


UNITED STATES OF AMERICA'S IMMIGRATION REFORM

Note: Visit Our Website at www.vogeldenisewebsite.net
Translation/Language Button is in the Upper Right-Hand Corner




THEN



NOW



Naomi Brookins



<http://www.amazon.com/Naomis-Story-Don't-Have-Broken/dp/1561673595>

<http://www.barnesandnoble.com/w/naomis-story-naomi-a-brookins/1002874434>

I learned that Rev. Milligan Newsome, her husband and my grandfather, was a **SPECIAL** kind of person who took **GOD'S** work **VERY SERIOUSLY**. He was a **preacher, educator, teacher**, and one who felt that *it was HIS DUTY TO LOOK OUT FOR ALL THOSE WHO LIVED IN Spindle Bottom*. Everyone loved and depended on him. **ALL** this land around our houses, church, and school **ONCE** belonged to him. . . .

*"When the **WHITE** community saw the **PROGRESS** that your grandpa was making, they tried to **FORCE** him to **SELL ALL** of his land. He **REFUSED** to even discuss it with them. He then began to **ADVISE** others **NOT** to **SELL** their land." . . .*

My grandmother stood and walked over to where I was sitting. She placed her arm around my shoulder and said, "Naomi, your grandfather was a wonderful, **stubborn, BLACK INDIAN, GOD FEARING** preacher who did **NOT** fear what man could do to him.

Within a year the **HEAD** of a **PROMINENT WHITE** family came to him and let him know that **IF** he did **NOT** sell his land, he would be **KILLED**. Your grandfather **LOOKED** him **STRAIGHT IN THE EYE** and said,

*'If I don't sell, you're going to **KILL** me. If I sell, you're **STILL GOING** to **KILL** me. Therefore, I'M **GOING** to **DIE STANDING** like a **GIANT** for my **GOD**.'*

Shortly after this encounter, your grandfather went down by the covered bridge to cut firewood. Your **PAPA**, who was **ONLY SEVENTEEN** years of age and very devoted, went with him. The man who had **VOICED** the **THREAT** came by the house and asked me where had that **NIGGER** gone. He was carrying a **RIFLE** and a **BASEBALL BAT**. He stated that he **only wanted to talk to that STUBBORN BOY**...

As the **WHITE** man walked away from me, I knew within my heart that *your grandfather would be killed*. When he **FOUND** your grandfather and **SHOT** him, your papa stood and watched. Your papa helped his dad to climb into the wagon. Your **grandpa ALWAYS** carried the **HOLY BIBLE** with him. He took the Bible from the wagon and **stumbled into the house to let me know that he had been SHOT**. Your papa and I put him in the bed. He asked me to place the Bible under his pillow, but he could hardly speak as he said,

*'My love, **TEACH** our **FIVE** children **NOT** to **HATE** anyone.'*

He then gasped for breath and **FELL ASLEEP**." - Naomi's Story - You Don't Have To Be Broken:
Note: Naomi Brookins is Vogel Denise Newsome's Aunt/father's sister.

THREE GENERATIONS LATER and **NOTHING HAS CHANGED!** The **UNITED STATES OF AMERICA'S** **CORRUPT** GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS are still **STEALING** LAND/PROPERTY – Domestically and Internationally Through **CRIMINAL ACTS** – War Crimes, **ILLEGAL** Evictions, etc.

Getting These **ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS**/Descendents of **ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS** Out Of **CONGRESS** Out of **WHITE HOUSE, SUPREME COURT** of The United States!

<http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/criminals-in-our-past>



United States House of Representatives -- **SPEAKER** of the House John Boehner and House **MAJORITY** Leader Eric Cantor

United States House of Representatives **MINORITY** Leader Nancy Pelosi

United States Senate **MAJORITY** Leader Harry Reid

United States Senate **MINORITY** Leader Mitch McConnell



United States of America
President Barack Hussein Obama II



Samuel A. Alito – Roman Catholicism

Sonia Sotomayor – Roman Catholicism

Anthony Kennedy – Roman Catholicism

Ruth Bader Ginsburg – Judaism

Chief Justice John G. Roberts – Roman Catholicism

Stephen G. Breyer – Judaism

Elena Kagan – Conservative Judaism

Clarence Thomas – Roman Catholicism

Antonin Scalia – Traditionalist Catholic

CRIMINALS IN OUR PAST and Also In The PRESENT!

