACTION 3:09cv679 TSL-JCS

CAPTAIN D'S LLC, et al.

DEFENDANTS

RECUSAL ORDER

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §455(a), the undersigned is compelled to disqualify himself in the above styled and numbered proceeding for the reason that the law firm of Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC, counsel for defendant Captain D's LLC, is on the recusal list of the undersigned United States District Judge.

Accordingly, the undersigned does hereby recuse himself in this cause.

ORDERED this 13th day of November, 2009.

/s/Tom S. Lee

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE



Senate removes federal judge in impeachment conviction

By the CNN Wire Staff
December 8, 2010 12:46 p.m. EST



Judge G. Thomas Porteous is "forever disqualified to hold and enjoy any office of honor, trust or profit under the United States."

(CNN) -- The U.S. Senate found Federal Judge G. Thomas Porteous of Louisiana guilty on four articles of impeachment on Wednesday, which will remove him from the federal bench.

He had been accused of accepting kick-backs and lying to the Senate and FBI.

The vote makes Porteous, 63, only the eighth federal judge in the nation's history to be impeached and convicted.

Porteous is also "forever disqualified to hold and enjoy any office of honor, trust or profit under the United States," Sen. Daniel Inouye said during Wednesday's Senate hearing.

Print Powered By FormatDynamics™

**EXHIBIT
"40"**



The Senate adopted the motion barring Porteous from holding a future federal office by a vote of 94 to 2.

In March, the House of Representatives voted unanimously to impeach Porteous on corruption charges.

"Our investigation found that Judge Porteous participated in a pattern of corrupt conduct for years," U.S. Rep. Adam Schiff, D-California, chairman of the House Judiciary Committee Task Force on Judicial Impeachment.

In a statement at the time, Porteous' lawyer, Richard W. Westling, said the Justice Department had decided not to prosecute because it did not have credible evidence.

"Unfortunately, the House has decided to disregard the Justice Department's decision and to move forward with impeachment," he said. "As a result, we will now turn to the Senate to seek a full and fair hearing of all of the evidence."

Porteous, who turns 64 this year, was appointed to the federal bench in 1994. He has not worked as a judge since he was suspended with pay in the fall of 2008, Westling said.

The most recent previous impeachment of a federal judge by the House was last year.

Judge Samuel B. Kent of the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Texas resigned after being impeached on charges of sexual assault, obstructing and impeding an official proceeding and making false and misleading statements, according to the website of the Federal Judicial Center.

Before then, Judge Walter L. Nixon of U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi was impeached in 1989 on charges of perjury before a federal grand jury. The Senate convicted him and removed him from office that year.

[Log in](#) or [sign up](#) to comment



Print Powered By FormatDynamics™

CUT & PASTED AS OF 11/8/09 FROM:

http://www2.wjtv.com/jtv/news/state_regional/article/hinds_co._judge_delaughter_pleads_guilty_to_federal_charge/16411/

Feds Recommend 18 Month Sentence For Bobby DeLaughter

Judge DeLaughter Pleads Guilty To Federal Charge...



Associated Press and Staff Reports

Published: July 30, 2009

Updated: July 30, 2009

Hinds County Circuit Judge Bobby DeLaughter has pleaded guilty in court to a federal charge against him in Aberdeen. The government has dropped the other 4 counts against him. The government has recommended an 18 month sentence, however the charge carries a maximum sentence of 20 years. The judge won't sentence him until a presenting report is completed in about 5 weeks. Also this morning DeLaughter handed in his resignation from the court to Gov. Haley Barbour this morning.

The charge DeLaughter pleaded guilty to was for lying to an FBI agent who was investigating a judicial corruption case involving former prominent lawyer Richard "Dickie" Scruggs.

An indictment accused DeLaughter of attempting to obstruct, influence and impede an official proceeding while being interviewed. Prosecutors accused DeLaughter of ruling in favor of Scruggs, a once powerful Mississippi lawyer who is now in prison, in hopes that Scruggs would use his connections to help DeLaughter get appointed to a federal judgeship.

EXHIBIT
"41"



Judicial Transparency Now

DEMONSTRATING WHY BEING A PROFESSIONAL CITIZEN IS AN IMPORTANT JOB.

THURSDAY, JULY 30, 2009

Mississippi Judge Bobby DeLaughter Admits He Lied to FBI

Mississippi judge Bobby DeLaughter pleads guilty to lying to FBI agent

Miss. — Mississippi judge Bobby DeLaughter pleaded guilty to an obstruction of justice charge after lying to an FBI agent during an investigation into corruption.

In return for DeLaughter admitting guilt, conspiracy and mail fraud charges were dropped by prosecutors.

Previously, DeLaughter had been accused of giving an unfair advantage to former attorney Richard Richard "Dickie" Scruggs; who won millions from asbestos lawsuits.

(Scruggs, father and son, are in prison.)

Prosecutors recommended an 18-month prison sentence for Delaughter.

To make a report on other judges, see USAJudges.com or, KillerJudges.com

POSTED BY THE REAL NEWS AT 2:00 PM

[Want to Join the FBI?](#)
Degree Required.
Study Criminal Justice Online. Free Info Kit.
www.Westwood.edu/FE

Ads by Google

HANDY INFORMATION,
PROVIDED DAILY

EstablishedAttorneys.com -
Locating fine attorneys for your legal needs.

FamilyLawCourts.com - Exposing the Divorce Industry - One County at a Time.

USAjudges.com - Public information useful during an election year.