<http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/criminals-in-our-past> or as of 03/04/13: <http://swarthoutfamily.org/Genealogy/Articles/49Criminal.htm>

Criminals in Our Past

Author: Mark W. Swarthout
Published on: September 2, 2005

Most of us are aware of the fact that parts of Australia were originally populated by people convicted of crimes in Great Britain and forcibly exiled to the small continent. But many of us forget that the reason they were sent to Australia was that they could no longer be sent to the United States, since they had rebelled and were now a sovereign nation.

Many of the early settlers in the US and Canada were individuals **convicted of crimes** and **sentenced to "Transport."** Before the American Revolution, about **50,000** convicts were transported from Britain and Ireland to the American colonies. The majority went to Maryland, Virginia or Pennsylvania.

The Crown hired merchants to ship them off to help colonize the area rather than sending them to jail. In some cases, these individuals became indentured, or their services became the property of the business that shipped them over. A wealthy criminal could pay for his cabin and freedom upon arrival in the Colonies, but the poor had their services sold to cover costs.

Georgia was an actual penal colony, but was not originally established to settle criminals. It was an alternative to Paupers' Prison, with George Oglethorpe proposing and establishing the colony after seeing horrible conditions in England.

And don't forget that a substantial number of the Hessian Mercenaries that arrived as a result of King George hiring the German regiments **consisted of impressed criminals.** Even British Naval ships were **full of impressed individuals, often pulled off the streets and out of the jails.** These individuals thought the risk of freedom well worth deserting into the wilds of the New World.

So there may be more than just a black sheep in the family! **Don't overlook the criminals that were transported and may have become part of your family tree.**

Perish or Prosper: The Law and Convict Transportation in the British Empire, 1700–1850 Bruce Kercher <http://www.historycooperative.org/journa...>



<http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/president-barack-obama-related-to-george-w-bush-dick-chaney>

Cheney and Obama: It's Not Genetic

By [NICHOLAS WADE](#)
Published: October 21, 2007

Two curious political relationships of Senator [Barack Obama](#) came to light last month in The Chicago Sun-Times: **he is an 11th cousin to President Bush, and a 9th cousin once removed to Vice President [Dick Cheney](#).** The Bush-Obama ancestors are Samuel and Sarah Soole Hinckley of 17th-century Massachusetts, The Sun-Times wrote; the Cheney-Obama ancestors are Mareen and Susannah Duvall, 17th-century immigrants from France.

The story sent new ripples through the political world last week when [Lynne Cheney](#) repeated Mr. Obama's link with her husband in a television interview promoting her new book. It's not as if Mr. Bush and [Mahmoud Ahmadinejad](#) were found to be identical twins separated at birth; still, the genealogy was incongruous.

But the Bush-Obama-Cheney nexus means rather less than it may seem. Conventional genealogies conceal how rapidly genes get diluted down the generations. You inherit directly only half of your father's genes, a quarter of each grandfather's, an eighth of any great-grandfather's. And with ancestors much further back, you may share no more genes than you do with a random stranger met in Times Square.

That may be why many people cannot trace their family tree very far back: perhaps intuitively, they realize they don't share much, genetically speaking, with those distant forebears.

Tracing ancestry through the father's surname, a surrogate for the Y chromosome, may seem absurd given that the Y carries just 70 of the 25,000 or so genes in the human genome. But the compilers of Burke's Peerage could be engaged in a quest less ludicrous than it might sound. In in-bred populations like Europe's nobility, just as in isolated villages, everyone dips from the same little gene pool. In such cases, you inherit only half of your father's genes directly, but of the half that got left on the cutting-room floor, some may have come to you via your mother, who shared them with your father via a joint ancestor.

But in out-bred populations, like that of the United States, where people generally marry people who are not related to them in any known way, genes get diluted really fast. Mr. Obama probably inherited a minute fraction — one divided by two to the 11th power — of Mareen Duvall's genome, which would amount to less than one gene, assuming the Y chromosome was not inherited. Much the same would be true of Mr. Cheney. The chance that they inherited the same one gene is vanishingly small. So the fact of their genealogical relationship, whatever its political symbolism, is genetically meaningless.

IMMIGRATION REFORM:

As of 03/04/13: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Immigration_reform

Immigration reform

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

Immigration reform is a term used in political discussion regarding changes to current **immigration policy** of a country. In its strict definition, "reform" means to change into an improved form or condition, by amending or removing faults or abuses.^[1] In the political sense, **immigration reform** may include promoted, expanded, or **open immigration**, as well as reduced or eliminated immigration.

Contents

- 1** **Immigration reform in the United States**
 - 1.1** **Arizona SB 1070**
 - 1.2** **Immigration Court Reform**
- 2** **Immigration reform in the United Kingdom**
- 3** **See also**
- 4** **References**
- 5** **External links**

Immigration reform in the United States

Main article: **History of laws concerning immigration and naturalization in the United States of America**

"Immigration reform" in the United States of America is widely used to describe proposals to increase legal immigration while decreasing illegal immigration, such as the **guest worker** proposal supported by **George W. Bush**. Illegal immigration is a controversial issue in the United States. Proponents of greater immigration enforcement argue that illegal tarnished immigrants cost taxpayers an estimated \$338.3 billion dollars and jeopardize the safety of law enforcement officials and citizens, especially along the Mexican border.^[2]

Vicente Fox, former Mexican president, writes that in 2001, President George W. Bush, and the leadership of both parties of Congress were about to pass significant immigration reform legislation benefiting Mexican emigration to the U.S.^[3]

The **Immigration Reform and Control Act of 1986** made it illegal to hire or recruit illegal immigrants, but left the immigration system without a key component - a workable non-immigrant visa system program for lesser-skilled workers to enter the United States. Following the 1986 amnesty, almost 12 million undocumented workers came across the U.S. border. It was estimated that this undocumented workforce made up about five percent of the U.S. workforce. It was also estimated that about 70 percent of those undocumented workers were from the country of Mexico.^[4]

In 2006, the U.S. House of Representatives passed the **Border Protection, Anti-terrorism, and Illegal Immigration Control Act of 2005**, and in 2006 the U.S. Senate passed the **Comprehensive Immigration Reform Act of 2006**. Neither bill became law because their differences could not be reconciled in **conference committee**.^[5] The legislative negotiations and national activism behind immigration reform from 2001-2007 is the subject of 12-part documentary film series **How Democracy Works Now**.

In 2009 the immigration reform became a hot topic, since the **Barack Obama** administration recently signaled interest in beginning a discussion on comprehensive immigration reform before year's end.^{[6][7]} The proposed comprehensive immigration reform plan had as its goal bipartisan support and includes six sections designed to have "something for everyone." These six sections are: (1) to fix border enforcement, (2) “interior enforcement,” such as preventing visa overstays, (3) preventing people from working without a **work permit**, (4) creating a committee to adapt the number of visas available to changing economic times, (5) an 'amnesty' type of program to legalize undocumented immigrants, and (6) programs to help immigrants adjust to life in the United States.^[8]

A 2010 academic study^[citation needed] has shown that when immigration issues receive national media attention, established residents living in places that have seen influx of new immigrants suddenly become much more politicized against immigration. This suggests that it is not the influx of new residents or new proximity to established residents that stir anti-immigrant sentiments; rather, resentment is spurred by the heated and prominent nature of the debate itself^[*dubious* – discuss]. The study, done by Georgetown University and published in the *American Political Science Review*, “Politicized Places: Explaining Where and When Immigrants Provoke Local Opposition,” examined more than twelve different surveys relating to immigration and local anti-immigration ordinances, spanning the years 1992 to 2009. During a period of high national attention to immigration, anti-immigration attitudes among established residents in fast-changing counties increase by 9.9%. The study’s author states that ethnic and racial surroundings appear to affect Americans’ political attitudes far less than previously thought: “Those who live near larger proportions of immigrants do not consistently exhibit more negative attitudes.” Rather, the author concludes, “day-to-day encounters can be shaped by salient national issues.”^[9]

On January 28, 2013, a **bi-partisan** group of eight Senators announced principles for comprehensive immigration reform (CIR). The Senators involved include: **Chuck Schumer** of New York, **Dick Durbin** of Illinois, **Bob Menendez** of New Jersey, and **Michael Bennet** of Colorado, and Republicans **John McCain** of Arizona, **Lindsey Graham** of South Carolina, **Marco Rubio** of Florida, and **Jeff Flake** of Arizona.^[10]

The policies envisioned by the Senators include the following provisions:

- A **citizenship** path for undocumented immigrants already in the United States contingent on certain border security and visa tracking improvements. The plan provides for permanent residence for undocumented **immigrants** only after legal immigrants waiting for a current priority date receive their permanent residence status and a different citizenship path for agricultural workers through an agricultural worker program.
- Business immigration system reforms, focusing on reducing current visa backlogs and fast tracking permanent residence for U.S. university immigrant graduates with advanced degrees in science, technology, engineering or math also known as the **STEM fields**.
- An expanded and improved employment verification system for all employers to confirm employee work authorization.
- Improved work visa options for low-skill workers including an agricultural worker program.^[11]

Arizona SB 1070

In 2009, services provided to illegal immigrants, including incarceration, cost the state of Arizona an estimated \$2.7 billion.^[12]

Citing Congress’ failure to enforce U.S. immigration laws, the state of Arizona confronted reform and on April, 23, 2010 Republican Governor Jan Brewer signed the **Support Our Law Enforcement and Safe Neighborhoods Act (Arizona SB 1070)**, the broadest and strictest immigration reform imposed in the United States.^[13]

The SB1070 Arizona immigration law directs law enforcement officials to ask for immigration papers on a "reasonable suspicion" that a person might be an illegal immigrant and make arrests for not carrying ID papers.^[14] Previously, police could not stop and check identification papers on a mere suspicion that someone might be an illegal immigrant. Police could only ask about an individual's immigration status if they are suspected of involvement in another crime.^[15]

On July 6, 2010, the US Department of Justice filed suit against Arizona. The intent of the suit is to prevent Arizona from enforcing the law and asks the court to find certain sections of the legislation null and void.^[16] The United States Congress has left this issue untouched as many feared such a vote could threaten their chances at reelectionCitation is empty.

Being the first state to pass such legislation, Arizona has set a precedent for other states, but this legislation has also caused Arizona to carry a large burden. Arizonans have faced boycotts and protests from their commercial businesses to sporting events and concert. Although the response has cost the state between \$7 million and \$52 million, some in the state still feel that this outcome will outweigh the initial cost.^[17]

Due to conflict and protest, the week after she signed SB 1070, Governor Brewer signed the Arizona legislature passed House Bill 2162 (HB 2162) amending text in the original document. HB 2162 includes that race, color, and national origin would not play a role in prosecution; in order to investigate an individual's immigration status, he or she must be “lawfully stopped, arrested or detained.”^[18]

Oponents of the law say that it will ultimately cost the state “\$26.4 billion in economic activity, \$11.7 billion in gross state product and approximately 140,024 jobs” if all illegal immigrants are removed from the state.^[19]

Immigration Court Reform

In the absence of comprehensive immigration reform at the federal level, many advocacy groups have focused on improving the fairness and efficiency of the **immigration court system**.^{[20][21][22]} They propose incremental steps the executive branch can take to stop an “assembly line approach”^[23] to deportation proceedings. These groups have identified several issues that threaten the due process rights of immigrants, including reliance on low quality videoconferencing to conduct hearings, inadequate language interpretation services for non-English speakers, and limited access to court records.^[24] They also focus on problems arising out of the recent increase in immigration law enforcement without a commensurate boost in resources for adjudication.^[25] Immigration Judges and DHS Trial Attorneys are overworked,^[26] and the pro bono community has been unable to meet the demand for representation: 49% of individuals facing removal proceedings in 2011 were unrepresented.^[27] Other calls for reform include increased transparency at the Board of Immigration Appeals (BIA)^[28] and more diversity of experience among Immigration Judges, the majority of whom previously held positions adversarial to immigrants.^[29]

The deferred action process President Obama announced on June 15, 2012 is one example of the incremental reform these groups seek. Under the program, undocumented immigrants who were brought to the U.S. before age fifteen can apply for a work permit and a two-year deferment from deportation proceedings.^[30] The policy expands the Department of Homeland Security’s prosecutorial discretion policy, focusing finite resources on criminals and other threats to public safety.^[31]

Immigration reform in the United Kingdom

As of 2010, in the **United Kingdom**, there is some cross-party support for immigration reform, largely under the auspices of the **Strangers into Citizens** campaign. Although the **Liberal Democrats** are the only major party to fully support reform, some Labour MPs (including former leadership candidate **John McDonald**^[32]), the **IPPR** (a **Labour**-leaning think-tank) and **Boris Johnson** (the **Conservative Mayor of London**) also support a selective amnesty for illegal immigrants. Under the Liberal Democrat proposal, this would involve regularisation for illegal immigrants who have lived in the country for at least ten years and who do not have a criminal record.