BLOG ARCHIVE

▼ 2009 (9)

▶ October (1)

▼ July (3)

▶ Jul 31 (1)

▼ Jul 30 (1)

Mississippi Judge Bobby DeLaughter Admits He Lied ...

[FBI College](#)

Earn an intelligence degree & begin a career with the FBI. Start now.
www.APUS.edu

[Facing Bribery Charges?](#)

A Local Defense Attorney Can Help! Connect Now For A Free Consultation
www.TotalCriminalDefense.com

[Brocato & Byrne, LLP.](#)

Criminal Defense Attorneys Former Assistant District Attorneys
www.BrocatoandByrne.com

[Want to Join the FBI?](#)

Degree Required. Study Criminal Justice Online. Free Info Kit.
www.Westwood.edu/FBI

Ads by Google

042211-USPS MAILING RECEIPTS (SupremeCourt, JusticeRoberts, President Obama, & SolicitorGeneral)



[Home](#) | [Help](#) | [Sign In](#)

[Track & Confirm](#)

[FAQs](#)

Track & Confirm

Search Results

Label/Receipt Number: 0309 1140 0001 9264 1847
Expected Delivery Date: April 25, 2011
Class: Priority Mail®
Service(s): Delivery Confirmation™
Status: Delivered

Your item was delivered at 8:02 am on April 25, 2011 in WASHINGTON, DC 20543.

Detailed Results:

- Delivered, April 25, 2011, 8:02 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20543
- Notice Left (No Authorized Recipient Available), April 24, 2011, 9:49 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20543
- Arrival at Unit, April 24, 2011, 9:29 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20022
- Processed through Sort Facility, April 22, 2011, 10:54 pm, CINCINNATI, OH 45235
- Acceptance, April 22, 2011, 6:40 pm, CINCINNATI, OH 45234

Notification Options

Track & Confirm by email

Get current event information or updates for your item sent to you or others by email. [Go >](#)

Track & Confirm

Enter Label/Receipt Number.

[Go >](#)



[Home](#) | [Help](#) | [Sign In](#)

[Track & Confirm](#)

[FAQs](#)

Track & Confirm

Search Results

Label/Receipt Number: 2306 1570 0001 0580 6961
Expected Delivery Date: April 25, 2011
Class: Priority Mail®
Service(s): Signature Confirmation™
Status: Delivered

Your item was delivered at 8:02 am on April 25, 2011 in WASHINGTON, DC 20543 to SUPREME CT 20543 PU . The item was signed for by J KOUROS.

Detailed Results:

- Delivered, April 25, 2011, 8:02 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20543
- Notice Left (No Authorized Recipient Available), April 24, 2011, 9:49 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20543
- Arrival at Unit, April 24, 2011, 9:30 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20022
- Acceptance, April 22, 2011, 6:36 pm, CINCINNATI, OH 45234

Notification Options

Track & Confirm by email

Get current event information or updates for your item sent to you or others by email. [Go >](#)

Proof of Delivery

Verify who signed for your item by email, fax, or mail. [Go >](#)

Track & Confirm

Enter Label/Receipt Number.

[Go >](#)

[Go to T](#)

[Track & Confirm](#)[FAQs](#)

Track & Confirm

Search Results

Label/Receipt Number: **0309 2880 0000 1057 2313**
Expected Delivery Date: **April 25, 2011**
Class: **Priority Mail®**
Service(s): **Delivery Confirmation™**
Status: **Delivered**

Your item was delivered at 4:36 am on April 25, 2011 in WASHINGTON, DC 20530.

Detailed Results:

- **Delivered, April 25, 2011, 4:36 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20530**
- **Notice Left (No Authorized Recipient Available), April 24, 2011, 10:43 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20530**
- **Arrival at Unit, April 24, 2011, 10:02 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20022**
- **Processed through Sort Facility, April 22, 2011, 10:53 pm, CINCINNATI, OH 45235**
- **Acceptance, April 22, 2011, 6:38 pm, CINCINNATI, OH 45234**

Notification Options

Track & Confirm by email

Get current event information or updates for your item sent to you or others by email. [Go >](#)

Track & Confirm

Enter Label/Receipt Number.

[Go >](#)[Track & Confirm](#)[FAQs](#)

Track & Confirm

Search Results

Label/Receipt Number: **2306 1570 0001 0580 6954**
Expected Delivery Date: **April 25, 2011**
Class: **Priority Mail®**
Service(s): **Signature Confirmation™**
Status: **Notice Left (No Authorized Recipient Available)**

We attempted to deliver your item at 12:50 pm on April 24, 2011 in WASHINGTON, DC 20500 and a notice was left because an authorized recipient was not available. You may arrange redelivery by visiting www.usps.com/redelivery or calling 800-ASK-USPS, or may pick up the item at the Post Office indicated on the notice. If this item is unclaimed after 15 days then it will be returned to the sender. Information, if available, is updated periodically throughout the day. Please check again later.

Detailed Results:

- **Notice Left (No Authorized Recipient Available), April 24, 2011, 12:50 pm, WASHINGTON, DC 20500**
- **Arrival at Unit, April 24, 2011, 9:39 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20022**
- **Processed through Sort Facility, April 22, 2011, 10:53 pm, CINCINNATI, OH 45235**
- **Acceptance, April 22, 2011, 6:37 pm, CINCINNATI, OH 45234**

Notification Options

Track & Confirm by email

Get current event information or updates for your item sent to you or others by email. [Go >](#)

Track & Confirm

Enter Label/Receipt Number.

[Go >](#)