It is argued that bringing many of these (estimates varying widely from 300,000 to 800,000^[33]) individuals into the legal economy would allow police to concentrate resources on tackling people traffickers and criminals - and would raise billions in tax revenue - whereas deporting them would cost £4.7 billion and take 30 years.^[33] This issue is still a matter of political debate today in the **United Kingdom**.^{[34][35]}

See also

- DREAM ACT**
- Illegal immigration**
- Immigration to the United States**
 - Illegal immigration to the United States**
 - Immigration reduction**


- [English-only movement](#)
- [H1B visa](#)
- [Republicans for Immigration Reform](#)
- [National Alliance of Latin American and Caribbean Communities](#)
- [Open immigration](#)
- [March for America](#) March 21, 2010, protest in Washington, DC
- [Immigration and crime](#)

References

1. [^] <http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/reform>
 2. [^] [Illegal Immigration: How much does it cost?](#) 2008 May 7. 30 November 2008.
 3. [^] Fox, Vicente. "Revolution of Hope: The Life, Faith, and Dreams of a Mexican President", p. 230.
 4. [^] Reiff, Laura (2013-01-29). "The Winds of Immigration Reform Blow Again - Part 1". [Greenberg Traurig, LLP](#). Retrieved 2013-01-31.
 5. [^] Fears, Darrylfn (2007-03-23). "Immigration Reform Revisited". [The Washington Post](#). Retrieved 2008-02-23.
 6. [^] Condon, Stephanie (2009-06-19). "Obama Promises Immigration Reform". CBS. Retrieved 2009-06-23.
 7. [^] Barack, Obama. "President Obama's campaign issues on Immigration". Retrieved 2009-06-25.
 8. [^] [Comprehensive Immigration Reform](#) ImmigrationAmerica.org
 9. [^] "Politicized Places: When Immigrants Provoke Opposition". [Journalist's Resource.org](#).
 10. [^] "Senators Reach a Bipartisan Agreement for Comprehensive Immigration Reform". *The National Law Review*. Fowler White Boggs P.A. 2013-01-31. Retrieved 2013-02-01.
 11. [^] "Senators Propose Immigration Reform". *The National Law Review*. Varnum LLP. 2013-01-29. Retrieved 2013-02-01.
 12. [^] Barnes, Ed (2010-05-17). > "Cost of Illegal Immigration Rising Rapidly in Arizona Study Finds". [Fox News](#). Retrieved 2010-11-29.
 13. [^] Archibold, Randel (2010-04-23). "Arizona Enacts Stringent Law on Immigration". Retrieved 2010-11-30.
 14. [^] "Arizona's SB-1070: the Battle for Immigrant's Rights" Making Contact, produced by National Radio Project. November 16, 2010.
 15. [^] Randel Archibold, Arizona Enacts Stringent Law on Immigration, 23 April 2010, 29 November 2010 <<http://www.nytimes.com/2010/04/24/us/politics/24immig.html>>.
 16. [^] Obama's Key Promises, 29 November 2010 <<http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-srv/special/politics/obamas-promises/>>.
 17. [^] Ed Barnes, Cost of Illegal Immigration Rising Rapidly in Arizona, Study Finds, 17 May 2010, 29 November 2010 <<http://www.foxnews.com/us/2010/05/17/immigration-costs-rising-rapidly-new-study-says/>>.
 18. [^] Casey Newton and Ginger Rough, Arizona governor signs bill revising new immigration law, 1 May 2010, 20 November 2010 <<http://www.azcentral.com/news/election/azelections/articles/2010/04/30/20100430arizona-immigration-law-governor-signs-revised-bill.html>>.
 19. [^] Ed Barnes, Cost of Illegal Immigration Rising Rapidly in Arizona, Study Finds, 17 May 2010, 29 November 2010 <<http://www.foxnews.com/us/2010/05/17/immigration-costs-rising-rapidly-new-study-says/>>.
 20. [^] [Appleseed](#). "Reimagining the Immigration Court Assembly Line." May 2012.
 21. [^] American Bar Association. "Reforming the Immigration System." February 2010.
 22. [^] Administrative Conference of the United States (ACUS). "Taking Steps to Enhance Quality and Timeliness in Immigration Removal Adjudication," June 2012.
 23. [^] [Appleseed](#). "Reimagining the Immigration Court Assembly Line," p. 1.
 24. [^] [Appleseed](#). "Reimagining the Immigration Court Assembly Line," pp. 4-9.
 25. [^] [Appleseed](#). "Reimagining the Immigration Court Assembly Line," p. 2.
 26. [^] [Appleseed](#). "Reimagining the Immigration Court Assembly Line," pp. 33-49.
 27. [^] [Appleseed](#). "Reimagining the Immigration Court Assembly Line," p. 67.
 28. [^] [Appleseed](#). "Reimagining the Immigration Court Assembly Line," pp. 77-80.
 29. [^] [Appleseed](#). "Reimagining the Immigration Court Assembly Line," p 22.
 30. [^] [US Citizenship and Immigration Services Website](#)
 31. [^] June 2012 Memo from Secretary of Homeland Security Jane Napolitano.
 32. [^] <http://www.john4leader.org.uk/2007/05/strangers-into-citizens-demonstration.html>
 33. [^] [^] "Call for immigrant amnesty in UK". [BBC](#). 2007-07-16. Retrieved 2008-02-23.
 34. [^] Rajan, Amol (2008-04-09). "Mayoral candidates unite in call for illegal immigration amnesty". London: [The Independent](#). Retrieved 2009-06-25.
 35. [^] Kelly, Charles "UK immigrant amnesty 'worth £3bn' say LSE" *Immigration Matters*, 2009-06-16. Retrieved on 2009-06-25.
- [Immigration Reforms: How a Broken System Breaks Communities](#) Making Contact, a production of the National Radio Project, 2010.]

IF YOU WANT IMMIGRATION REFORM --
THEN GET THE ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS
THAT'S RUNNING THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA'S GOVERNMENT OUT OF POWER!


UNITED STATES OF AMERICA PRESIDENT
ABRAHAM LINCOLN



America will never be destroyed from the outside. If we faller and lose our freedoms, it will be because we destroyed ourselves - Abraham Lincoln

RIGHT TO REVOLUTION

This country, with its institutions, belongs to the people who inhabit it. Whenever they shall grow weary of the existing government, they can exercise their constitutional right of amending it, **OR** exercise their revolutionary right to overthrow it" - Abraham Lincoln



EVICITION NOTICE
BY "WHATEVER" MEANS
NECESSARY!


This country, with its institutions, **BELONGS TO the PEOPLE** who **INHABIT IT**. **Whenever they shall GROW WEARY** of the EXISTING GOVERNMENT, they **CAN EXERCISE their CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT** of amending it, **OR** EXERCISE their **REVOLUTIONARY RIGHT to OVERTHROW IT!**

--- United States President Abraham Lincoln

<http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/right-of-revolution-political-corruption>

IT'S ABOUT BUILDING “**STRATEGIC BRIDGES**” and **UNITING FOR A COMMON GOAL** - - -
The **OVERTHROW** of a **TERRORIST REGIME!**

Video: <http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/malcolm-x-building-bridges-getting-the-devil-off-your-back-18255727> OR Document: <http://www.slideshare.net/VogelDenise/malcolm-x-building-bridges-getting-the-devil-off-your-back>



MUSLIM and CIVIL RIGHTS LEADER MALCOLM X:
BUILDING BRIDGES -
GETTING THE DEVIL OFF YOUR BACK



North Korea's Leader **KIM JONG-un** and Iran's President **MAHMOUD AHMADINEJAD**



REVELATION: 18:10-20

¹⁶ And saying, Alas, alas that great city, that was clothed in fine linen, and purple, and scarlet, and decked with gold, and precious stones, and pearls!

¹⁷ For in one hour so great riches is come to nought. And every shipmaster, and all the company in ships, and sailors, and as many as trade by sea, stood afar off,

¹⁸ And cried when they saw the smoke of her burning, saying, What city is like unto this great city!

¹⁹ And they cast dust on their heads, and cried, weeping and wailing, saying, **Alas, alas** that great city, wherein were made rich **all that had ships in the sea** by reason of her **costliness! For in one hour is she made desolate.**



²⁰ **Rejoice over her**, thou heaven, and ye holy apostles and prophets; **for God hath avenged you on her.**